630.75 M3
A 27 rh
1903

629.75 M8
A2n rt—
1953

PUBLIC DOCUMENT . . . . . No. 33.

SIXTEENTH ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

HATCH EXPERIMENT STATION

OF THE

MASSACHUSETTS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE.

JANUARY, 1904.

BOSTON:
WRIGHT & POTTER PRINTING CO., STATE PRINTERS,
18 POST OFFICE SQUARE.
1904.

State Library of Massachusetts
AUG 1 1904
STATE HOUSE, B

Approved by

The State Board of Publication.

1905 V3
A 21 ch
1903

HATCH EXPERIMENT STATION

OF THE

MASSACHUSETTS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE,

AMHERST, MASS.

OFFICERS.

Henry H. Goodell, LL.D.,	*Director.*
William P. Brooks, Ph.D.,	*Agriculturist.*
George E. Stone, Ph.D.,	*Botanist.*
Charles A. Goessmann, Ph.D., LL.D.,	*Chemist* (fertilizers).
Joseph B. Lindsey, Ph.D.,	*Chemist* (foods and feeding).
Charles H. Fernald, Ph.D.,	*Entomologist.*
Frank A. Waugh, M.S.,	*Horticulturist.*
J. E. Ostrander, C.E.,	*Meteorologist.*
Henry T. Fernald, Ph.D.,	*Associate Entomologist.*
Frederick R. Church, B.Sc.,	*Assistant Agriculturist.*
Neil F. Monahan, B.Sc.,	*Assistant Botanist.*
Henri D. Haskins, B.Sc.,	*First Assistant Chemist* (fertilizers).
—— ——,	*Second Assistant Chemist* (fertilizers).
Richard H. Robertson, B.Sc.,	*Third Assistant Chemist* (fertilizers).
Edward B. Holland, M.S.,	*First Chemist* (foods and feeding).
Philip H. Smith, B.Sc.,	*Assistant Chemist* (foods and feeding).
William E. Tottingham, B.Sc.,	*Assistant Chemist* (foods and feeding).
Albert Parsons, B.Sc.,	*Inspector* (foods and feeding).
Joseph G. Cook, B.Sc.,	*Assistant* (foods and feeding).
—— ——,	*Assistant Horticulturist.*
—— ——,	*Assistant Horticulturist.*
Fred. F. Henshaw,	*Observer.*

The co-operation and assistance of farmers, fruit-growers, horticulturists and all interested, directly or indirectly, in agriculture, are earnestly requested. Communications may be addressed to the "Hatch Experiment Station, Amherst, Mass."

The following bulletins and reports are still in stock, and can be furnished on demand: —

No. 27. Tuberculosis in college herd; tuberculin in diagnosis; bovine rabies; poisoning by nitrate of soda.

No. 33. Glossary of fodder terms.

No. 35. Agricultural value of bone meal.

No. 41. On the use of tuberculin (translated from Dr. Bang).
No. 54. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 57. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 64. Analyses of concentrated feed stuffs.
No. 67. Grass thrips; treatment for thrips in greenhouses.
No. 68. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 69. Rotting of greenhouse lettuce.
No. 70. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 72. Summer forage crops.
No. 75. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 76. The imported elm-leaf beetle.
No. 77. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 78. Concentrated feed stuffs.
No. 79. Growing China asters.
No. 81. Fertilizer analyses; treatment of barnyard manure with absorbents; trade values of fertilizing ingredients.
No. 82. Orchard management; cover crops in orchards; pruning of orchards; report on fruits.
No. 83. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 84. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 85. Concentrated feeds.
No. 86. Orchard treatment for the San José scale.
No. 87. Cucumbers under glass.
No. 89. Fertilizer analyses; ash analyses of plants; instructions regarding sampling of materials to be forwarded for analysis.
No. 90. Fertilizer analyses.
No. 91. Injuries to shade trees from electricity.
No. 92. Fertilizer analyses.
Special bulletin, — The brown-tail moth.
Special bulletin, — The coccid genera Chionaspis and Hemichionaspis.
Technical bulletin, No. 1, — Greenhouse Aleyrodes; strawberry Aleyrodes.
Index, 1888–95.
Annual reports for 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902, 1903.

Of the other bulletins, a few copies remain, which can be supplied only to complete sets for libraries.

ANNUAL REPORT

OF GEORGE F. MILLS, *Treasurer* OF THE HATCH EXPERIMENT STATION OF MASSACHUSETTS AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE,

For the Year ending June 30, 1903.

Cash received from United States Treasurer,		$15,000 00
Cash paid for salaries,	$6,829 37	
for labor,	3,216 52	
for publications,	860 08	
for postage and stationery,	360 32	
for freight and express,	130 65	
for heat, light, water and power,	355 77	
for seeds, plants and sundry supplies,	810 76	
for fertilizers,	716 85	
for feeding stuffs,	587 17	
for library,	56 18	
for tools, implements and machinery,	196 13	
for furniture and fixtures,	35 03	
for scientific apparatus,	87 90	
for travelling expenses,	105 50	
for contingent expenses,	121 00	
for building and repairs,	530 77	
		$15,000 00
Cash received from State Treasurer,	$11,200 00	
from fertilizer fees,	4,215 25	
from farm products,	2,298 12	
from miscellaneous sources,	3,291 04	
		$21,004 41
Cash paid for salaries,	$10,303 59	
for labor,	2,446 47	
for publications,	353 03	
for postage and stationery,	254 39	
for freight and express,	45 41	
Amount carried forward,	$13,402 89	

Amount brought forward,		$13,402 89
Cash paid for heat, light, water and power,	605 03	
for chemical supplies,	1,025 44	
for seeds, plants and sundry supplies,	378 43	
for fertilizers,	14 38	
for feeding stuffs,	700 36	
for library,	27 91	
for tools, implements and machinery,	39 54	
for furniture and fixtures,	1 50	
for scientific apparatus,	195 41	
for live stock,	61 45	
for travelling expenses,	694 94	
for contingent expenses,	245 86	
for building and repairs,	412 71	
Cash on hand,	3,198 56	
		$21,004 41

I, Charles A. Gleason, duly appointed auditor of the corporation, do hereby certify that I have examined the books and accounts of the Hatch Experiment Station of the Massachusetts Agricultural College for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1903; that I have found the same well kept and classified as above; and that the receipts for the year from the Treasurer of the United States are shown to have been $15,000, and the corresponding disbursements $15,000; for all of which proper vouchers are on file and have been by me examined and found correct, thus leaving no balance in the treasury.

CHARLES A. GLEASON,
Auditor.

Amherst, Sept. 2, 1903.

REPORT OF THE METEOROLOGIST.

J. E. OSTRANDER.

The close of the present year completes a period of fifteen years of meteorological observations at this station. From the records obtained during this time the mean values of the several weather elements for each month have been computed, and the results will be used as the normals of this station for the purpose of comparison. Charts showing the more important meteorological data are being prepared for the exhibit of this division at the St. Louis Exposition.

Last year, when this station arranged to furnish the United States Weather Bureau with the usual voluntary observer's records, the advisability of changing our times of observation from 7 A.M., 2 P.M. and 9 P.M. to 8 A.M. and 8 P.M., to conform to the times of observation at other stations, was considered, and it was thought best not to make the change at that time. Our printed forms for permanent record being all used, it was thought best to provide for the above change in preparing new record books. This has accordingly been done, and the change from tri-daily to semi-daily observations will be made at the close of a five-year period, on Jan. 1, 1904. The records being largely controlled by our self-registering instruments, the change should not appreciably affect our results for comparison with the normals already deduced.

The usual 4-page bulletins, giving the more important daily records, with the monthly means and summary of the weather, have been issued the first of each month. An annual summary will be prepared and published as a part of the December bulletin.

The local forecasts sent out by the New England section of the United States Weather Bureau have been received

during the year, and the signals displayed from the flagstaff on the tower. These forecasts having come this year by the Postal Telegraph and Cable Company, instead of the Western Union Telegraph Company, as formerly. Our telegraph line to the college has been out of service most of the year, and the predictions have been obtained by telephone, causing considerable inconvenience and delay in displaying the signals. Arrangements were finally made with the Postal Company, whereby they connect with our line to the college at the corner of Amity Street and Lincoln Avenue, they maintaining a line from their office to that point, and this division controlling the line from that point to the tower. The receipt of the forecasts by telegraph at the tower was resumed about December 1.

At the request of the section director of the Weather Bureau, the weekly snow reports are being sent to the Boston office, as in previous years.

Two standard thermometers, reading to one-fifth degree F., were purchased during the year, to replace others broken in use. Three new clocks for the Draper instruments in the tower were also bought, to replace others that were worn out.

REPORT OF THE BOTANISTS.

G. E. STONE AND N. F. MONAHAN, ASSISTANT.

Besides the general correspondence work relating to the diseases of plants, which is constantly increasing each year, this division has continued its usual experimental work in the greenhouse on various market garden and floricultural problems.

During the past year this division has published two bulletins, entitled, "Cucumbers under Glass," issued as Bulletin No. 87; and "Injuries to Shade Trees from Electricity," which was issued as Bulletin No. 91.

From the pathologist's point of view, the past season has shown some resemblance to the preceding one. Both seasons have been peculiar, as demonstrated by the second blossoming of a large number of plants and the general upsetting of their seasonal habits. There has been an absence of some fungi, which usually occur more or less commonly, and a predominance of others which generally do not cause much damage. Some indications of the pink mold, a so-called attendant of apple scab, which made its appearance last year for the first time in this State, has shown itself again, although trouble from this fungus has not been serious in this State. The sooty mold of greenings, etc., has been unusually abundant the past two years where spraying has not been properly attended to, causing much disfiguration of the fruit. Considerable damage was done to pear trees by lice, which profusely secreted honey dew on the foliage and stems, thereby furnishing conditions for the luxurious development of a black mold on the stems and leaves, causing much injury to the latter.

The raspberry cane blight, recently described by Prof. F. C. Stewart,[1] has been noted in this State, and specimens have been sent to Professor Stewart, who has reported upon them. How common this disease is, or is likely to become, we are at present not able to say. There has been, moreover, an unusual amount of winter-killing of raspberry canes, resulting from the unusual conditions of the fall of 1902.

Some complaint has been made in regard to a potato stem rot, a disease which is apparently more common in Vermont, where it, with other potato diseases, is receiving serious attention by Prof. L. R. Jones.

An unusual leaf spot disease for this region was noted on corn. This was caused by the fungus *Helminthosporium inconspicuum* C. and E., which gave the leaves a badly spotted appearance, and in one instance rendered the crop practically useless. Probably the extremely abnormal corn weather during the past summer was responsible for this.

A fungus known as *Vermicularia trichella* Fr. caused considerable spotting and damage to the leaves of the English ivy (*Hedera helix*, L.). There has been a minimum number of the usual shade tree fungous blights, although the blight of the horse-chestnut leaves, caused by the fungus *Phyllosticta sphæropsoidea* Ell. and Ev., was troublesome, and a considerable amount of defoliation occurred to maples from sun scorch. The Norway maple leaves were also greatly lacerated by the winds at the time of unfolding, and they were literally covered with honey dew, which in some cases resulted in the development of a black mold on them.

The stem rot diseases of the carnation, aster, campanula, etc., have been rather common on out-of-door plants. The usual blights of the melon and cucumber were present, but these crops did so poorly that the fungus had little material to work on. The general consensus of opinion among growers of melons and cucumbers is that spraying does little or no good when the anthracnose and alternaria are present. This is especially true of the melon, where all attempts at spraying, even when frequently attended to, failed to hold these fungi in check.

[1] Geneva, N. Y., Experiment Station, Bulletin No. 226, December, 1900.

The most general complaint, however, during the spring and summer, was in regard to winter-killing. It is seldom one finds so many varieties of plants injured from this cause, which can be traced back to the unusually prolonged warm weather, characteristic of the fall of 1902, and the sudden freeze following in early December. Among the plants that have suffered to a considerable extent are the following: —

The Californian privet (*Ligustrum ovalifolium*) and *Ligustrum ibota* were in many cases killed outright. Yellow and crimson rambler roses and certain honeysuckles were killed to the ground. The climbing ivy (*Ampelopsis veitchii*) was badly damaged, so much so, in fact, that buildings that were tolerably well covered with this beautiful ivy were almost bare in mid-summer. Wistarias, deutzia, spiræa thunbergii, spiræa vanhouttei and forsythia had their flower buds injured so severely that they made little show in the spring. The Japanese clematis was in most cases killed to the ground. Euonymus radicans suffered badly, as did many of the viburnums. Many of the choicer aquilegias were killed outright. The fruit buds of cherries, peaches and Japanese plums were practically killed; in some cases the wood was much injured. Grape vines were in some cases killed to the ground, and strawberries, blackberries and raspberries were much injured. Such wild plants as the beech, plum and buckthorn, and many of the wild roses, had their wood severely injured. Many of these plants appeared to come through the winter successfully, and threw out strong shoots in the spring, when they suddenly collapsed. Blackberries and raspberries showed a marked tendency to die back after having blossomed and fruited. In some other instances plants not supposed to be hardy, such, for example, as the crimson clover and alfalfa, have gone through the winter without trouble. It would appear that, while the severe frost in December, following the unusually prolonged warm spell, was the means of doing great injury to plants that are supposed to be tolerably hardy, those like the crimson clover, etc., which are not hardy, were not affected. The limited amount of frost in the ground, due to the snow cover, eventually proved advantageous to such plants as the crimson

clover and alfalfa. It is probable that the unusually slight amount of moisture present in the soil during the past spring had much to do with weakening many plants which might have made some recovery under other conditions.

We append to this report some experiments relating to the influence of electricity on the growth of plants, which have been carried on by us and students in a minor way for some years. Notwithstanding the considerable accelerated growth that electrical stimulation is capable of giving rise to, these experiments are not presented with any idea in mind that they furnish evidence of legitimate lines of forcing, or that the matter will be taken up by practical growers as a means of increasing their crops, especially at the present time. There are many legitimate lines of increasing and improving crops of which growers have not as yet made full use, and, so long as such exist, the wisest policy to pursue is to pay little attention to the so-called freak farming methods. This subject is, moreover, an especially complicated one, and it is a question whether it would be of much value to those who are following commercial methods, even if considerable gain could be obtained. All stimuli to plants are by no means advantageous from the commercial point of view, inasmuch as they do not always induce acceleration in the right direction, since the law of correlation holds good in the plant kingdom, as elsewhere. Whether the scarcity of forcing elements or the development of more refined methods of the gardening of the future will induce gardeners to utilize the various cosmic forces which act as stimuli, and which are not employed at the present time, remains to be seen.

THE INFLUENCE OF CURRENT ELECTRICITY ON PLANT GROWTH.

BY G. E. STONE.

Since 1747, when Dr. Mainbray of Edinburgh electrified two myrtle plants, various experiments have been made to test the effects of electricity on the growth of plants. Many marvelous results have been reported from time to time that have arisen from electrical treatment, and, as a rule, the more ignorance the experimenter displayed in his knowledge of plant physiology, the more startling and marvelous have been the results.

At the time our experiments were undertaken we were unable to find instances where any attempt had actually been made to study, in a methodical way, the influence of current electricity on plants; and in practically all of the previously recorded experiments the data were scant and the scope of the work was extremely limited. In the various haphazard results that had been reported from time to time there had been no attempt made to measure the current or resistance, or to ascertain the electro motive force employed in any of the experiments from which remarkable deductions had been drawn. One of the criticisms which can be made in regard to all of the earlier work, as well as most of the later work, is that, with a very few exceptions, only a few plants were employed in experimenting, — frequently only one or two. As a consequence, the errors arising from individual variation were entirely ignored, since enough plants were not employed to eliminate them. Indeed, in numerous cases the results obtained were nothing more than would be obtained from individual variation, or would naturally arise from a slight difference in environment. The limited amount of current which we have shown to act as a stimulus to plant growth would indicate that in some cases they were not in the range

of acceleration (see Fig. 1). That plants respond to electrical stimuli in various ways is well known. The effect, however, which electricity has upon the growth of plants has not been well understood, and the results obtained by various experiments have not been convincing, for reasons already pointed out. The fact has been definitely established that



FIG. 1.—Diagram showing range of electric current affecting plants. M, minimum; O, optimum, or current producing greatest stimulus; MX, maximum, or death current; R to MX, retardation current.

electrical currents exist in the soil, and also in the plant; in fact, wherever chemical activity occurs electric currents are likely to be present, although these currents may be comparatively insignificant, and require delicate instruments for their detection.

The following experiments in stimulating plants with electricity have been carried on in this department for some years, and previous to undertaking this work many thousands of plants have been experimented with, and the minimum, optimum and maximum currents have been established by us in a general way. We therefore had more or less a definite idea in mind as to what strength of current we wished to apply at the beginning of our work. The experiments we are about to describe, therefore, represent only a small part of those which we have made, and these were made under conditions resembling those employed for commercial purposes. We shall, however, interpret the results of these experiments in the light of those obtained from our long study given to the subject, rather than from what these particular tables show.

The work was carried on in the greenhouse, during the summer months. The plants utilized were radishes and lettuce, which were selected for special reasons as being suitable for our work. The plants were grown in wooden boxes, 53 inches long, 32 inches wide and 7 inches deep. These boxes were placed on movable trucks, or in some cases on supports 18 inches from the floor. In all cases they were insulated. The soil employed was of a uniform quality and texture, and

has been used for these experiments alone for some years. Previous to using the soil it was sifted through a sieve of $\frac{3}{8}$-inch mesh, and thoroughly mixed. After using the soil for a few experiments, it was taken out, resifted and thoroughly incorporated again; and occasionally the boxes were shifted about, that is, the normal or untreated boxes were substituted or changed for those which had been treated. With a



FIG. 2.—Longitudinal section of boxes employed in the electrical experiments, showing different methods of treatment. Size of boxes, 53 by 32 by 7 inches. 1, copper and zinc electrodes connected; 2, direct current with rheostat; 3, atmospheric; 4, wire electrodes. C, copper electrodes; Z, zinc electrodes; r, rheostat; b, gravity cells; w, wires; g, ground wire; p, collecting pole, 47 feet high.

few exceptions, copper or zinc plates were used for electrodes. These were made the same size as the ends of the boxes, and in a few exceptional cases two series of wires, strung on a frame about three inches apart, were employed instead of the plate electrodes. One of these frames of wires was buried near the surface, the other being buried near the bottom of the box. The current, therefore, had to pass from one frame to the other in a vertical direction through the soil. The strength of the currents was in most cases obtained with the aid of a Weston milammeter, capable of reading $\frac{1}{20}$ of a milliampere, or about $\frac{1}{20000}$ of an ampere. The interrupted induced currents were estimated, and represent only approximate determinations.

In the radish experiment the seed was sown directly in the treated boxes, whereas in the case of the lettuce the plants were transplanted into the treated boxes when of suitable size to make good growth; the latter plants, therefore, were not stimulated during the whole period of development. Gravity cells were used in all cases except with the interrupted induced current, in which case sal-ammoniac cells were employed.

Experiments with Radishes.

Table I. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes (Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 38 days.]

No.	Treatment.	Number of Plants.	Average Weight, in Grams, of —		Per Cent. Gained in Weight of —		Total Per Cent. gained.
			Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Average of three normals, .	112⅔	4.83	10.55	-	-	-
1	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes, ...	81	4.89	12.58	.012	19.24	13.60
2	Direct current; three gravity cells; wire electrodes, .	110	4.63	9.26	[1] 4.140	[1] 12.22	[1] 9.68
3	Interrupted induced current; copper plate electrodes, .	114	5.85	11.22	21.110	6.35	10.98

[1] Loss.

Total average weight, in grams: —	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots, ...	4.83	5.12	6.00
Of tops, ...	10.55	11.02	4.45
Of whole plant, ...	15.38	16.14	4.93

In Table I., in which six experiments are shown, three normal and three treated, the results are not in every way satisfactory. The current strengths were determined only once or twice in each instance, and these were estimated by means of the electro-motive force of the cell and resistance of the soil, and also by a milammeter. The current strengths given, therefore, represent only those which were found at the time of the measurements; and, since the resistance of soil is constantly changing with the movements of the water currents and with the ever-changing moisture conditions, due to watering, the figures giving strengths of current must not be considered as averages. The strengths of current employed in the experiments shown in the first five tables vary, probably from .05 to 1 milliampere.

In the interrupted induced current experiments the current had a duration of only about ten seconds per hour. This was accomplished with a clock arrangement and with a Du Bois-Reymond induction apparatus. It should be pointed out, however, that with the use of this apparatus only ap-

proximate current strengths can be obtained, as it does not constitute a particularly favorable type of instrument for obtaining uniform currents of a definite strength. Our extensive use of the apparatus in other work has enabled us, nevertheless, to use it with some degree of certainty of securing optimum strengths of current. The three gravity cells with wire electrodes apparently furnish too much current, hence we obtained a loss with these. We were beyond the optimum and in the retardation zone (see Fig. 1). This method of applying current was not considered a satisfactory one, and it was subsequently abandoned.

Nos. 1 and 3 showed a gain in both roots and tops, the total gain being 13.60 and 10.98 per cent. respectively. At the bottom of the table is given the total gain from electrical treatment; for example, the weights of the plants from three treated boxes are compared with the weights of those in the three normal boxes. The total gain of 4.93 per cent. is of little significance.

TABLE II. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes (Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 39 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	AVERAGE WEIGHT, IN GRAMS, OF —		PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF —		Total Per Cent. gained.
			Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Average of three normals, .	220⅔	5.29	5.55	-	-	-
4	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes, ...	205	6.22	12.65	17.58	127.92	74.07
5	Direct current; one gravity cell; wire electrodes, . .	250	5.88	7.47	11.15	34.59	23.15
6	Amospheric electricity; copper plate electrodes, . .	180	6.60	10.06	24.76	81.26	53.61
7	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected, . .	109	14.01	16.61	164.84	199.28	182.28
8	Interrupted induced current; copper plate electrodes, .	220	6.17	6.17	16.63	11.17	13.83

Total average weight, in grams: —	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots, ...	5.29	7.77	46.88
Of tops, ...	5.55	10.59	90.85
Of whole plant, ...	10.84	18.36	69.54

In Table II. is shown a similar series of experiments, with modifications in the strengths of currents and methods of treatment. The currents, however, are reduced in all instances with favorable results. Nos. 6 and 7 received different treatment from those shown in the preceding table. In No. 6, termed atmospheric electricity, the current was obtained from a pole 35 feet above the ground; from the top of this pole there projected 24 small copper points, distributed in two circles, the outer are having a radius of 30 inches. The arrangement was similar to that shown in Fig. 2, but not identical. The copper points were all connected with a single wire leading to the copper plate electrode of Box No. 3, the other electrode being grounded, as shown at G. The electrical potential was not determined in this experiment, but the deflection of the needle of a sensitive galvanometer showed that a current was present in the soil.

In No. 7, copper and zinc plate electrodes were simply connected together with a wire; this formed a cell in itself, and generated a current, usually about the optimum, which could be readily read with the milammeter. The results of the experiments are shown in the last column at the bottom of the table.

TABLE III. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes (Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 36 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	TOTAL WEIGHT, IN GRAMS, OF—		PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF—		Total Per Cent. gained.
		Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Normal,	700	2,700	–	–	–
9	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes,	800	2,900	14.28	7.40	8.82
10	Amospheric electricity; copper plate electrodes,	900	3,000	28.59	11.11	14.70

Total weight, in grams:—	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots,	700	850	21.42
Of tops,	2,700	2,950	9.25
Of whole plant,	3,400	3,800	11.76

TABLE IV. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes (Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 38 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	AVERAGE WEIGHT, IN GRAMS, OF —		PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF —		Total Per Cent. gained.
			Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Normal, ...	291	3.09	2.74	–	–	–
11	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes, ...	294	2.65	2.72	[1] 14.23	[1] .72	[1] 7.89
12	Atmospheric electricity; copper plate electrodes, . .	281	3.20	4.27	3.55	55.83	28.13
13	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected, . .	289	2.94	5.53	[1] 4.85	101.82	45.28

Total average weight, in grams: —	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots, ...	3.09	2.93	[1] 5.50
Of tops, ...	2.74	4.17	52.19
Of whole plant, ...	5.83	7.10	21.78

[1] Loss.

TABLE V. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes (Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 40 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	AVERAGE WEIGHT, IN GRAMS, OF —		PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF —		Total Per Cent. gained.
			Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Normal, ...	273	3.29	4.02	–	–	–
14	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes, ...	275	4.00	4.18	21.58	3.98	11.90
15	Atmospheric electricity; copper plate electrodes, . .	277	3.61	3.97	9.72	[1] 1.24	3.69
16	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected, . .	278	3.74	3.95	13.67	[1] 1.74	5.19

[1] Loss.

Total average weight, in grams: —	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots, ...	3.29	3.78	14.86
Of tops, ...	4.02	4.03	.02
Of whole plant, ...	7.31	7.81	6.84

The experiments shown in tables III., IV. and V. followed one another in succession, and were conducted in a

similar manner. Some of the data shown in Table III. was unfortunately mislaid or lost, hence it is incomplete.

No. 11, in Table IV., shows a loss, but the average percentage gained by treatment in other cases is important. The gain shown in Table V. as a result of treatment is comparatively small.

TABLE VI. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes* (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 30 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Average Current, in Milliamperes.	AVERAGE WEIGHT, IN GRAMS, OF—		PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF—		Total Per Cent. gained.
				Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Normal, . . .	241	–	5.1	3.2	–	–	–
17	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes, .	215	.10 (.05–.24)	6.1	4.1	19.60	28.12	22.88
18	Direct current; two gravity cells; copper plate electrodes,	242	.43 (.22–.90)	5.9	5.5	15.68	71.87	37.34
19	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected, . . .	198	–	7.4	5.2	45.09	62.50	51.80

Total average weight, in grams:—	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots, ...	5.1	6.46	26.66
Of tops, ...	3.2	4.93	54.06
Of whole plant, ...	8.3	11.39	37.22

TABLE VII. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Radishes* (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 36 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Average Current, in Milliamperes.	AVERAGE WEIGHT, IN GRAMS, OF—		PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF—		Total Per Cent. gained.
				Roots.	Tops.	Roots.	Tops.	
	Normal, . . .	217	–	10.80	4.14	–	–	–
20	Direct current; one gravity cell; copper plate electrodes, .	264	.197 (.10–.33)	12.30	6.60	13.88	59.42	26.50
21	Direct current; two gravity cells; copper plate electrodes, .	292	.516 (.23–1.0)	12.20	7.50	12.96	81.16	31.19
22	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected, . . .	272	.305	11.20	4.96	3.71	19.80	8.16

Total average weight, in grams:—	Normal.	Treated.	Per Cent. gained.
Of roots, ...	10.80	11.90	10.18
Of tops, ...	4.14	6.35	53.14
Of whole plant, ...	14.94	18.25	22.15

The concluding experiments with radishes are shown in tables VI. and VII. In this series the atmospheric experiments were omitted, and two direct current experiments were run in each series, in which different strengths of currents were employed. In these experiments, and all others which follow, an attempt was made to regulate more carefully the current strengths, and to make daily readings of the same. For this purpose a water rheostat was introduced in the circuit in the two direct current experiments; this enabled us to modify resistance, and to maintain a tolerably uniform current throughout. Current records in all the remaining radish experiments are averages for the whole period, and are based on four readings each day. The minimum and maximum currents are given in parentheses. In the direct current series we endeavored to maintain .2 and .4 milliamperes respectively. In No. 17, however, it only averaged .1 milliampere; in Nos. 19 and 22 readings were made every three days, but no attempt was made to modify the current strengths, inasmuch as these boxes generally maintained the desired current.

The results shown in these tables are more uniform than in the preceding ones, as might be expected from the greater care we gave in maintaining a more or less uniform stimulus. No loss is shown by the treated ones; on the other hand, there is considerable acceleration shown by treatment.

SUMMARY. — *Showing the Results with Radishes* (*Raphanus sativus* L.) *given in Tables I., II., IV.–VII.*

TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	PER CENT. GAINED IN WEIGHT OF—		Total Per Cent. gained.
		Roots.	Tops.	
Direct current (weak); copper plate electrodes; Nos. 1, 4, 11, 14, 17, 20,	1,334	9.73	39.66	23.67
Direct current (stronger); copper plate electrodes; Nos. 18, 21,	534	14.32	76.51	34.26
Direct current; wire electrodes; Nos. 2, 5,	360	3.50	11.18	6.73
Interrupted induced current; copper plate electrodes; Nos. 3, 8,	334	18.87	8.76	12.40
Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected; Nos. 7, 13, 16, 19, 22,	1,146	44.49	76.33	58.56
Atmospheric electricity; copper plate electrodes; Nos. 6, 12, 15,	738	12.67	45.28	28.47

Average per cent. of weight gained, in grams:—

Of roots,	17.26
Of tops,	42.95
Of whole plant,	27.34

The results of electrical treatment of various kinds and of different strengths of currents, in which 3,446 treated radish plants were compared with 2,022 normal or untreated ones, are shown in the summary. These comparisons are based on the growth of the normal plants with which the treated were grown, and not on the total normals, since the duration of experiments in one table does not correspond with those in another; or, in other words, there existed some difference in the degree of maturity of the various crops. This method of comparison is necessary, since the treatment varied in time, and the experiments in each table were not parallel throughout. The results show, however, appreciable gains; and, as they are averages, the percentages represent more accurately the influence of electrical treatment, the total gain for roots and tops being 27.34 per cent. A notable feature is seen in acceleration of tops, which showed about two and a half times more growth than that shown by the roots. In the case of the two interrupted induced-current experiments the reverse holds true, there being more than twice as much growth of roots as tops. This current exerts a different physiological effect on plants than the direct current.

Experiments with Lettuce.

The tendency of electrical stimuli to accelerate the growth of the tops of radish plants more than the roots suggested the idea of substituting lettuce. Lettuce possesses a different and more desirable habit of growth, it would seem, for electrical stimulation. The variety of lettuce grown in all cases was that known as the Boston head type, so commonly used by market gardeners in Massachusetts. The plants were grown according to the customary manner of growing lettuce; namely, the seed was sown in a small box of soil. When the seedlings were an inch or two high they were transplanted into larger boxes containing loam; and when they had formed three or four leaves two or three inches long, they were carefully selected, as regards vigor and size, and transplanted into the experimental boxes, as in the radish experiments. The loam in which they were started was of uniform quality and similar texture to that used in the boxes. Twenty-four plants were set in each box, which allowed room

for their full development. In transplanting, however, there was little or no loam attached to their roots. We have handled lettuce so extensively in our greenhouse that we were familiar with its characteristic requirements, and usually had on hand an ample supply of material from which to select. The strengths of currents in all lettuce experiments where gravity cells were used are based on four daily records. The minimum and maximum currents are also given in parentheses in all cases. In the copper and zinc electrode connections the currents were recorded every three days and the tables show the averages obtained.

TABLE VIII. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa* L.). *Normal Plant taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 31 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Current, in Milliamperes.	Total Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Average Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Per Cent. gained in Weight.
	Normal,	23	–	798	34.69	–
23	Direct current; copper plate electrodes,	23	.183 (.05–.25)	1,233	53.60	54.22
24	Direct current; copper plate electrodes,	22	.395 (.15–1.0)	1,226	55.72	60.62
25	Copper and zinc plate electrodes,	22	.286 (.1–.5)	1,126	51.18	47.53

Total average weight, in grams: —
Normal, ... 34.69
Treated, ... 53.50
Total per cent. gained, ... 54.22

TABLE IX. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 42 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Current, in Milliamperes.	Total Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Average Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Per Cent. gained in Weight.
	Normal,	24	–	681	28.12	–
26	Direct current,	24	.199 (.10–.35)	818	34.08	21.19
27	Direct current,	24	.342 (.20–.50)	816	34.00	20.91
28	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected,	24	.296 (.05–.60)	725	30.20	7.39

Total average weight, in grams: —
Normal, ... 28.12
Treated, ... 32.73
Total per cent. gained, ... 16.39

In the two preceding tables are shown the results of electrical treatment upon lettuce. The current was set at .2 milliamperes in experiments 23 and 26, and at .4 milliamperes in experiments 24 and 27. The experiments in both tables show the effect of electrical treatment, and where the resistance was modified the results are tolerably uniform. The gain by all treatment is 16.29 and 54.52 per cent. respectively.

TABLE X. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 50 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Current, in Milliamperes.	Total Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Average Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Per Cent. gained in Weight.
	Normal,	24	–	619	25.79	–
29	Direct current,	23	.171 (.02-.25)	575	25.00	3.06
30	Atmospheric electricity,	23	–	784	34.08	32.14
31	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected,	24	.06 (.01-.09)	688	28.66	11.13

Total average weight, in grams: —

Normal,	25.79
Treated,	29.24
Total per cent. gained,	13.37

TABLE XI. — *Showing the Effect of Current Electricity upon the Growth of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa* L.). *Normal Plants taken as the Standard at 100.*

[Duration of experiment, 60 days.]

No.	TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Total Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Average Weight, in Grams, of Plants.	Per Cent. gained in Weight.
	Normal,	24	710	29.58	–
32	Direct current,	24	800	33.33	12.67
33	Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected,	24	1,355	56.45	90.83
34	Atmospheric electricity,	24	1,000	41.66	40.83

Total average weight, in grams: —

Normal,	29.58
Treated,	43.81
Total per cent. gained,	48.10

Tables X. and XI. show experiments arranged similar to the two preceding tables, except that atmospheric electricity is substituted for one of the direct currents. The atmospheric experiments were conducted with some modification from those previously described with radishes. The principal difference, however, consisted in the pole being 47 feet from the ground, instead of 35 feet, and the number of copper points was 124, instead of 24. (See Fig. 2, No. 3.) In the latter case we also used a 28-inch metal bicycle wheel to support the points at the top of the pole; in the former arrangement an inverted umbrella frame was used. In No. 29 we endeavored to maintain a current of .2 milliamperes; Nos. 31 and 33 gave the usual current, but no attempt was made to obtain averages in the latter. A sensitive galvanometer usually showed a deflection of the needle when in circuit with the atmospheric electrodes; and when the wire from the pole was attached to a Thomson self-recording electrometer it was usually sufficient to deflect the needle and to charge slightly a glass case of 30 cubic feet capacity. Only occasional observations were made of the strength of the current in experiments shown in Table XI.

SUMMARY. — *Showing the Results with Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) given in Tables VIII.–XI.*

TREATMENT.	Number of Plants.	Average Current, in Milliamperes.	Total Per Cent. gained.
Direct current (weak); copper plate electrodes; Nos. 23, 26, 29, 32,	94	0.184	22.78
Direct current (stronger); copper plate electrodes; Nos. 24, 27,	46	0.367	40.76
Copper and zinc plate electrodes, connected; Nos. 25, 28, 31, 33,	48	0.214	36.48
Atmospheric electricity; copper plate electrodes; Nos. 30, 34,	47	-	39.22
Average per cent. of weight gained, in grams,			34.81

The average percentage of gain shown by lettuce is slightly higher than that given by radishes, although the acceleration is not so great as that shown in the growth of radish tops over roots. There are, however, no instances in

the lettuce treatment where the normal plants have excelled in growth the treated ones, although in No. 21 there is a difference of only 3 per cent. between normal and treated.

Conclusions.

The foregoing experiments with lettuce and radish plants show, in all instances except two, a total gain by the use of electrical stimuli. Those experiments where an attempt was made to maintain a strength of current within narrow limits showed the best results from treatment. Could an absolutely definite strength of current be utilized throughout the period of duration much closer results could be obtained, and the optimum current be more closely determined. Such an arrangement suggested itself to us quite early in our work, but the necessary equipment was not at hand. Since the variations in current strength depend largely upon the variations in soil moisture, tolerably constant currents might be obtained by regulating the water supply; but some automatic resistance appliance would undoubtedly constitute the best mechanism for getting absolutely constant currents. The effect which electricity has in accelerating the growth of plants and on the germination of seeds is positive; and in hundreds of experiments, conducted in a different manner, we have seldom obtained any negative results. We have, moreover, conclusively shown from our experiments that the alternating current is much superior to the direct as a stimulator; therefore the alternating-current experiments, Nos. 3 and 8, given in this series, should by no means be considered as typical, as we have apparently failed to get the optimum strength in these cases.

The question naturally arises, in what manner does electricity stimulate plants; or, in other words, how are accelerated growth and accelerated germination to be explained? There are numerous agencies which act as stimuli to seeds and plants about which little is known in regard to how they stimulate the plant. There are, to be sure, many theories advanced for the purpose of explaining the response of plants to various stimuli.

We know perhaps as much about the rationale of electrical

action on plants as we do about the effects of light in producing heliotropic bendings, or of gravity in producing geotropic bendings. Some of the various theories pertaining to electrical action, however, possess interest and are worthy of citation. Frecke held the idea that electricity was the great moving force of animate creation, and identical with nervous influence. Marat was of the opinion that electricity exerted a marked influence on the fertility of the soil, and similar ideas have been advanced by others in more recent times. Fichtner and Sohne claimed to have found that electricity rendered soluble the constituents of the soil; and the same opinion was advanced by Tschinkel, who believed that acceleration and growth were brought about by the action of electricity upon the salts and other constituents of the soil. Jodro attached a double function to the action of the soil current: first, it acts chemically on soil, in dissolving those constituents necessary for plant nourishment; and second, it acts mechanically, in setting the particles of the soil into a state of molecular vibration, thus loosening the earth. These views relating to the decomposition of the certain salts in the earth by passing a current of electricity through it have not been confirmed by Wollny. He made a series of careful analyses of soil, electrically treated and untreated, and found absolutely no difference, which could be attributed to the effects of electricity, in the percentages of potassium, ammonia, phosphoric acid, potassium nitrate and carbonic acid gas. The action of electricity upon oxygen, as is well known, gives rise to ozone: and some botanists have believed that the production of ozone in the seed by electric currents is the prime factor in accelerating germination and growth.

Most of these theories are very fanciful, and all inadequately explain the stimulating effect of electricity upon plants, nor is there any reason to believe that this phenomenon can be explained by simple mechanical theories. There may exist a fundamental basis for the theory that electricity is capable of decomposing certain constituents of the soil and rendering them more available, but in all probability the strength of current which is capable of advantageously stim-

ulating plants would produce little effect on the soil; at any rate, it would produce little effect during the short space of time it requires to mature most crops. Moreover, when it is considered that moist seeds and seedlings respond to electrical stimuli in quite a remarkable manner when sown and allowed to develop on moist filter-paper cloth or in porous clay dishes, etc., the complicated soil theory of electrical action falls to the ground.

Electricity affects the protoplasm of the plant, and it is to the effect on the protoplasm that we must look for the solution of the problem, and not to its influence on the soil. This can be seen in plants that show protoplasm movements, such as Chara, etc. It has long been known that weak currents stimulate protoplasm, and induce an accelerated movement; whereas strong currents retard or stop such movements, or, if too strong, they kill the protoplasm.

Current electricity likewise induces bendings in the roots (galvanotropism) when grown in water between electrodes. In such cases weak currents produce negative bendings, — that is, towards the cathode; while strong currents produce positive bendings, — towards the anode. Similar effects are seen in the movements exhibited by many microscopic animals, such as paramœcia and other protozoa.

Plants respond to light, gravity, moisture, etc., in a positive and negative manner; and it is also known that a negative electrotropic irritability exists in certain plants (Phycomyces in this case), or a sensitiveness to Hertz waves which induces negative bendings. The plant organism, whether in the embryonic or adult stage, responds in a positive and negative manner to various cosmic forces which act as stimuli. There is a positive geotropism which induces roots to grow downwards, and a negative geotropism which induces shoots to grow upwards. The force which accomplishes this is termed gravity. Our comprehension of gravity, however, is scarcely more intelligible than that of electricity, and for all we know they may be the same or similar manifestations of force. The results of electrical stimulation to plants are quite similar in their effects to those exhibited by other forms of stimuli.

There is a minimum, optimum and maximum current which gives rise to reactions similar to those obtained from other forms of stimuli. There is also a well-defined latent period, such as we find associated with heliotropic and geotropic stimuli, etc. Moreover, there exists a definite relationship between current intensity and perception, or reaction of the organism, as in chemotactic stimulation.

It was observed by early experimenters that there existed a difference in the growth of plants when subjected to what is termed positive and negative charges. Our limited experiments in this respect have shown that when seeds were treated with a positive charge the growth of the roots was greatly accelerated, while the stems were much less so; and conversely, when treated with a negative charge, the stem showed a greater accelerated growth than the roots. Germination — that is, radical development — was greatly accelerated when seeds were charged positively, although when charged with a negative charge germination at first was much less accelerated than in untreated seed. Thus we have a positive charge stimulating organs which react in a positive manner, and a negative charge stimulating organs which react in a negative manner; also the effect of a positive charge acting as a slight stimulus or retarding organs which act in a negative manner, and the negative only slightly stimulating or retarding positive reacting organs.

It would also appear as if positive charges had a tendency to produce attenuated or elongated root development. In regard to this point, it would be interesting to ascertain whether positive charges increase geotropic irritability. One of the recent conceptions of solutions is that they contain ions which are atoms or groups of atoms positively or negatively charged. It has been observed that solutions with a predominant positive charge, such as acids, and those with a predominant negative charge, bases and salts, have a certain definite effect upon protoplasm which is identical with those produced by positive and negative electrical stimulation. There is also reason to believe that protoplasm consists of particles which are charged positively and negatively. It is possible that in the protoplasm of roots and stems (hypoco-

tyls, as well) of plants there exist opposite predominant charges, — the root carrying predominant negative charges, the stem predominant positive charges. When roots are stimulated with positive charges, acceleration results; and when stimulated with negative charges, acceleration is less marked. In other words, stimulation may arise by changing the predominant charge of the organ, and those organs respond most favorably to electrical stimuli in which opposite charges predominate. In subjecting roots to a positive charge, the predominant negative charges are overpowered or neutralized by the charges, and stimulation results; likewise, in subjecting stems to negative charges, the predominant positive charges are overpowered or neutralized by the negative charges, and stimulation likewise results; but reinforcing predominant positive or negative charges by electrical stimulation causes only a slight stimulus or retardation.

From these experiments it would appear that direct currents appear to stimulate most organs which possess predominant positive charges (radish tops), while interrupted induced currents appear to stimulate most organs which possess predominant negative charges. There is known to exist a difference between the "make" and "break." In the latter current, which is capable of giving rise to a modified physiological reaction, the effects of the opening are always more marked. The effects of the direct current noted above are not so readily accounted for on the basis of this theory.

These experiments have suggested other lines of investigation, and a further report will be made concerning them. It is possible that prolonged stimulation gives rise to different effects than brief stimuli. In prolonged stimulation with direct currents the positive electrode may have a toxic effect, causing inhibition, as is the case with solutions with positive ions. Physiologists have noted that the negative stimulates where the positive current prevents stimulation, although such does not hold in the case of plants, at least when charges of a brief duration are employed.

THE INFLUENCE OF THE ATMOSPHERICAL ELECTRICAL POTENTIAL ON PLANTS.

BY N. F. MONAHAN.

While electrical currents have such an important influence upon the growth and development of plants, as shown in the preceding pages, so also does the electrical potential of the atmosphere have an appreciable influence upon plant life. The atmosphere is always charged to a higher or lower electrical potential, either positive or negative. This has been clearly shown by experiments conducted by the Weather Bureau, United States Department of Agriculture, by Alexander McAdie of the Blue Hill Observatory, and by A. C. Monahan of this station. The conditions governing the amount of electrical potential of the air are not clearly understood, but Monahan found, in a series of experiments extending over nearly a year's time, that the air was charged positively about 90 per cent. of the whole time at a height of 30 feet from the ground. It is enough for us to know, however, that the air is always charged to a higher or lower potential. It is the purpose of this paper to show in a brief way some of the results of preliminary experiments on the effects of atmospherical electrical potential on germination, and the growth and development of plants. Fuller accounts will be published later.

Methods of Experiments and Apparatus used.

In all our experiments we have kept careful records of the exact electrical potential. These records were made by the use of a quadrant electrometer, designed by Sir William Thomson for observations in atmospherical electricity, and built by Eliot Bros. of London. In brief, the instrument

consists of a delicate quadrant galvanometer and a self-registering apparatus. A full description of this instrument may be found in the twenty-eighth annual report of the Massachusetts Agricultural College, for 1891.

We used a large glass case, with a wooden frame, 4 feet 3 inches long, 2 feet 9 inches wide, and 2 feet 11 inches high, with a detachable door in the middle of one side, from which every part of the case was accessible. The door was made to fit tightly by a band of rubber around the edge, and was securely held in place by levers. When closed, the case was practically air tight, and was insulated from the stand by glass and rubber insulation. In one corner of the case a small water-dripping apparatus was placed. This apparatus consisted of a light eight-quart copper tank, with a projecting pipe which ended in a fine orifice; the water passing through the pipe immediately broke into drops, and was caught in a glass dish below. An insulated wire connected the case with the electrometer near by. A short time after the dripping started the tank was found to be electrified, presumably to the same potential as the air at the point of the projecting tube. The potential was imparted through the conductors to the electrometer, and a deflection of the needle ensued.

In the case was also placed a self-recording hygrometer (Richard Bros., Paris) and a self-registering thermometer. The case was charged in some instances through a wire at one end leading from a Holtz influence machine. Immediately after charging, the wire was withdrawn from the case, and the hole through which it was inserted was tightly plugged. At other times the case was charged from a Leyden jar through the same wire. This seemed necessary in order to get the required small potential. The air in the case would hold a part of its charge for about three hours; at the end of that time we could find no trace of any electrical potential. The growth of the plants was measured in some instances by a modified Pfeffer-Baranetzky self-registering auxometer, and in other cases by the use of a horizontal microscope with a micrometer scale attachment.

Effect on Germinating Seeds.

Two lots of one hundred seeds of each kind were taken. These seeds were placed in porous clay dishes and soaked for six hours. The first lot was placed in Case 1, and subjected to an electrical charge every eight hours, induced into the air of the case from the Holtz machine. The second lot was placed in Case 2, — a small glass case, where no electrical charge was allowed. In all instances the clay dishes were set in basins of water, so that the seeds were moist at all times. The conditions of the temperature and moisture were practically the same, the former varying from 18° to 20° C., the latter from 76 to 85 per cent. Both cases were closed, and under similar conditions.

Table 1. — *Showing Effect of a Positive Charge upon Germinating Seeds.*

[N, normal; C, charged.]

Kind of Seed.	Total Number of Seeds Germinated in —				
	24 Hours.	48 Hours.	72 Hours.	96 Hours.	120 Hours.
White clover, N,	–	–	56	67	76
White clover, C,	2	59	72	79	80
Onion, N,	5	23	30	34	40
Onion, C,	3	41	47	51	51
Onion, N,	–	3	13	18	44
Onion, C,	–	5	17	28	37
Lettuce, N,	–	34	48	56	77
Lettuce, C,	–	55	82	85	85
Red clover, N,	–	7	18	35	66
Red clover, C,	–	74	88	92	92
Total per cent. acceleration in charged seeds,	–	55.4	23.1	17.11	–

Table 2. — *Showing Effect of a Positive Charge upon Seeds that have lost Vitality.*

Kind of Seed.	Total Number of Seeds Germinated in —				
	24 Hours.	48 Hours.	72 Hours.	96 Hours.	120 Hours.
Musk melon, N,	–	–	–	–	–
Musk melon, C,	–	1	1	1	1
Onion (Red Globe), N,	–	1	–	2	2
Onion (Red Globe), C,	1	2	2	3	3
Onion (Belden), N,	9	25	33	33	33
Onion (Belden), C,	7	18	20	20	20

Table 2 shows that atmospheric electricity does not increase the total number of seeds germinated over the total germination of those not charged, and that it does not bring to life seeds that have lost their vitality. Seed of a very low per cent. of germination were used.

The results obtained from these experiments confirmed the work done in 1896 at this station by Asa S. Kinney, on "Electro-Germination."[1] Kinney found that: first, electricity exerts an appreciable influence upon the germination of seeds; second, the application of certain strengths of current to seeds for a short period of time accelerates the processes of germination; third, the application of electrical currents to seeds does not increase the total percentage of germination. This latter result shows a direct opposition to the results obtained by Paulin. Paulin claimed that the application of electrical currents awakened to life seeds which had apparently lost vitality, and gave an increased percentage of germination in all seeds thus stimulated.

Effects on Plant Growth.

In some of our experiments three young tomato plants were placed in the large glass case and allowed to stand for eight hours. This was done in order that the plants might become accustomed to the changed conditions and to their new environment before being experimented upon. In these experiments the air in the case was charged every eight hours (at 7 A.M., 3 P.M. and 11 P.M.) to a potential of from 100 to 2,000 volts, as recorded by the electrometer and the growth of the plants recorded by the Pfeffer-Baranetzky self-registering apparatus. This method of measuring the growth proved unsatisfactory and was soon abandoned, and the following method, which proved more satisfactory, was tried. One plant at a time was placed in the case and allowed to stand for a few hours, as above; the plant was then set up near the glass on one side of the case, and the growth measured by means of a horizontal microscope with a micrometer scale attachment, the microscope being placed on the outside

[1] "Electro-Germination." Bulletin No. 43, Hatch Experiment Station.

of the case and focused upon the apex of the plant, measurements being taken every fifteen minutes.

The following figure shows the results of one experiment. A small tomato plant about four inches high was placed in the case, and treated as before described. Measurements of



FIG. 1. — Growth curve of tomato plant. The horizontal divisions represent periods of fifteen minutes in time; the vertical divisions represent degrees of temperature, also humidity, electrical potential and increments of growth. T, temperature; H, humidity; P, electrical potential; G, growth.

growth were recorded every fifteen minutes, from 10.15 A.M. to 5 P.M. From 10.15 until 11.30 there was no perceptible growth. At 10.30 the air in which the plant was growing was charged to a potential of 70 volts. Half an hour later, at 12 o'clock, the plant had grown two spaces on the micrometer scale; and at 12.15 had grown two more spaces; and so on until 2.15, when no growth was recorded. At 2.45 the air in the case was recharged to 80 volts, and a greatly increased growth resulted, the maximum acceleration taking place at 1.15 and 4 o'clock respectively, as a result of the two stimuli.

This is but one of many similar figures showing the results of our experiments, tomato plants, corn cotyledons and bread moulds (*mucor* and *Phycomyces nitens*) being used. In all

of these experiments the latent period varied from fifteen to thirty minutes.

In several of the experiments where the conditions of temperature and moisture were practically the same, and a large potential was employed, a serious retardation was shown, and in some instances death ensued; in other cases, where a very small potential was employed, no appreciable acceleration was shown.

From these facts we are led to believe that there is a maximum, optimum and minimum voltage; and, from the fact that different potentials were required to stimulate the growth of plants of different species, — and, in fact, of plants of the same variety and apparently of the same size, — it is evident that the maximum, optimum and minimum potentials vary with different varieties and species of plants, and also with plants of the same variety, depending upon the size, structural differentiation, development, etc., of the individual plant.

Summary.

1. Atmospheric electricity exerts an appreciable influence upon the germination of seeds.

(*a*) It accelerates the processes of germination. (In the experiments tried, those seeds charged with electricity show an acceleration in germination of 55.4 per cent. in forty-eight hours, 23.1 per cent. in seventy-two hours, and 17.11 per cent. in ninety-six hours.)

(*b*) It does not increase, to an appreciable extent, the total germination of charged seeds over the normal.

(*c*) It does not awaken to life seeds which have lost vitality.

2. Atmospheric electricity has an appreciable influence upon the growth of plants.

(*a*) From the results of these experiments we are led to believe that there is a maximum, optimum and minimum potential, but these have not yet been accurately determined.

(*b*) That the maximum, optimum and minimum voltages vary not only with the different varieties or species of plants, but with different individuals of the same varieties, and species depending largely upon the size, structural differentiation and degree of development of the plant.

REPORT OF THE CHEMIST.

DIVISION OF FOODS AND FEEDING.

J. B. LINDSEY.

Chemical Assistants: E. B. HOLLAND, P. H. SMITH, W. E. TOTTINGHAM.
Inspector of Feeds, Babcock Machines and Dairy Tester: ALBERT PARSONS.
In Charge of Feeding Experiments: JOSEPH G. COOK.
Stenographer: MABEL SMITH.

PART I. — OUTLINE OF YEAR'S WORK.

A. Correspondence.
B. Extent of chemical work.
C. Character of chemical work.
   (*a*) Water.
   (*b*) Dairy products and feed stuffs.
   (*c*) Chemical investigation.
D. Cattle feed inspection.
E. Execution of the dairy law.
F. Testing dairy herds.
G. Work in progress and completed.
H. Addition to staff.

PART II. — DAIRY AND FEEDING EXPERIMENTS.

A. Effect of feed on the composition of milk and butter fat, and on the consistency or body of butter.
B. Digestion experiments with sheep.
C. Raising dairy calves without milk.

Part I. — Outline of Year's Work.

J. B. LINDSEY.

A. Correspondence.

The correspondence of this department has considerably increased over previous years, due to the execution of the new feed law. The general character of the information desired has been much the same as heretofore. The total number of letters sent out during the year has been about 3,000.

B. Extent of Chemical Work.

The work in the laboratory has been of the same general character as formerly. The number of determinations of butter fat in cream has considerably increased.

There have been sent in for examination 132 samples of water, 229 of milk, 1,766 of cream, 8 of pure and process butter and 170 of feed stuffs. In connection with experiments by this and other divisions of the station, there have been analyzed, in whole or in part, 235 samples of milk and 585 of fodders and feed stuffs. There have also been collected and tested under the provision of the feed law 772 samples of concentrated feed stuffs. This makes a total of 3,897 substances analyzed during the year, as against 3,240 last year and 3,622 in the previous year. Work on moisture, fiber and fat, and on the availability of organic nitrogen, not included in the above, has been done for the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, and required considerable time for its proper execution. In addition, 17 candidates have been examined and given certificates to operate Babcock machines, and 2,240 pieces of glassware have been tested for accuracy, of which 57 pieces, or 2.54 per cent., were condemned.

C. Character of Chemical Work.

(*a*) *Water.*

In accordance with instructions from the experiment station committee, a charge of three dollars has been made for each sample of water examined by this department during the past year. The reasons for this charge were explained in a small circular sent to each applicant, a copy of which was printed in the last report, page 50. Most applicants have cheerfully paid the fee, while others have refused to send the sample for examination because of the charge. The number of samples examined has been 132, considerably less than formerly; but it is believed that the charge has resulted in holding in check those who have heretofore sent from 4 to 20 samples annually, as well as those who have sent largely out of curiosity, because an analysis could be had free of cost.

Instructions for securing an analysis of water: —

Those wishing to secure a sanitary analysis of water must first apply, whereupon a glass bottle securely encased, accompanied by full instructions for collecting and shipping the sample, will be forwarded by express. The return expressage must in all cases be prepaid. Because of the smallness of the sum involved, no account will be opened. Remittance by check, P. O. money order, or money at the owner's risk, must be strictly in advance.

Application may be made and money sent to

Dr. J. B. Lindsey,
Hatch Experiment Station.

(*b*) *Dairy Products and Feed Stuffs.*

The number of samples of milk and cream sent largely for the purpose of determining their butter fat content is increasing from year to year. The increase in the number of cream samples comes largely from creameries, while the milk comes from farmers desirous of ascertaining the quality produced by the several animals in the herd. This latter is a very satisfactory sign, and should meet with every encour-

agement. Printed circulars are sent in answer to inquiries, giving concise information concerning the quality of the milk produced by different breeds, as well as full instructions relative to the best methods to be employed in determining the butter-producing capacity of dairy herds. Comparatively little analysis has been done for the Dairy Bureau, because of the pressure of other lines of work.

The number of feed stuffs sent for examination was about the same as usual. They are examined at once, and the results forwarded promptly, with such suggestions as circumstances may advise. Numerous samples are received from dealers, who avail themselves of the station facilities to make sure the materials they are offering are as claimed.

(c) *Chemical Investigation.*

So far as time and facilities permit, this department continues its work of investigating the various problems connected with the chemistry of dairying and animal nutrition. A good deal of attention has been given to the composition and digestibility of feed stuffs, as well as to the effect of feeds and feed combinations upon the quantity and quality of milk. A study of methods of analyses has been referred to elsewhere.

D. Cattle Feed Inspection.

In October and November, 1902, quite a thorough canvass of the State was made, some 320 samples of feeds collected, examined, and the results published in Bulletin No. 85. Because of the limited funds available, a few samples only — principally of cotton-seed meal — were collected in the late winter. The Legislature at its session of 1903 passed a new feed law (chapter 122, Acts of 1903); the full text of this law may be found in Bulletin No. 93, recently issued by this department. A brief synopsis of the law is as follows: —

Section 1 defines statements to be attached to all packages of feed stuffs.

Section 2 specifies feed stuffs included in the law.

Section 3 defines feed stuffs exempt from the law.

Section 4 states the penalty for violations of previous sections.

Section 5 mentions duties of director or deputy with reference to collecting and analyzing samples, and states penalty for interference.

Section 6 declares against the adulteration of whole or ground grain or standard by-products, and fixes penalty.

Section 7 requires the director to prosecute violations of the act.

Sections 8, 9 and 10 define the term importer, state the sum to be allowed for carrying out the provisions of the act, etc.

It is believed that the law will prove of great benefit to farmers, and they are to be congratulated upon its enactment. Similar laws are now in force in all of the other New England States, as well as in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, North Carolina and Wisconsin.

The new feed law went into effect July 1. Mr. Albert Parsons was appointed inspector, and has made a thorough canvass of the State, collecting some 700 samples. It is proposed to keep the inspector at work in different sections of the State a considerable portion of the year; in this way the station can be kept thoroughly informed concerning the character of the feeds offered. As would naturally be supposed, many feeds were found unmarked and without a guaranty, and it will require some time and considerable patience to bring about a complete conformity to the law. On the whole, it may be said that dealers appear ready and willing to conform to its requirements, and are constantly addressing letters of inquiry to the station concerning the character and value of the manifold feeds offered by manufacturers and jobbers. The station stands ready to co-operate with consumer, local dealer, jobber and manufacturer, to the end that all may be benefited.

The details of this inspection will be found in Bulletin No. 93.

E. Execution of the Dairy Law.

This department issued a special bulletin on the subject in July of the present year, entitled "The Dairy Law and its Results." The bulletin gave the text of the law, an account of the inspection of glassware, of the inspection of Bab-

cock machines, very full information concerning the method of manipulating the Babcock milk test, together with as complete a list as possible of the creameries and milk depots in Massachusetts. This bulletin was sent in lots of from 10 to 100 to all milk depots and creameries in the State.

Inspection of Glassware. — All glassware found to be correct is marked "Mass. Ex. St.," by means of sand blast. During 1902 there was examined 2,344 pieces, of which 56 pieces, or 2.39 per cent., were found incorrect. There have been examined the present year (1903) 2,240 pieces, of which 57 pieces, or 2.54 per cent., were not correctly graduated. Manufacturers are now very careful concerning the accuracy of the glassware put out by them.

Examination of Candidates. — Mr. E. B. Holland has continued as heretofore to have charge of this work. During 1901, 45 candidates were examined; in 1902, 13 candidates; and the present year, 17 have been given certificates of competency. It is believed that practically all parties now operating Babcock machines under the law have a good understanding of the principles of manipulation, and are capable of doing accurate work.

Inspection of Babcock Machines. — The inspection of machines the present year has been in charge of Mr. Albert Parsons, who makes the following report: —

The third annual inspection of Babcock machines was made in November and December, 1903. Fifty-two establishments were either visited or heard from, 37 being creameries and 15 milk depots. Twenty-four, or half the number, were co-operative, 18 were proprietary, and 10 were managed by stock companies. Forty machines were inspected. Of these, 1 was condemned and 6 needed slight repairs. A few overheated the tests, and a few required additional steam to warm them. All but two of the machines were run by steam power, one was run by hand and one by electricity. About three-fourths of the machines have frames of cast iron, while the other fourth is equally divided between galvanized iron and copper. Of the cast-iron machines, 22 are "Facile," and 9 are "Agos." As a rule, the glassware was found in good condition, although in some cases it was very dirty, in a few cases it was not tested, and a few pieces bore the mark of another State.

F. Testing Dairy Herds.

During the year this department has tested cows at the request of the Jersey, Guernsey and Holstein cattle clubs. Fifteen seven-day tests and 6 yearly tests have been completed and 38 yearly tests are in progress. The tests are made under the rule and regulations of the several clubs. It requires at the present time the services of a man during two weeks in each month, and in addition involves considerable clerical work.

G. Work in Progress and completed.

At the present time, experiments are in progress to note the value of specially prepared dried blood and digester tankage for milk production. It is believed that material of this kind will be used considerably in the near future as a source of protein for farm animals. Other experiments now in operation are: (*a*) to test the efficacy of a well-known condimental or medicated food, for which extravagant claims are made; (*b*) digestion experiments on a variety of coarse and concentrated feeds.

Experiments were also continued with summer-forage crops; but, owing to the very unusual summer conditions, definite results were not obtained, and they will be continued. An experiment is about to be undertaken to see if it is economically possible for the average dairy farmer to get along without the use of wheat bran, using silage as a diluter for the more concentrated by-products. Experiments have been completed with distillers' by-products, — malt sprouts, dried brewers' and distillers' grains, — and the results will soon be published in bulletin form. These experiments emphasize the nutritive and economical value of these several feeds as sources of digestible protein for milk production.

H. Addition to Staff.

Messrs. W. E. Tottingham, Albert Parsons and Joseph G. Cook have been recently added to the staff of this department. Mr. Tottingham serves as assistant chemist, taking the place made vacant by T. M. Carpenter, who

secured a more lucrative and responsible position at the Pennsylvania experiment station. Mr. Parsons fills, in a sense, a new position, rendered necessary by the increasing work placed upon the department. He is acting as inspector of feed stuffs under the new feed law, as inspector of Babcock machines under the dairy law, and as dairy herd tester. Mr. Cook has charge of the experiments in animal nutrition at the feeding barn. These several young men have taken hold of the work earnestly and have proved themselves most efficient and satisfactory.

Part II. — Dairy and Feeding Experiments.

A. Effect of Feed on the Composition of Milk and Butter Fat, and on the Consistency or Body of Butter.

J. B. LINDSEY.[1]

Experiment VIII.

A general outline of experiments of a similar character will be found in the thirteenth and fourteenth reports of this station.

Object of the Experiment. — During the autumn and winter of 1901–02 a series of experiments was undertaken, for the purpose of noting, respectively, the effect of corn gluten meal with a minimum percentage of oil, of gluten meal with the addition of corn oil, and of corn meal, upon the relative proportions of the several ingredients in milk and butter fat, and upon the body of butter.

Plan of the Experiment. — Ten cows were divided into two lots of five each. Seven of the cows had calved in the late summer and early autumn, one in the preceding April, and two had been in milk about a year. The average milk product of each cow at the beginning of the trial was about 21 pounds daily. During the first period, both herds received the so-called standard grain mixture; during the three subsequent periods, Herd I. continued to receive the standard grain ration as in the first period; and in case of Herd II., a portion of the standard ration was replaced by gluten meal, by gluten meal and corn oil, and by corn meal, respectively.

[1] With E. B. Holland and P. H. Smith.

TABLE I. — *Duration of Experiment.*

PERIODS.	Character of Rations.	Dates.	Length of Periods (Weeks).
1, . .	Herd I., standard grain ration, Herd II., standard grain ration,	Oct. 20 through Nov. 9, .	3
2, . .	Herd I., standard grain ration, Herd II., gluten meal ration, .	Nov. 23 through Dec. 27,	5
3, . .	Herd I., standard grain ration, Herd II., gluten meal + corn oil,	Jan. 2 through Feb. 28, .	7
4, . .	Herd I., standard grain ration, Herd II., corn meal ration, .	Mar. 16 through Apr. 19,	5

Feeding and Care of the Animals. — The animals were fed twice daily. The corn oil was weighed out each day and carefully mixed with the grain ration, and was eaten without any trouble. Water was supplied constantly, by the aid of the Buckley self-watering device. Each cow was kept in a well-bedded, roomy stall, and was turned into a protected yard during the warmest part of each day when the weather was not actually stormy or severely cold. The feeding barn was heated to a temperature of 50–55° F. during the cold weather, kept clean and well ventilated. The animals were thoroughly cleaned daily, and before milking the udders were brushed and then wiped with a wet cloth. The milkers wore white duck suits.

Disturbances during the Experiment. — Just before the beginning of the second period, cow Folly of Herd II. was taken severely ill, and had to be permanently removed. It was thought best to continue the experiment as planned, using four cows in Herd II., rather than to begin again. In the third period, Red II. of Herd II. suffered an attack of indigestion. For this reason, samples of milk were not taken for two weeks, and butter making was omitted for one week. These interferences will be referred to again under "Composition of the milk," "Composition of the butter fat," etc.

TABLE II. — *Average Daily Rations for Each Cow (Pounds).*

First period: both herds, standard ration.

HERDS.	Standard Grain Ration.	Chicago Gluten Meal.	Corn Oil.	Corn Meal Mixture.	First Cut Hay.	Rowen.
Herd I., . . .	8.6	-	-	-	12.4	10.1
Herd II., . . .	8.4	-	-	-	12.8	10.0

Second period: Herd I., standard grain ration; Herd II., gluten meal ration.

Herd I., . . .	7.9	-	-	-	11.1	10.0
Herd II., . . .	5.3	2.7	-	-	11.5	10.0

Third period: Herd I., standard grain ration; Herd II., gluten meal and corn oil ration.

Herd I., . . .	7.9	-	-	-	10.9	9.9
Herd II., . . .	4.7	2.1	.6	-	9.2	9.8

Fourth period: Herd I., standard grain ration; Herd II., corn meal mixture.

Herd I., . . .	7.2	-	-	-	10.9	10.0
Herd II., . . .	-	-	-	7	10.5	10.0

Character of the Rations and Feeds. — The standard grain mixture consisted of 3 pounds of wheat bran, 5 pounds of ground oats and $\frac{1}{2}$ pound each of cotton-seed and gluten meals. It is not to be inferred that this so-called standard ration is superior to all other rations, but simply that it was thought to be a safe and desirable ration, and likely to produce normal milk and butter.

The corn meal mixture was similar to the standard grain ration, excepting that the 5 pounds of ground oats were replaced by an equal amount of corn meal. It is to be understood that the figures given in Table II. represent the average feed consumed daily by each cow in each herd. For example, theoretically each herd in the first period was to consume an equal amount of feed per cow, namely, 8 pounds of grain, 10 pounds of rowen and 12 pounds of first cut hay; but, because of the individual requirements of the several

cows, there was a slight difference in case of the average consumed by the two herds.

The spring wheat bran and cotton-seed meal were of good average quality. The oats were of standard quality, purchased whole and ground by the local miller. The Chicago gluten meal contained 40 per cent. of protein and 3.91 per cent. of fat in dry matter, thus furnishing a high percentage of corn gluten and a minimum percentage of corn oil. The corn was grown upon the station grounds, likewise the hay and rowen. The first cut hay was largely timothy, with a small admixture of red-top and clover. It contained 8.24 per cent. of protein in dry matter, and the rowen 14.20 per cent. The corn oil, procured of the Glucose Sugar Refining Company of Chicago, had a golden-yellow color, was clear, and had a marked odor of Indian corn. It was regarded as a very satisfactory sample.

TABLE III. — *Average Dry Matter and Digestible Nutrients in Ration of Each Cow.*

First period: both herds, standard grain ration.

HERDS.	Total Dry Matter.	DIGESTIBLE ORGANIC NUTRIENTS.			Nutritive Ratio.
		Protein.	Carbohydrates.	Fat.	
Herd I., ...	27.37	2.55	13.33	.68	1:5.8
Herd II., ...	27.12	2.52	13.22	.67	1:5.8

Second period: Herd I., standard grain ration; Herd II., gluten meal ration.

Herd I., ...	25.39	2.39	14.42	.63	1:6.6
Herd II., ...	25.87	2.92	14.04	.59	1:5.3

Third period: Herd I., standard grain ration; Herd II., gluten meal and corn oil ration.

Herd I., ...	25.34	2.39	12.32	.63	1:5.7
Herd II., ...	23.41	2.55	11.13	1.14	1:5.4

Fourth period: Herd I., standard grain ration; Herd II., corn meal ration.

Herd I., ...	24.97	2.30	12.17	.61	1:5.9
Herd II., ...	24.55	2.10	13.12	.59	1:6.9

TABLE IV. — *Average Quantity of Milk produced by Each Cow.* (*Pounds.*)

First Period.		Second Period.		Third Period.		Fourth Period.	
Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
448.56	458.41	635.75	684.22	924.34	998.27	620.59	636.62

During the first period both herds produced essentially the same average quantity of milk per cow; during the second and third periods, presumably because of the increased supply of protein in the daily ration, Herd II. showed a slightly larger average yield.

Purity of the Milk. — A number of samples of the mixed milk were taken immediately after milking, placed in sterilized glass-stoppered bottles, and kept cold until examined for bacterial content. The number of bacteria varied from 200 to 3,600 to the cubic centimeter, showing the milk to be especially clean. Objectionable odor or flavor could not be detected.

Sampling the Milk. — Composite samples of the mixed milk from each herd were taken for five days in each week, and tested for total solids, fat and nitrogen. The solids were determined by drying in sand, the fat by extracting the dry material with ether, and the nitrogen by the Kjeldahl method. In securing a sample, the milk from each herd was carefully mixed, and a small dipperfull taken immediately.

TABLE V. — *Composition of Milk* (*Per Cent.*).

First period: both herds, standard ration.

Samples.	Total Solids.		Fat.		Solids not Fat.		Nitrogen.	
	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
Oct. 26-30, . .	14.25	14.10	5.13	5.12	9.12	8.98	.573	.565
Nov. 1-4, . .	14.63	14.43	5.33	5.32	9.30	9.11	.584	.580
Nov. 4-9, . .	14.58	14.50	5.43	5.38	9.15	9.12	.576	.569
Average, . .	14.49	14.34	5.30	5.27	9.19	9.07	.578	.571

TABLE V. — *Composition of Milk* — Concluded.

Second period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal ration.

SAMPLES.	TOTAL SOLIDS.		FAT.		SOLIDS NOT FAT.		NITROGEN.	
	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
Dec. 11-16, . .	14.97	14.67	5.50	5.28	9.47	9.39	.594	.600
Dec. 17-22, . .	15.05	14.80	5.59	5.29	9.46	9.51	.599	.616
Dec. 22-27, . .	14.75	14.50	5.49	5.17	9.26	9.33	.600	.613
Average, . .	14.92	14.66	5.53	5.25	9.39	9.41	.598	.610

Third period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal and corn oil ration.

Dec. 28–Jan. 3,[1] .	14.79	14.64	5.40	5.43	9.39	9.21	–	–
Jan. 5–Jan. 10,[1]	14.61	14.49	5.35	5.37	9.26	9.12	–	–
Jan. 12-17, . .	14.69	14.16	5.29	5.10	9.40	9.16	.586	.567
Jan. 19-24, . .	14.76	14.23	5.31	5.12	9.45	9.11	.604	.580
Jan. 16-31, . .	14.74	14.11	5.27	4.97	9.47	9.14	.597	.586
Feb. 2-7, . .	14.66	14.07	5.29	5.02	9.37	9.05	.597	.579
Feb. 9-14, . .	14.79	14.13	5.31	4.99	9.48	9.14	.599	.577
Feb. 23-28, . .	14.75	14.08	5.38	4.97	9.37	9.11	.587	.566
Average, . .	14.73	14.13	5.31	5.03	9.42	9.12	.595	.576

Fourth period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., corn meal ration.

Mar. 3-8,[1] . .	14.68	13.69	5.25	4.43	9.43	9.26	.589	.576
Mar. 9-14,[1] . .	14.59	14.29	5.34	5.18	9.25	9.11	.590	.576
Mar. 16-21, . .	14.93	14.27	5.42	5.11	9.51	9.16	.606	.586
Mar. 23-28, . .	14.70	14.28	5.27	4.99	9.43	9.29	.597	.586
Mar. 31-Apr. 4, .	14.82	14.52	5.19	5.10	9.63	9.42	.610	.597
Apr. 6-11, . .	15.00	14.37	5.36	5.12	9.64	9.25	.620	.603
Apr. 13-18, . .	14.84	14.29	5.13	5.03	9.71	9.26	.619	.608
Average, . .	14.86	14.35	5.27	5.07	9.58	9.28	.610	.596

[1] Preliminary.

TABLE VI. — *Relation of Fat to Solids not Fat.*

FIRST PERIOD.		SECOND PERIOD.		THIRD PERIOD.		FOURTH PERIOD.	
Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
1:1.73	1:1.72	1:1.70	1:1.79	1:1.77	1:1.81	1:1.82	1:1.83

It is desired at this point to again call attention to the important fact that cow Folly in Herd I. became suddenly ill between the first and second periods, and had to be permanently removed from the experiment. It was thought best, however, to continue the experiment as planned, rather than attempt to start again.

It will be seen, in observing the above tables of analyses, that in the first period, with five cows in each herd and both herds receiving the same ration, the composition of the milk was quite similar. This was, of course, due to the fact that the two herds had been evenly matched. The milk yield of both herds in this period was also essentially the same, namely, 2,243 pounds for Herd I. and 2,292 pounds for Herd II.

In the second period, because of the loss of Folly, it was not possible to make a comparison of the composition of the milk produced by the two herds; hence it was sampled only during the last three weeks, in order to secure a basis of comparison for the two following periods. In this period the relation of fat to solids not fat was 1 : 1.70 and 1 : 1.79 for herds I. and II. respectively.

In the third or corn oil period the composition of the milk produced by both herds is given for the two preliminary weeks as well as for the period proper, although the former is not included in the average. The results show that the milk produced by Herd I. remained quite constant in composition during the entire period. A slight decrease only in the fat percentage is noted, the relation of the fat to solids not fat being as 1 : 1.77. In case of Herd II. the fat percentage suddenly increased from an average of 5.25 to 5.40 during the preliminary period of two weeks, when the corn oil was being added to the ration. The relation of fat to solids not fat during the preliminary period was as 1 : 1.72. The effect of the corn oil appeared to have been lost after two weeks, for during the first week of the period proper the percentage of fat was 5.10, and it declined slightly during the period, with an average of 5.03 and a relation of fat to solids not fat of 1 : 1.81. While the total solids did not change during the preliminary period, they showed a decrease at the close of

the period proper of .53 per cent. It is to be noted that the nitrogen during this period was .034 per cent. lower than in the previous period. A similar decrease was noticed when linseed oil was fed.[1]

The percentage of solid matter in both herds suffered a slight decline during the third period, possibly due to winter weather conditions; but it was greater in case of Herd II., due largely to the decrease of the solids not fat.

In the fourth or corn meal period the milk of Herd I. increased a little in total solids, perhaps due to advanced lactation or to warmer weather. In case of Herd II., the sudden removal of the corn oil caused a temporary decrease of .54 per cent. of fat and a slight increase in the nitrogen. The so-called fat equilibrium, however, was gradually restored; for in the second week of the preliminary period it was equal to that yielded during the last week of the former period, and the percentage continued quite regular during the entire period. The percentage of nitrogen gradually increased for several weeks, and during the last week of the period it was equal to the average percentage found during the second period. Similar conditions were observed in former experiments.[2]

Attention is called to the evenness in the composition of the milk produced by Herd I., which had the same feed for a period of six months, the only change worthy of notice being the gradual increase of the solids not fat from 9.19 to 9.58 per cent.

TABLE VII. — *Composition of the Butter Fat.*[3]

First period: both herds, standard ration.

NUMBER SAMPLES, EACH HERD.	SAPONIFICATION EQUIVALENT.		REICHERT-MEISSL NUMBER.		MELTING POINT (DEGREES C.).		IODINE NUMBER.	
	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
2 samples, . . .	231.5	230.6	30.03	29.52	33.78	33.43	29.07	30.29
2 samples, . . .	231.1	231.0	29.74	29.56	34.43	33.70	29.31	29.75
2 samples, . . .	231.1	231.9	29.48	30.25	34.13	33.48	27.76	29.06
Average, . .	231.2	231.2	29.75	29.78	34.11	33.54	28.71	29.70

[1] Thirteenth report of this station, pp. 107–109. [2] *Loc. Cit.*

[3] Methods of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists.

TABLE VII. — *Composition of the Butter Fat* — Concluded.

Second period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal ration.

Number Samples, Each Herd.	Saponification Equivalent.		Reichert-Meissl Number.		Melting Point (Degrees C.).		Iodine Number.	
	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
2 samples, . . .	231.6	231.9	29.20	29.17	34.53	33.13	26.66	28.63
2 samples, . . .	232.2	232.3	29.52	29.91	34.40	33.43	27.42	29.50
2 samples, . . .	231.1	232.3	29.03	28.66	34.55	32.93	26.91	28.99
2 samples, . . .	232.7	233.7	29.39	28.48	34.58	33.08	26.95	29.59
Average, . .	231.9	232.6	29.29	29.06	34.52	33.17	26.96	29.18

Third period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal and corn oil ration.

2 samples, . . .	230.6	226.0	28.80	28.30	33.83	33.33	26.89	36.68
2 samples, . . .	230.2	224.7	30.20	27.80	33.90	32.93	27.47	37.35
2 samples, . . .	229.5	221.9	28.75	24.85	33.73	34.28	28.34	38.58
2 samples, . . .	230.5	219.6	28.55	23.20	34.05	34.40	28.18	40.23
2 samples, . . .	230.5	220.9	28.22	23.61	34.33	34.03	27.94	39.00
Average, . .	230.3	222.6	28.90	25.55	33.97	33.79	27.76	38.37

Fourth period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., corn meal ration.

2 samples, . . .	229.7	229.0	27.83	26.88	34.18	33.58	28.80	29.51
1 sample, . . .	227.8	229.4	26.64	27.47	34.15	33.70	29.55	29.14
1 sample, . . .	228.4	229.6	26.61	27.43	34.10	33.65	29.68	28.86
2 samples, . . .	229.6	232.4	27.35	27.17	34.28	33.58	29.02	28.84
2 samples, . . .	231.5	231.2	27.14	27.53	34.48	33.98	29.59	28.69
Average, . .	229.4	230.3	27.11	27.30	34.24	33.70	29.33	29.01

In the first period the butter fat produced by both herds showed a very similar composition, trifling variations only being noted in the melting point and iodine number.

In the second or gluten meal period it is difficult to note any change in the composition of the butter fat which may be attributed to the influence of the gluten meal. In a former experiment [1] no striking differences between the butter fat produced by the standard grain ration and a gluten meal ration could be noted. The iodine number of the fat produced by Herd I. showed a noticeable drop during this period, gradually returning during the next two periods to the number indicated in the first period. The reason for this temporary depression is difficult to explain. It is not to be overlooked that the cow Folly was removed during this

[1] Thirteenth and fourteenth reports of this station, pp. 110, 165.

period, and did not again enter the experiment; it is doubtful, however, if her loss in any way affected the composition of the fat.

In the third period Herd II. was still receiving a trifle over 2 pounds of gluten meal daily, so that the ration was essentially the same as in the second period, excepting for the addition of .6 pound of corn oil. It is in this period that a noticeable modification of the butter fat took place with Herd II., while the character of the fat produced by Herd I. remained constant. The difference consisted in the decrease of the saponification equivalent by 10 points, a decrease of the Reichert-Meissl number of $3\frac{1}{2}$ points, and an increase in the iodine number of a trifle over 9 points. The melting point of the fat, on the other hand, showed no marked change. Similar conditions were noted when cotton-seed and linseed oils were fed,[1] excepting that the two latter oils also raised the melting point of the fat.

In the fourth or corn meal period, when the rations of both herds were similar, excepting that corn meal took the place of ground oats with Herd II., the butter fat produced by the latter herd returned to its normal condition, *e.g.*, similar to that produced in the first and second periods, and closely resembling the fat produced by Herd I. during all four periods.

The only noticeable change in the fat of Herd I during the entire experiment,[2] extending from October 20 through April 19, — a period of six months, — consisted in the slight gradual decline in the Reichert-Meissl number, due to advancing lactation. It is interesting to observe the uniformity in the character of butter fat produced by a herd of five cows having the same feed during such a long period of time.

The Opinion of Experts on the Character of the Butter. — Two lots of cream from each herd, raised by the Cooley process, were ripened and made into butter each week. The ripening process generally lasted twenty-four hours, and some commercial starter was employed. The full details of

[1] Thirteenth and fourteenth reports of this station, pp. 110, 165.

[2] Excepting the temporary depression in the iodine number in the second period already referred to.

the process are on file, but it is hardly considered necessary in this connection to publish them, other than to state that the most approved methods were followed.

Pound samples from each lot were sent to Mr. O. Douglass of Boston and Mr. W. A. Gude of New York, who scored them, each being entirely ignorant of the nature of the experiment or of the feeds employed.

TABLE VIII. — *Douglass Butter Scores.*

First period: both herds, standard ration.

FLAVOR.		BODY.		COLOR.		SALT.		STYLE.		TOTAL.	
Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
44.0	43.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.0	93.5
45.0	44.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.0	94.5
45.0	43.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.0	93.0
43.0	43.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	93.0
43.0	44.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	94.0
Av., 44.0	43.6	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.0	93.6

Second period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal ration.

43.0	44.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	94.0
43.0	43.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	93.5
46.0	45.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	96.0	95.5
45.0	41.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.0	91.0
41.0	43.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	91.0	93.0
39.0	40.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	89.0	90.0
Av., 42.8	42.8	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	92.8	92.8

Third period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal and corn oil ration.

44.0	46.0	20	19	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.0	95.0
43.5	45.0	20	19	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.5	94.0
44.5	43.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.5	93.5
45.0	46.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.0	96.5
44.0	45.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.0	95.0
45.5	46.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.5	96.5
43.0	45.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	95.0
42.0	44.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	92.0	94.0
44.0	43.5	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.0	93.5
44.5	45.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.5	95.0
Av., 45.0	45.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	94.0	94.8

Fourth period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., corn meal ration.

43.0	44.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	94.0
42.5	45.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	92.5	95.0
42.0	43.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	92.0	93.0
40.0	41.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	90.0	91.0
45.0	43.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.0	93.0
45.0	40.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	95.0	90.0
42.0	43.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	92.0	93.0
43.0	41.0	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	93.0	91.0
Av., 42.8	42.8	20	20	15	15	10	10	5	5	92.8	92.5

TABLE IX. — *Gude Butter Scores.*

First period: both herds, standard ration.

FLAVOR.		BODY.		COLOR.		SALT.		STYLE.		TOTAL.	
Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
35.0	31.0	24.0	23.0	14.5	15.0	10	10	5	5	88.5	84.0
35.0	32.0	25.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	90.0	86.0
35.0	30.0	25.0	25.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	90.0	85.0
30.0	37.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	82.0	89.0
38.0	32.0	24.0	23.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	92.0	85.0
Av., 35.0	32.0	24.0	23.0	14.9	15.0	10	10	5	5	88.5	85.8

Second period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal ration.

37.0	35.0	24.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	85.0
37.0	36.0	24.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	90.0
32.0	36.0	23.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	85.0	90.0
35.0	33.0	25.0	23.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	90.0	86.0
36.0	36.0	24.0	23.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	90.0	89.0
28.0	28.0	23.0	23.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	81.0	81.0
Av., 34.1	34.0	23.8	23.1	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	88.0	86.8

Third period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., Chicago gluten meal and corn oil ration.

37.0	40.0	25.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	92.0	94.0
37.0	40.0	25.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	92.0	94.0
37.0	38.0	24.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	92.0
39.0	40.0	24.0	25.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	93.0	95.0
42.0	36.0	24.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	96.0	90.0
38.0	41.0	24.0	25.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	92.0	96.0
38.0	40.0	23.0	25.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	95.0
38.0	38.0	23.0	23.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	91.0
36.0	39.0	25.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	93.0
36.0	39.0	25.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	93.0
Av., 37.8	39.1	24.2	24.2	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	92.0	93.3

Fourth period: Herd I., standard ration; Herd II., corn meal ration.

37.0	37.0	24.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	91.0
37.0	37.0	24.5	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	91.0	91.0
35.0	36.0	25.0	24.5	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	90.0	90.5
30.0	33.0	23.0	25.0	15.0	14.0	10	10	5	5	83.0	87.0
41.0	39.0	25.0	25.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	96.0	94.0
40.0	36.0	25.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	95.0	90.0
39.0	39.0	24.0	24.0	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	93.0	93.0
38.0	38.0	25.0	24.5	15.0	15.0	10	10	5	5	93.0	92.5
Av., 37.1	36.9	24.4	24.1	15.0	14.9	10	10	5	5	91.5	91.1

As will be seen from the above scores, Mr. Douglass considered the butter produced by both herds during the several periods of good average quality. The flavor he scored a trifle higher in the first and third periods. He found no fault with the body excepting in case of Herd II. in the

third period ; this butter he repeatedly pronounced as "light bodied, but acceptable in any market."

Mr. Gude appeared to have been much more critical. He often mentioned a "tainted off flavor, as from stale milk," in the butter produced by both herds during the first and second periods. This defect, in our judgment, was due to the starter, with which considerable trouble was experienced, and could in no way be attributed to the feed. The flavor of the butter produced by both herds during the third period was more satisfactory, although it was occasionally referred to as having only a "faint aroma." Mr. Gude several times mentioned the product of Herd II. during this third or corn oil period as having a "fine aroma," and the average score is rather higher than for Herd I. In the fourth period no particular difference in the flavor was observed.

The body of the butter made from Herd I. during all four periods was repeatedly pronounced "short and crumbly ;" the body of that produced by Herd II. in the second and fourth periods was also frequently referred to as being crumbly ; while that produced by the same herd in the third or corn oil period was sometimes spoken of as satisfactory and sometimes as rather light and rather soft, but suitable for market. It was evident that Mr. Gude liked the flavor and body of the butter produced by the corn oil ration. The same fact was noticed when King gluten meal, containing 14 per cent. of corn oil, was fed.[1]

Personal Observations on the Body of the Butter. — The writer made no attempt to pronounce critical judgment on the flavor of the butter, but endeavored to note particularly the character of the body. No difference could be observed in the body of the butter produced by both herds in the first period. It might be characterized as being hard and firm.

In the second period, pound samples of the butter produced by each herd were allowed to reach a temperature of 57° F. The butter from Herd I. at this temperature appeared noticeably harder and firmer than that produced by Herd II. This conclusion was reached as a result of pushing a glass rod into the mass at different points, and by

[1] Thirteenth report of this station, p. 120.

touching the same with the finger. The same differences were noted at a temperature of 70° F. As the temperature was gradually increased, Herd II. butter showed a tendency to lose form more quickly than Herd I. butter. When the interior of the lumps had reached 83° F., Herd II. butter had lost form, and collapsed into a shapeless, slushy mass; while Herd I. butter still stood up in print form, although showing a tendency to lose its shape. When the room temperature reached 95° F., and the interior temperature of the butter 85° F., Herd I. butter also lost form. It is quite possible that, if this latter butter had been held at 85° F., or even lower, for a considerable time, it would also have fallen into a slushy condition. It will be understood that it is quite difficult to control the exact temperature of a mass of butter. The temperature of the room may be 95° F., while the temperature of the interior of the lump of butter may be 10° lower.

Though the butter produced by Herd II. was softer, lost its form and became slushy more quickly than that produced by Herd I., but little of the fat actually melted until a higher temperature had been reached.

While the differences in the body of the butter produced by the two herds was quite marked, it was probably not sufficient to effect its commercial value, at least during the cooler portion of the year.

Similar observations to the above were made on the two lots of butter produced in the third or corn oil period, the results being even *more pronounced*. At a temperature of 44° F., Herd I. butter was very hard and firm; Herd II. butter, while being hard, had rather a greasy, salvy look, and yielded more easily to the touch. After standing over night at a temperature of 70° F., this difference was very pronounced, the Herd I. product being still firm, while the Herd II. was yielding and soft to the touch. At 82° F., Herd I. butter still retained its print form, while Herd II. butter lost form and was quite slushy. It is believed that the corn gluten and corn oil rations produced rather a softer butter than did the cotton-seed oil ration.[1]

[1] Fourteenth report of this station, page 167.

But little difference could be noted in the body of the butter produced by both herds during the fourth or corn meal period. At an interior temperature of 60° F. it was not possible to detect any variation. After the butter had stood twenty-four hours in the same room, and showed an interior temperature of 76° F., Herd II. butter appeared to be a trifle softer than Herd I. butter. The difference was certainly not at all pronounced; both lots would be said to possess a hard, firm body.

TABLE X. — *Degrees of Penetration (Millimeters).*

[Each number represents results with one print.]

First Period (32° F.).		Second Period (65° F.).		Third Period (57° F.).		Fourth Period (67° F.).	
Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.	Herd I.	Herd II.
4.35	4.05	8.39	11.70	6.45	13.30	12.10	13.17
4.15	4.65	8.60	10.80	6.40	13.15	13.96	14.05
4.35	4.50	9.45	11.90	5.95	12.60	15.50	19.08
4.40	4.55	9.10	12.55	5.95	15.10	16.20	15.75
4.25	4.85	11.00	13.10	7.40	14.65	16.15	16.15
–	–	11.10	16.30	7.05	14.70	15.25	16.50
–	–	10.20	15.50	6.30	14.20	15.79	18.46
–	–	–	–	6.55	13.25	16.40	17.75
–	–	–	–	6.00	13.05	–	–
–	–	–	–	6.95	16.15	–	–
Av., 4.30	4.50	9.69	13.12	6.50	14.02	15.17	16.36

By degrees of penetration is meant the number of millimeters a small glass plunger loaded with mercury will penetrate into butter when dropped for a definite height. Unfortunately, through a misunderstanding, the tests of the butter produced by the two herds in the first period were made a temperature of 33° F., so that the plunger had little opportunity to penetrate. In the other periods the butter was taken from the refrigerator and allowed to stand in the dairy room until it had acquired the room temperature. It will be seen that both in the second and third periods, and particularly in the latter, the butter produced by Herd II. was noticeably softer and more yielding than the product of Herd I. In the fourth period little difference was ob-

served. This method of testing has been criticised, on the ground that different portions of the same lump or print would show widely different degrees of firmness. This claim may be to an extent true. In each case, however, a definite number of tests were made in different parts of each print, and results averaged. The variations were not wide, and the differences in the firmness of the butter are quite striking.

Effect of Feed on the Time of Churning the Cream and on the Quantity of Fat left in the Skim Milk and Buttermilk. — The only noticeable difference in the time of churning was in the third period. One-fifth more time was required to bring the butter from the cream produced by Herd II., receiving the corn oil, than from Herd I., receiving the standard ration.

A number of samples of cream, skim milk and buttermilk were taken in each period and the fat percentages determined, the results being tabulated below: —

TABLE XI. — *Fat in Cream, Skim Milk and Buttermilk.*

First Period.						Second Period.					
Herd I.			Herd II.			Herd I.			Herd II.		
Cream.	Skim Milk.	Butter-milk.	Cream.	Skim Milk.	Butter-milk.	Cream.	Skim Milk.	Butter-milk.	Cream.	Skim Milk.	Butter-milk.
15.50	0.11	0.11	16.88	0.07	0.10	18.88	0.23	0.02	16.13	0.34	0.05
17.13	0.08	0.02	16.38	0.13	0.02	17.63	0.24	0.06	16.63	0.34	0.06
18.50	0.18	0.05	16.88	–	0.05	18.50	0.18	0.04	16.88	0.33	0.08
–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.10	–	–	0.12
Av., 17.12	0.12	0.06	16.71	0.10	0.06	18.34	0.23	0.06	16.55	0.34	0.08
Third Period.						Fourth Period.					
17.63	0.20	0.05	18.75	0.15	0.28	–	–	0.41	–	–	0.38
18.18	0.20	0.08	18.50	0.13	0.27	–	0.39	–	–	0.40	–
17.88	0.16	0.09	19.63	0.14	0.24	–	0.43	–	–	0.28	–
18.13	0.20	0.09	17.13	0.23	0.30	–	–	–	–	–	–
18.25	0.24	0.05	18.83	0.20	0.24	–	–	–	–	–	–
18.25	0.20	0.10	16.63	0.23	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
17.63	0.25	0.13	18.75	0.26	0.31	–	–	–	–	–	–
–	–	0.19	–	–	0.40	–	–	–	–	–	–
Av., 17.99	0.21	0.10	18.32	0.19	0.29	–	0.41	0.41	–	0.34	0.38

The results indicate that there was no particular difference in the percentage of fat left in the skim milk or buttermilk produced by the two herds, excepting in case of the buttermilk obtained from the butter produced by Herd II. in the third period, when .20 per cent. more fat was found than in that produced by Herd I. As the period of lactation became advanced, more fat was left in the skim milk produced by both herds. This, however, is a well-established fact with cream raised by the gravity process.

Conclusions. — The fact must not be overlooked that this experiment, on which the following conclusions are based, extended over a period of six months, with periods varying from three to seven weeks in length; the period proper was always preceded by a preliminary period of two weeks.

1. The immediate effect of the addition of .6 pounds of corn oil to the corn gluten meal ration was to increase the fat percentage in the milk .23 of 1 per cent. (5.17 to 5.40); at the end of two weeks the effect of the corn oil had disappeared, and the milk had returned to its normal fat content.

2. The removal of the corn oil from the daily ration caused a sudden drop of .54 per cent. in the fat (4.97 to 4.43), but after the first week the normal fat per cent. was again present.

3. Corn oil appeared to have depressed the nitrogen percentage in the milk by .034 per cent. (.610 to .576); the nitrogen gradually returned to its normal percentage after the feeding of the corn oil had ceased.

4. It is not considered practicable to feed large amounts of oil to cows, it having a tendency to derange the digestive and milk-secreting organs.

5. Corn meal was without effect on the composition of the milk.

6. There was but little change in the composition of the milk produced by Herd I. for a period of six months, during which time the herd received the same or so-called standard ration; a gradual increase in the percentage of solids not fat only was noted.

7. Corn gluten meal and corn meal were without noticeable influences on the chemical composition of the butter fat.

8. The addition of corn oil to the corn gluten meal ration caused a depression of 10 points in the saponification equiva-

lent, a decrease of $3\frac{1}{2}$ points in the Reichert-Meissl number, and an increase of 9 points in the iodine number, while the melting point of the fat remained unchanged.

9. An analysis of the butter fat will seldom give positive knowledge concerning the firmness or body of the butter.

10. A high iodine number is indicative of a soft or "light-bodied" butter; but a high melting point is not a sure indication of a hard, firm butter.

11. It seems probable that neither the proteid or carbohydrate groups, when fed in normal amounts, have any noticeable influence in changing the proportions of the several milk ingredients, or in modifying the chemical character of the butter fat; such changes, so far as they occur, are due to the presence of the oil in the feed stuff.

12. Corn gluten meal with a minimum percentage of oil produced rather a soft, yielding butter; this condition was noticeably increased by the addition of corn oil to the ration.

13. The flavor of butter depends primarily on the cleanliness of the milk, stage of lactation of the animal, method of butter manufacture, and especially upon the character of the starter employed. Normal feeding stuffs are of secondary importance in establishing butter flavor.[1]

14. The present and previous experiments indicate that starchy feeds produce a hard-bodied butter, vegetable oils a soft butter; some proteids a hard-bodied butter, others butter of a softer, lighter body.

---

[1] A possible exception to the above may be made in the case of young, clean pasture grass.

B. Digestion Experiments with Sheep.

J. B. LINDSEY.[1]

Digestion experiments with sheep were begun at this station in 1893, and a full description of the method employed will be found in the eleventh report of the Massachusetts State Experiment Station. The following experiments were made in the autumn of 1902 and during the winter and spring of 1903. The full data is given in this report, with the exception of the daily production of manure and the daily water consumption, in which case, to economize space, averages only are presented.

The periods extended over fourteen days, the first seven of which were preliminary, collection of fæces being made during the last seven. Ten grams of salt were fed each sheep daily, in addition to the regular ration. Water was before the animals at all times. Sheep III. throughout the series gave evidence of strong digestive powers, while Sheep II. and particularly Sheep I. showed at times evidence of weak digestion, and in several cases the results from these two latter sheep were omitted, and the tests will be repeated. The sheep were full-grown grade Southdown wethers, and have been used for a number of years.

Composition of Feed Stuffs (Per Cent.).

[Dry matter.]

Feed Stuffs.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
Digestion hay,	7.63	12.03	31.60	45.89	2.85
Apple pomace,	3.93	5.06	16.17	70.00	4.84
Biles XXXX distillers' grains,	1.77	37.75	14.56	34.15	11.77
Merchants distillers' grains,	2.10	34.52	13.71	35.25	14.42
Brewers' grains,	3.83	26.02	17.48	45.30	7.37
Malt sprouts,	4.97	28.27	16.24	49.02	1.50
Soy bean meal,	5.18	41.93	4.40	29.43	19.06
Hominy meal,	3.38	12.23	4.97	69.43	9.99
Waste, Sheep III., Period XXV.,	7.63	12.03	31.60	45.89	2.85

[1] With E. B. Holland and P. H. Smith.

Composition of Fæces (Per Cent.).

Sheep I.

Period.	Fæces from—	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
XX., .	Digestion hay, ...	10.63	11.65	31.88	42.46	3.38
XXI., .	Apple pomace, ...	9.57	13.98.	29.32	42.40	4.73
XXII., .	Biles XXXX distillers' grains,	10.26	18.28	26.85	41.40	3.21
XXIII., .	Merchants distillers' grains, .	10.63	18.34	27.04	40.81	3.18
XXIV., .	Brewers' grains, . . .	9.94	11.65	28.73	46.98	2.70

Sheep II.

XX., .	Digestion hay, ...	12.08	12.10	28.74	43.45	3.63
XXI., .	Apple pomace, ...	10.58	14.23	26.89	42.70	5.60
XXII., .	Biles XXXX distillers' grains,	10.80	19.13	24.54	42.29	3.24
XXIII., .	Merchants distillers' grains, .	11.79	18.78	23.90	41.94	3.59
XXIV., .	Brewers' grains, . . .	11.46	12.59	26.14	46.78	3.03
XXVII., .	Soy bean meal, ...	13.41	14.22	24.83	43.40	4.14

Sheep III.

XX., .	Digestion hay, ...	12.34	13.71	26.72	43.29	3.94
XXI., .	Apple pomace, ...	11.08	15.63	25.75	42.08	5.46
XXII., .	Biles XXXX distillers' grains,	10.88	19.60	23.92	42.25	3.35
XXIII., .	Merchants distillers' grains, .	11.64	19.53	23.61	41.76	3.46
XXIV., .	Brewers' grains, . . .	11.18	12.29	25.19	48.00	3.34
XXV., .	Malt sprouts, ...	12.82	15.06	24.35	44.27	3.50
XXVII., .	Soy bean meal, ...	12.75	14.28	26.81	41.67	4.49
XXVIII., .	Hominy meal, ...	13.06	14.88	24.06	43.41	4.59

Dry Matter Determinations made at the Time of Weighing out the Different Foods, and Dry Matter in Air-dry Manure (Per Cent.).

Sheep I.

Period.	Digestion Hay.	Apple Pomace.	Biles XXXX Distillers' Grains.	Merchants Distillers' Grains.	Brewers' Grains.	Malt Sprouts.	Soy Bean Meal.	Hominy Meal.	Waste.	Air-dry Manures.
XX., .	85.59	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	90.50
XXI., .	86.60	18.56	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	90.48
XXII., .	86.75	–	90.10	–	–	–	–	–	–	94.66
XXIII., .	87.22	–	–	91.23	–	–	–	–	–	93.24
XXIV., .	87.60	–	–	–	84.31	–	–	–	–	93.12

Dry Matter Determinations made at the Time of Weighing out the Different Foods, and Dry Matter in Air-dry Manure (Per Cent.) — Concluded.

Sheep II.

Period.	Digestion Hay.	Apple Pomace.	Biles XXXX Distillers' Grains.	Merchants Distillers' Grains.	Brewers' Grains.	Malt Sprouts.	Soy Bean Meal.	Hominy Meal.	Waste.	Air-dry Manures.
XX., .	85.59	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	90.32
XXI., .	86.60	18.56	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	90.21
XXII., .	86.75	–	90.10	–	–	–	–	–	–	94.74
XXIII., .	87.22	–	–	91.23	–	–	–	–	–	93.15
XXIV., .	87.60	–	–	–	84.31	–	–	–	–	93.00
XXVII., .	87.82	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	93.23

Sheep III.

XX., .	85.59	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	90.12
XXI., .	86.60	18.56	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	89.78
XXII., .	86.75	–	90.10	–	–	–	–	–	–	94.02
XXIII., .	87.22	–	–	91.23	–	–	–	–	–	93.11
XXIV., .	87.60	–	–	–	84.31	–	–	–	–	93.32
XXV., .	89.12	–	–	–	–	85.55	–	–	73.50	93.56
XXVII., .	87.82	–	–	–	–	–	86.62	–	–	93.40
XXVIII., .	88.25	–	–	–	–	–	–	88.48	–	92.91

Average Daily Amount of Manure excreted and Water drank (Grams).

Period.	Character of Ration.	Sheep I.			Sheep II.			Sheep III.		
		Manure excreted Daily.	Sample Air Dry.	Water drank Daily.	Manure excreted Daily.	Sample Air Dry.	Water drank Daily.	Manure excreted Daily.	Sample Air Dry.	Water drank Daily.
XX.,	Digestion hay,	680	31.96	1,815	590	30.49	1,451	628	30.07	1,640
XXI.,	Apple pomace,	703	29.14	518	528	27.69	382	501	25.78	313
XXII.,	Biles XXXX distillers' grains,	654	28.38	1,690	595	27.98	1,097	671	27.41	1,410
XXIII.,	Merchants distillers' grains,	632	27.46	1,758	600	26.72	1,091	722	26.88	1,531
XXIV.,	Brewers' grains,	741	32.86	2,214	707	30.81	1,142	636	29.04	1,694
XXV.,	Malt sprouts,	1,090	31.33	1,623	933	31.51	1,053	721	26.83	1,286
XXVII.,	Soy bean meal,	674	27.78	2,500	617	24.58	2,208	638	26.05	2,316
XXVIII.,	Hominy meal,	700	28.73	2,500	825	26.25	2,017	637	23.14	2,198

Weights of Animals at Beginning and End of Period (Pounds).

Period.	Character of Ration.	Sheep I.		Sheep II.		Sheep III.	
		Beginning.	End.	Beginning.	End.	Beginning.	End.
XX.,	Digestion hay,	158.75	155.00	150.25	146.25	140.00	139.00
XXI.,	Apple pomace,	153.00	152.00	144.75	146.25	138.00	138.50
XXII.,	Biles XXXX distillers' grains,	152.50	153.50	147.00	146.75	139.50	137.00
XXIII.,	Merchants distillers' grains,	152.50	153.00	148.50	148.25	136.75	139.25
XXIV.,	Brewers' grains,	161.25	155.75	153.25	150.50	144.75	142.75
XXV.,	Malt sprouts,	159.75	156.75	155.25	152.50	145.50	146.25
XXVII.,	Soy bean meal,	154.25	152.00	154.75	154.50	149.00	146.50
XXVIII.,	Hominy meal,	156.75	152.00	157.25	156.50	150.25	149.00

Digestion Hay. — Period XX.

Sheep I.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen free Extract.	Fat.
900 grams hay fed,	770.31	58.77	92.67	243.42	353.50	21.95
319.59 grams manure excreted,	289.23	30.75	33.70	92.21	122.81	9.78
Grams digested,	481.08	28.02	58.97	151.21	230.69	12.17
Per cent. digested,	62.45	47.68	63.63	62.12	65.26	55.44

Sheep II.

900 grams hay fed,	770.31	58.77	92.67	243.42	353.50	21.95
304.86 grams manure excreted,	275.35	33.26	33.32	79.13	119.64	10.00
Grams digested,	494.96	25.51	59.35	164.29	233.86	11.95
Per cent. digested,	64.25	43.41	64.04	67.49	66.16	54.44

Sheep III.

900 grams hay fed,	770.31	58.77	92.67	243.42	353.50	21.95
300.70 grams manure excreted,	270.99	33.44	37.15	72.41	117.31	10.68
Grams digested,	499.32	25.33	55.52	171.01	236.19	11.27
Per cent. digested,	64.82	43.10	59.91	70.25	66.81	51.34
Average per cent. digested (three sheep),	**63.84**	**44.73**	**62.53**	**66.62**	**66.08**	**53.74**

Average nutritive ratio of rations for three sheep, 1 : 7.29.

Apple Pomace. — Period XXI.

Sheep I.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
600 grams hay fed,	519.60	39.65	62.51	164.19	238.44	14.81
1,200 grams apple pomace fed,	222.72	8.75	11.27	36.01	155.90	11.79
Total consumed,	742.32	48.40	73.78	200.20	394.34	26.60
291.36 grams manure excreted,	263.62	25.23	36.85	77.29	111.77	12.47
Amount digested,	478.70	23.17	36.93	122.91	282.57	14.13
Minus hay digested,	332.54	17.84	39.38	110.01	157.37	8.00
Apple pomace digested,	146.16	5.33	–	12.90	125.20	6.13
Per cent. digested,	65.63	60.91	–	35.82	80.31	51.99

Sheep II.

Total consumed as above,	742.32	48.40	73.78	200.20	394.34	26.60
276.90 grams manure excreted,	249.79	26.43	35.55	67.17	106.66	13.99
Amount digested,	492.53	21.97	38.23	133.03	287.68	12.61
Minus hay digested,	332.54	17.84	39.38	110.01	157.37	8.00
Apple pomace digested,	159.99	4.13	–	23.02	130.31	4.61
Per cent. digested,	71.83	47.20	–	63.93	83.59	39.10

Sheep III.

Total consumed as above,	742.32	48.40	73.78	200.20	394.34	26.60
257.81 grams manure excreted,	231.46	25.65	36.18	59.60	97.40	12.64
Amount digested,	510.86	22.75	37.60	140.60	296.94	13.96
Minus hay digested,	332.54	17.84	39.38	110.01	157.37	8.00
Apple pomace digested,	178.32	4.91	–	30.59	139.57	5.96
Per cent. digested,	80.06	56.11	–	84.95	89.53	50.56
Average per cent. digested (three sheep),	**72.51**	**54.74**	–	**61.57**	**84.48**	**47.22**

Average nutritive ratio of rations for three sheep, 1 : 12.02.

Biles Distillers' Grains. — Period XXII.

Sheep I.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
650 grams hay fed,	563.88	43.02	67.83	178.19	258.76	16.07
250 grams Biles distillers' grains fed,	225.25	3.99	85.03	32.80	76.92	26.51
Total consumed,	789.13	47.01	152.86	210.99	335.68	42.58
283.76 grams manure excreted,	268.61	27.56	49.10	72.12	111.20	8.62
Amount digested,	520.52	19.54	103.76	138.87	224.48	33.96
Minus hay digested,	360.88	19.36	42.73	119.39	170.78	8.68
Biles distillers' grains digested,	159.64	–	61.03	19.48	53.70	25.28
Per cent. digested,	70.87	–	71.77	59.39	69.31	95.36

Sheep II.

Total consumed as above,	789.13	47.01	152.86	210.99	335.68	42.58
279.77 grams manure excreted,	265.05	28.63	50.70	65.04	112.09	8.59
Amount digested,	524.08	18.38	102.16	145.95	223.59	33.99
Minus hay digested,	360.88	19.36	42.73	119.39	170.78	8.68
Biles distillers' grains digested,	163.20	–	59.43	26.56	52.81	25.31
Per cent. digested,	72.45	–	69.39	80.98	68.66	95.48

Sheep III.

Total consumed as above,	789.13	47.01	152.86	210.99	335.68	42.58
274.09 grams manure excreted,	257.70	28.04	50.51	61.64	108.88	8.63
Amount digested,	531.43	18.97	102.35	149.35	226.80	33.95
Minus hay digested,	360.88	19.36	42.73	119.39	170.78	8.68
Biles distillers' grains digested,	170.55	–	59.62	29.96	56.02	25.27
Per cent. digested,	75.71	–	70.12	91.34	72.83	95.32
Average per cent. digested (three sheep),	**73.01**	**–**	**70.59**	**77.24**	**70.43**	**95.39**

Average nutritive ratio of rations for three sheep, 1:4.34.

Merchants Distillers' Grains. — Period XXIII.

Sheep I.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
650 grams hay fed,	566.93	43.26	68.20	179.15	260.16	16.16
250 grams merchants distillers' grains fed,	228.08	4.79	78.73	31.27	80.40	32.89
Total consumed,	795.01	48.05	146.93	210.42	340.56	49.05
274.64 grams manure excreted,	256.07	27.22	46.96	69.24	104.50	8.14
Amount digested,	538.94	20.83	99.97	141.18	236.06	40.91
Minus hay digested,	362.84	19.47	42.97	120.03	171.71	8.73
Merchants distillers' grains digested,	176.10	1.36	57.00	21.15	64.35	32.18
Per cent. digested,	77.21	28.39	72.40	67.64	80.04	97.84

Sheep II.

Total consumed as above,	795.01	48.05	146.93	210.42	340.56	49.05
267.16 grams manure excreted,	248.86	29.34	46.74	59.48	104.37	8.93
Amount digested,	546.15	18.71	100.19	150.94	236.19	40.12
Minus hay digested,	362.84	19.47	42.97	120.03	171.71	8.73
Merchants distillers' grains digested,	183.31	–	57.22	30.91	64.48	31.39
Per cent. digested,	80.37	–	72.68	98.85	80.20	95.44

Sheep III.

Total consumed as above,	795.01	48.05	146.93	210.42	340.56	49.05
268.76 grams manure excreted,	250.24	29.13	48.87	59.08	104.50	8.66
Amount digested,	544.77	18.92	98.06	151.34	236.06	40.39
Minus hay digested,	362.84	19.47	42.97	120.03	171.71	8.73
Merchants distillers' grains digested,	181.93	–	55.09	31.31	64.35	31.66
Per cent. digested,	79.77	–	69.97	100.00	80.04	96.26
Average per cent. digested (three sheep),	**79.12**	–	**71.68**	**88.83**	**80.09**	**96.51**

Average nutritive ratio of rations for three sheep, 1 : 4.78.

Dried Brewers' Grains. — Period XXIV.

Sheep I.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
500 grams hay fed,	438.00	33.42	52.69	138.41	201.00	12.48
400 grams brewers' grains fed,	337.24	12.92	87.75	58.95	152.77	24.85
Total consumed,	775.24	46.34	140.44	197.36	353.77	37.33
328.61 grams manure excreted,	306.00	30.42	35.65	87.91	143.76	8.26
Amount digested,	469.24	15.92	104.79	109.45	210.01	29.07
Minus hay digested,	280.32	15.04	33.19	92.73	132.66	6.74
Brewers' grains digested,	188.92	.88	71.60	16.72	77.35	22.33
Per cent. digested,	56.02	–	81.60	28.36	50.63	89.36

Sheep II.

Total consumed as above,	775.24	46.34	140.44	197.36	353.77	37.33
308.06 grams manure excreted,	286.50	32.83	36.07	74.89	134.02	8.68
Amount digested,	488.74	13.51	104.37	122.47	219.75	28.65
Minus hay digested,	280.32	15.04	33.19	92.73	132.66	6.74
Brewers' grains digested,	208.42	–	71.18	29.74	87.09	21.91
Per cent. digested,	61.80	–	81.12	50.45	57.01	88.17

Sheep III.

Total consumed as above,	775.24	46.34	140.44	197.36	353.77	37.33
290.43 grams manure excreted,	271.03	30.30	33.31	68.27	130.09	9.05
Amount digested,	504.21	16.04	107.13	129.09	223.68	28.28
Minus hay digested,	280.32	15.04	33.19	92.73	132.66	6.74
Brewers' grains digested,	223.89	1.00	73.94	36.36	91.02	21.54
Per cent. digested,	66.39	–	84.26	61.68	59.58	86.68
Average per cent. digested (three sheep),	**61.40**	**–**	**82.33**	**46.83**	**55.74**	**88.24**

Average nutritive ratio of rations for three sheep, 1 : 4.14.

Malt Sprouts. — Period XXV.

Sheep III.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
700 grams English hay fed,	623.84	47.60	75.05	197.13	286.28	17.78
42.14 grams waste hay,	30.97	2.36	3.73	9.79	14.21	.88
Total hay consumed,	592.87	45.24	71.32	187.34	272.07	16.90
200 grams malt sprouts fed,	171.10	8.50	48.37	27.79	83.87	2.57
Total consumed,	763.97	53.74	119.69	215.13	355.94	19.47
268.33 grams manure excreted,	251.05	32.18	37.81	61.13	111.14	8.79
Amount digested,	512.92	21.56	81.88	154.00	244.80	10.68
Minus hay digested,	379.44	20.36	44.93	125.52	179.57	9.13
Malt sprouts digested,	133.48	1.20	36.95	28.48	65.23	1.55
Per cent. digested,	78.01	–	76.39	102.50	77.78	60.31

Nutritive ratio of ration for Sheep III., 1: 5.16.

Soy Bean Meal. — Period XXVII.

Sheep II.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
700 grams hay fed,	614.74	46.90	73.95	194.26	282.10	17.52
200 grams soy bean meal fed,	173.24	8.97	72.64	7.62	50.98	33.02
Total consumed,	787.98	55.87	146.59	201.88	333.08	50.54
245.80 grams manure excreted,	229.16	30.73	32.59	56.90	99.46	9.49
Amount digested,	558.82	25.14	114.00	144.98	233.62	41.05
Minus hay digested,	393.43	21.11	46.59	130.15	186.19	9.46
Soy bean meal digested,	165.39	4.03	67.41	14.83	47.43	31.59
Per cent. digested,	95.46	44.93	92.80	194.62	93.04	95.67

Sheep III.

Total consumed as above,	787.98	55.87	146.59	201.88	333.08	50.54
260.47 grams manure excreted,	243.28	31.02	34.74	65.22	101.37	10.92
Amount digested,	544.70	24.85	111.85	136.66	231.71	39.62
Minus hay digested,	393.43	21.11	46.59	130.15	186.19	9.46
Soy bean meal digested,	151.27	3.74	65.26	6.51	45.52	30.16
Per cent. digested,	87.32	41.70	89.34	85.43	89.29	91.34
Average per cent. digested (two sheep),	**91.39**	**43.32**	**91.07**	**140.03**	**91.17**	**93.51**

Average nutritive ratio of rations for two sheep, 1: 4.11.

Hominy Meal. — Period XXVIII.

Sheep III.

	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
600 grams hay fed,	529.50	40.40	63.70	167.32	242.99	15.09
300 grams hominy meal fed,	265.44	8.97	32.46	13.19	184.29	26.52
Total consumed,	794.94	49.37	96.16	180.51	427.28	41.61
231.44 grams manure excreted,	215.03	28.08	32.00	51.74	93.34	9.87
Amount digested,	579.91	21.29	64.16	128.77	333.94	31.74
Minus hay digested,	338.88	18.18	40.13	112.10	160.37	8.15
Hominy meal digested,	241.03	3.11	24.03	16.67	173.57	23.59
Per cent. digested,	90.80	34.67	74.03	126.55	94.18	88.95

Nutritive ratio of ration for Sheep III., 1 : 8.32.

Summary of Coefficients.

Ration.	Sheep Number.	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fibre.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
Hay,	Sheep I.,	62.45	47.68	63.63	62.12	65.26	55.44
	Sheep II.,	64.25	43.41	64.04	67.49	66.16	54.44
	Sheep III.,	64.82	43.10	59.91	70.25	66.81	51.34
Average,		**63.84**	**44.73**	**62.53**	**66.62**	**66.08**	**53.74**
Apple pomace,	Sheep I.,	65.63	60.91	–	35.82	80.31	51.99
	Sheep II.,	71.83	47.20	–	63.93	83.59	39.10
	Sheep III.,	80.06	56.11	–	84.95	89.53	50.56
Average,		**72.51**	**54.74**	–	**61.57**	**84.48**	**47.22**
Biles distillers' grains,	Sheep I.,	70.87	–	71.77	59.39	69.31	95.36
	Sheep II.,	72.45	–	69.39	80.98	68.66	95.48
	Sheep III.,	75.71	–	70.12	91.34	72.83	95.32
Average,		**73.01**	–	**70.59**	**77.24**	**70.43**	**95.39**
Merchants distillers' grains,	Sheep I.,	77.21	28.39	72.40	67.64	80.04	97.84
	Sheep II.,	80.37	–	72.68	98.85	80.20	95.44
	Sheep III.,	79.77	–	69.97	100.00	80.04	96.26
Average,		**79.12**	–	**71.68**	**88.83**	**80.09**	**96.51**
Dried brewers' grains,	Sheep I.,	56.02	–	81.60	28.36	50.63	89.36
	Sheep II.,	61.80	–	81.12	50.45	57.01	88.17
	Sheep III.,	66.39	–	84.26	61.68	59.58	86.68
Average,		**61.40**	–	**82.33**	**46.83**	**55.74**	**88.24**
Malt sprouts,	Sheep III.,	**78.01**	–	**76.39**	**102.50**	**77.78**	**60.31**
Soy bean meal,	Sheep II.,	95.46	44.93	92.80	194.62	93.04	95.67
	Sheep III.,	87.32	41.70	89.34	85.43	89.29	91.34
Average,		**91.39**	**43.32**	**91.07**	**140.03**	**91.17**	**93.51**
Hominy meal,	Sheep III.,	**90.80**	**34.67**	**74.03**	**126.55**	**94.18**	**88.95**

Discussion of the Results.

Digestion Hay. — The hay was largely Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), cut in bloom, and was employed in all of the several tests herein reported. It showed a high degree of digestibility.

Apple Pomace. — The pomace was taken fresh from the cider mill, and contained 18.56 per cent. of dry matter. It is the first digestion test of such material on record, either in Europe or the United States. The sheep did not digest it as evenly as could be desired, although they ate it satisfactorily, and no digestion disturbances were noted. The percentage of crude protein (5.06 in dry matter) was small, and no coefficients were obtained. This, in all probability, was partly due to the "digestion depression" known to take place when feeds especially high in carbohydrates are added to a hay ration, the effect being particularly noticeable in the protein, and to a less extent in the fiber. The pomace contained fully as much digestible matter as silage made from the smaller varieties of corn. Whether, per unit of dry matter, it is as valuable a feed as corn silage, is rather uncertain. This point will be ascertained later.

Distillers' Dried Grains. — Considerable has been said concerning the source, composition and digestibility of distillers' grains in the thirteenth report of this station. Briefly stated, these feeds represent the residue in the manufacture of alcohol, spirits and whiskey from the several cereals, and are composed chiefly of the hull, germ and protein matter of the grains. In the better class of such material, containing 28 or more per cent. of protein, the residue consists largely of corn. In the most modern plants, the distillery slop, hot from the stills, is dried immediately in especially constructed driers, and has a slightly sour taste and smell. One of the two samples herein reported — the merchants — had a slightly burned taste, which is not to be desired. The grains are now sold in Massachusetts markets under the following names: Biles XXXX Grains, Ajax Flakes, Merchants Grains, Hall's AAAA Grains, Atlas Gluten Meal and Corn Protegran.

Both samples here reported showed a high degree of

digestibility. The sample of Merchants grains proved about 6 per cent. more digestible than the "Fourex" brand, due probably to the character of the cereals used in the mash. The difference was principally in the extract matter. Comparing the coefficients obtained with Sheep III., the difference in case of the total dry matter is reduced to 4 per cent. Marked differences are observed in the digestibility of the fiber. Such variations in fiber digestibility are characteristic of all grains and grain by-products. This matter will be referred to farther on.

In this connection it will doubtless prove of interest to summarize the results obtained at this station with 7 different samples of distillers' grains : —

Composition of the Grains (Per Cent.).

Brand.	Water.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
Biles X, ...	8.91	1.68	29.15	9.58	40.03	10.65
Biles XX, ...	9.53	2.44	25.49	11.22	41.80	9.52
Biles XXX, ...	7.46	2.05	29.86	10.28	38.52	11.83
Biles XXXX, . . .	8.83	1.70	34.76	11.40	33.50	9.81
Biles XXXX, . . .	9.45	1.55	35.46	13.00	29.87	10.67
Merchants, ...	8.77	1.92	31.49	12.51	32.15	13.16
Atlas, ...	8.96	.94	38.80	8.86	28.08	14.36
Average, . . .	8.84	1.75	32.14	10.98	34.85	11.43

The percentage of ash is low, as would naturally be expected. Its exact character has not been determined. The protein percentage is relatively high, and varies considerably, depending upon the material used. The brands offered in Massachusetts have been guaranteed to contain 33 and 34 per cent. Considerable fiber is present, as a result of the incorporated grain hulls. The fiber and extract matter must show a very considerable amount of pentosans, although determinations have not been made. The fat percentage is quite high, being similar in quantity to that contained in the corn gluten feed, before the corn oil was extracted.

Digestion Coefficients of Distillers' Dried Grains (Per Cent.).

[All experiments.]

Number of Sheep.	Brand.	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Protein.	Fiber.	Nitrogen-free Extract.	Fat.
Sheep I.,	Biles X grains,	86.50	20.24	65.51	130.11	93.12	93.94
Sheep III.,	Biles X grains,	87.07	–	80.05	127.56	85.15	97.60
Sheep I.,	Biles XX grains,	88.53	13.91	79.94	122.75	88.22	94.45
Sheep VI.,	Biles XX grains,	79.77	–	76.50	97.22	79.77	94.87
Sheep II.,	Biles XXX grains,	79.88	–	73.41	108.91	78.03	91.78
Sheep VI.,	Biles XXX grains,	71.41	–	74.01	66.03	72.69	93.95
Sheep I.,	Biles XXXX grains,	79.82	–	72.08	102.77	81.12	96.99
Sheep VI.,	Biles XXXX grains,	73.47	–	69.22	81.78	76.73	98.45
Sheep I.,	Biles XXXX grains,	70.87	–	71.77	59.39	69.31	95.36
Sheep II.,	Biles XXXX grains,	72.45	–	69.39	80.98	68.66	95.48
Sheep III.,	Biles XXXX grains,	75.71	–	70.12	91.34	72.83	95.32
Sheep IV.,	Merchants grains,	77.21	28.39	72.40	67.64	80.04	97.84
Sheep V.,	Merchants grains,	80.37	–	72.68	98.85	80.20	95.44
Sheep VI.,	Merchants grains,	79.77	–	69.97	100.00	80.04	96.26
Sheep III.,	Atlas gluten meal,	79.53	–	73.04	94.88	84.00	92.43
Sheep IV.,	Atlas gluten meal,	79.75	–	72.56	116.50	84.91	90.06
Average,		78.88	–	72.67	96.67	79.68	95.01

As a result of 16 single digestion trials with 6 different samples, several points may be noted: —

(*a*) The dry matter coefficients differed considerably, but the grains showed a high average digestibility.

(*b*) The ash was apparently little digested. Whether this was literally true, or whether a portion of it was substituted for the digestible ash of the hay, is not clear.

(*c*) The protein in the several brands was quite evenly digested, except in the first two samples.

(*d*) The fiber showed marked variations in digestibility, in common with all feeds of similar character. While it has been generally held that nitrogenous feed stuffs do not affect the normal digestibility of the coarse fodders they supplement, it certainly seems reasonable to conclude that the addition of 200 to 250 grams of the distillers' grains to the hay

ration, giving a nutritive ration of 1 : 4, has resulted in increasing the digestibility of the fiber in the hay; which accounts, in the majority of cases, for the apparently very high digestion coefficients obtained. Admitting this to be the case, the fact still remains that, while the digestion coefficient for the fiber is rather of an uncertain quantity, it must be regarded as relatively high.

(*e*) The extract matter digested in the several samples differed to a noticeable extent, depending probably upon the nature of the material composing it, the way in which it is united with the crude fiber, and also upon the digestive capacity of the sheep. Other things being equal, animals in normal condition should digest substantially equal quantities of the same feed stuff, when fed under similar conditions. It often happens, however, that one or the other animal will be a trifle out of condition without giving any external evidence of it, and hence will digest rather more of one fodder group and less of another.

Attention is called to the fact that the higher the digestion coefficients obtained for the fiber, the higher are those obtained for the extract. This is undoubtedly due to the intimate chemical and physiological relations known to exist between these two fodder groups.

(*f*) The fat was quite evenly and largely digested.

Dried Brewers' Grains. — These were grains of good quality, and of a fresh, bright color. Sheep I. showed its inability to digest the fiber and extract matter as well as the other sheep, and even Sheep II. did not utilize the fiber as well as Sheep III. The average coefficients for the three sheep corresponded quite well with former experiments made at this station, and also with German experiments; excepting that in case of the American tests the coefficients for the protein and fiber are somewhat higher, and the extract matter 4 per cent. lower.

Malt Sprouts. — These were of average quality. They contained an exceptionally large portion of their nitrogen, 42.29 per cent., in the form of amids. The experiment was conducted with three sheep, but Sheep I. and Sheep II. digested so much less fiber and extract matter than is cus-

tomary that the results with these two sheep were discarded, and the test will be repeated. Only one other American test with a single animal is on record, and showed considerably less fiber and extract matter digested than that obtained in the present experiment. The present single test agrees fairly well with the seven German trials reported.

Soy Bean Meal (*Brook's Medium Green*). — This variety is by far the best suited to northern conditions. The sample was grown upon the station grounds, and was coarsely ground before being fed. The seed is green in color, and similar in size to dwarf garden peas. The bean contained 61 per cent. of protein and fat, and these two ingredients are shown to be 91 and 93 per cent. digestible. The extract matter, 29.43 per cent., was 91 per cent. digested. The coefficients of digestibility obtained for the fiber are, of course, incorrect, due probably to the favorable influence of the soy bean, a nitrogenous feed stuff, in increasing the digestibility of the hay carbohydrates. It can be assumed that the fiber contained in the soy bean has a high rate of digestibility. The small amount present, 4.40 per cent., renders a knowledge of the exact percentage digestible of minor importance.

The three American digestion trials, with an unnamed variety, reported in Lindsey's compilation, show the protein and fat to have been nearly as well digested as those in the present trial. The fiber and extract matter, on the other hand, had noticeably lower digestion coefficients (33 and 71). In the two German trials reported the protein and fat are respectively 87 and 94 per cent., and the extract matter 62 per cent. digestible, while the digestibility of the fiber is not stated.

It is evident, from all trials thus far made, that the protein and fat, comprising from 50 to 60 per cent. of the bean is very fully digested. Whether the high digestibility of the fiber and extract in the present experiment is due to the variety of the bean, or is a peculiarity of the sheep employed, will be determined by further tests.

Hominy Meal, or Chop. — As used for cattle feeding this consists of the hull, germ and some of the gluten and soft

starch. The sample tested for digestibility was of good average quality. The present trial was made with three sheep, but Sheep I. and Sheep II. digested so unevenly that the results were discarded, it being evident that their digestion powers had become weakened by continued use. The results with Sheep III. show the hominy to be fully as digestible as corn meal. The addition to the hay of even a carbohydrate feed, such as hominy, seemed to have increased the digestibility of the fiber in the hay, judging from the coefficients obtained for the hominy fiber.

C. Raising Dairy Calves without Milk.

J. B. LINDSEY.

With plenty of skim milk available, the rearing of calves intended for the dairy is a comparatively simple matter. There is, however, a constantly increasing demand in Massachusetts for whole milk, and the amount available for butter production is likely to diminish from year to year. With little or no skim milk at his disposal, the dairyman desirous of growing his own young stock is in need of a milk substitute to feed the calf during the first four to six months of its life. The brief experiment here reported was made to test the efficacy, for such a purpose, of Hayward's and Blatchford's calf meals.

(1) *Hayward's Calf Meal.*

Hayward of the Pennsylvania experiment station studied the question of providing a cheap and suitable milk substitute, and published his results in Bulletin No. 60 of that station. He succeeded in rearing ten unselected grade Guernsey calves without the aid of milk after the first fourteen to eighteen days. Most of the calves weighed from 150 to 250 pounds when from four to five months old, and were produced at a food cost of from $8 to $9 each. He concluded that the calf meal was a fairly satisfactory milk substitute, if used judiciously by careful feeders, but that it was not equal to whole milk.

The formula proposed by Hayward for the meal was as follows: —

	Pounds.
Wheat flour,	30
Cocoanut meal,	25
Nutrium,	20
Linseed meal,	10
Dried blood,	2

Hayward employed whole wheat, grown at the station and ground by the local miller. In the test about to be reported St. Louis flour at a cost of 2 cents a pound was used.

Cocoanut meal is a by-product in the manufacture of cocoanut oil, and was obtained of the India Product Food Company, 50 Chatham Street, Boston, Mass. It has a decided cocoanut odor, and tested 21.11 per cent. of protein and 19.23 per cent. of fat. Cocoanut oil is likely to become rancid after a brief period. Hayward believed it to have quite a favorable effect as a part of the calf meal.

Nutrium is a powder prepared by the National Nutrient Company of Jersey City, N. J., and is simply skim milk evaporated at a low temperature. It was very dry and fine, and kept well. This company also puts out the same article in granular form, but the powder is to be preferred.

Dried blood, especially prepared for feeding purposes, is offered by the Armour Fertilizer Works and by Swift & Co. of Chicago. It is also to be had of the agricultural warehouses in the large cities. It was employed by Hayward to check scours.

Cost per Pound of Each Ingredient and of the Mixture.

	Pounds.	Cost (Cents).	Total.
Wheat flour,	30	2	$0 60
Cocoanut meal,	25	1½	38
Nutrium,	20	10	2 00
Linseed meal,	10	1½	15
Blood,	2	4 [1]	08
	87	-	$3 21

[1] In small quantities.

The cost per pound figures 3.7 cents, and to this must be added the freight charges on the nutrium, cocoanut meal and blood. Those who are desirous of trying this mixture would, of course, purchase these ingredients in larger quantities than the above, but it is doubtful if the meal could be prepared for much less than 4 cents a pound.

Method of Feeding the Meal. — This station tested the calf meal, using two unselected thrifty grade Jersey calves, a bull and a heifer. The several ingredients were in such good mechanical condition that it was not necessary to grind

the mixture. It may not be out of place to add that the meal should be fine, and free from any coarse particles.

One pound was thoroughly stirred into 8 pounds of very hot water, and allowed to stand until milk-warm, in which condition it was fed. Hayward used 6 pounds of water to a pound of meal, and employed a calf feeder; but in our case it was considered better to teach the animals to drink at once.

The calves were fed whole milk for the first nine to fourteen days, and then skim milk and calf meal gradually substituted, whole milk being entirely taken away at the end of three weeks. Three quarts of skim milk were fed daily, in addition to the calf meal, until the calves were four or five weeks old, when both calves were placed upon an entire diet of calf meal. Hayward used the calf meal entirely after the first ten days, but it seemed wiser to the writer to allow some milk for a longer period, and thus give the animals a better start. Three-fourths of a pound of the meal was fed at first, and the amount gradually increased, until at the close of the experiment Calf I. was receiving 3 pounds and Calf II. 2 pounds of the meal daily.

Average Daily Record of Each Calf.

	Days entirely on Milk.	Average Amount Daily (Quarts).	Days partly on Milk, partly on Meal (Amount Daily).		
			Days.	Milk (Quarts).	Meal (Pounds).
Calf I., ...	14	5.7	19	4.3	1
Calf II., ...	9	5.3	15	4.3	1

Average Daily Record of Each Calf — Concluded.

	Days entirely on Meal (Amount).		Days partly on Milk after feeding Meal as Entire Ration.		Total consumed.	
	Days.	Amount (Pounds.)	Days.	Milk (Quarts).	Milk (Quarts).	Meal (Pounds).
Calf I., . .	143	2.50	2	4.0	152 [1]	374.0
Calf II., . .	101	2.20	44	2.6	229 [2]	306.5

[1] Whole milk, 93 quarts; skim milk, 59 quarts.
[2] Whole milk, 69 quarts; skim milk, 160 quarts.

Effect of the Calf Meal. — No serious trouble was experienced with either calf until February 12, when Calf II. suffered a bad attack of indigestion, which rendered it necessary to take away a considerable portion of the meal and substitute skim milk; and this animal was still receiving some milk when the experiment terminated, although she recovered and made good gains. On January 5 the supply of cocoanut meal became exhausted, and flour middlings was used in its place until March 10. The continued use of the middlings may have been a partial cause of the trouble. Calf I. was rather more robust, and experienced only a slight digestion disturbance about the middle of February, when a portion of the meal was replaced by skim milk for two days. While the calves did not have as sleek an appearance as animals raised on a whole milk diet, they were certainly in a thrifty growing condition, and at the close of the trial appeared especially vigorous.

Weekly Weights of Calves (Pounds).

Dates.	Calf I.	Calf II.	Dates.	Calf I.	Calf II.
November 10,	95 [1]	85 [2]	February 2,	190	182
November 17,	105	95	February 9,	203	191
November 24,	110	97	February 16,	210	177 [3]
December 1,	115	105	February 23,	222	185
December 8,	122	112	March 2,	235	185
December 15,	130	120	March 9,	247	197
December 22,	142	130	March 16,	252	218
December 29,	147	135	March 23,	263	225
January 5,	157	145	March 30,	277	235
January 12,	–	–	April 6,	295	250
January 19,	167	152	April 13,	310	260
January 26,	170	170			

[1] Just after beginning calf meal, three weeks after birth.
[2] Just after beginning calf meal, two and one-half weeks after birth.
[3] Ill with indigestion.

It will be seen from the above tables that the calves made a fair growth during the experiment, especially during the last month of the trial. Calf I. weighed 310 pounds when six months old, and made an average daily growth of 1.4 pounds while receiving the calf meal; while Calf II. weighed 260 pounds when five and one-half months old, and gained 1.1 pounds daily on the calf meal.

Cost of Feed Consumed. — Allowing 3 cents a quart for the whole milk, ½ cent a quart for the skim milk and 4 cents

a pound for the calf meal, the food cost in case of Calf I. was $20.20, and in case of Calf II. $15.11. If the calves had been fed largely calf meal at the end of the second week, this cost would have been somewhat reduced. Again, the calves might have been transferred a month earlier to a hay and grain diet. The object, however, in the present test was not to ascertain the minimum cost of raising the calf, but rather to note the effect of the calf meal during the first five or six months of the animal's life.

Conclusions. — 1. It is evident that, with reasonable care and cleanliness, calves can be successfully reared on Hayward's calf meal.

2. The meal is to be preferred only when a supply of skim milk is not available, or as a substitute for a portion of the milk.

3. The cost[1] is likely to be somewhat greater than when skim milk can be had at two cents a gallon. The expense of the meal is largely due to the nutrium, yet it is doubtful if a mixture as satisfactory for young calves could be obtained without the use of this substance.

4. The meal is evidently better utilized by calves after they are three months old than before that period.

5. The best method to be employed would probably be to allow the calf to suck the cow for the first two days, then feed whole milk for five days, to be followed by half whole and half skim milk for a week, gradually reducing the whole milk, so that at the beginning of the fourth week the diet may consist of 3 quarts of skim milk and ¾ to one pound of the meal, dissolved in the necessary hot water. At the end of the fourth week the skim milk may be dropped, and the calf put upon a diet of 2 pounds of the calf meal a day. Slight modifications may be made in this method depending on the condition of the animal.

---

[1] The writer has grown seven unselected young calves, having an average weight when three days old of 73 pounds, to an average weight when ten weeks old of 173 pounds, on skim milk, together with such common grains as corn meal, wheat flour, flour middlings and gluten feed, at an average food cost of $4.80 each. By this method of feeding, calves ought to be produced that will weigh 200 to 300 pounds when five months old, at a food cost not exceeding $9 or $10. (See eleventh report of Massachusetts State Experiment Station, p. 125.)

6. It may be possible to modify the meal by replacing the cocoanut meal with some more common feeding stuffs, although Hayward did not succeed in finding a satisfactory substitute.

(2) *Blatchford's Calf Meal.*

This material, put out by the Barwell Mills, at Waukegan, Ill., is highly recommended by the manufacturers as a milk substitute. It is composed principally of linseed meal, beans, carob beans, cotton-seed meal and fenugreek, and retails at $3\frac{1}{2}$ cents a pound. It has a very pronounced odor and flavor.

How the Meal was fed. — This article was tested by feeding it to one rugged grade Holstein calf, dropped Dec. 11, 1902. Unfortunately, the detailed record of the early part of this test has been lost, although some notes are on hand. The calf was first fed whole milk, a little later whole and skim milk, and at the end of two or three weeks the calf meal gradually substituted. The calf at first objected to the odor or taste of the meal, and never seemed to thoroughly relish it, although no serious difficulty was found in inducing the animal to take it. One pound of the meal was added to 6 pounds of hot water, thoroughly stirred and fed milk-warm. It was not possible to place the calf entirely upon the meal for a considerable time, hence the daily feed consisted of 4 quarts of skim milk and 2 pounds of the calf meal with the necessary water. On March 23, when a little over three months old, the calf was receiving $2\frac{3}{4}$ pounds of the meal daily, and continued to take this quantity without other food until the experiment terminated, May 4, the calf then being approximately four and one-half months old.

Weight of the Calf.

	Pounds.
March 23 (first record),	203.5
March 30,	205.0
April 6,	214.5
April 13,	221.0
April 20,	232.0
April 27,	242.0
May 14,	251.0

The animal did not suffer any serious digestion disturbances, and certainly grew well, as the above weights indicate, making an average increase of 1.15 pounds daily during the last forty-two days of the test.

Conclusions. — The above single trial is not sufficient to enable one to draw any absolute conclusions. The writer, however, observed the calf closely during the trial, and believes he is justified in making the following statements: —

1. Blatchford's calf meal is hardly as satisfactory as the Hayward mixture during the first three months of the calf's life, and it will probably prove necessary to feed one-third skim or whole milk and two-thirds meal during this period.

2. Used as above indicated, it proved quite satisfactory in the present single trial, can undoubtedly be depended upon as a partial milk substitute for calves under three months of age, and can be used as the entire food after that time and until the animal is ready for hay and the more common grains (five to six months).

3. It is possible that delicate calves would not thrive as well upon the meal as the one in the present trial.

4. The Blatchford meal was in good mechanical condition, and cannot be considered especially expensive.

REPORT OF THE CHEMIST.

DIVISION OF FERTILIZERS AND FERTILIZER MATERIALS.

CHARLES A. GOESSMANN.

Assistants: HENRI D. HASKINS, JAMES E. HALLIGAN, RICHARD H. ROBERTSON.

PART I. — Report on Official Inspection of Commercial Fertilizers.
PART II. — Report on General Work in the Chemical Laboratory.

PART I. — REPORT ON OFFICIAL INSPECTION OF COMMERCIAL FERTILIZERS AND AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS DURING THE SEASON OF 1903.

CHARLES A. GOESSMANN.

The total number of manufacturers, importers and dealers in commercial fertilizers and agricultural chemicals who have secured licenses during the past season is 64; of these, 35 have offices for the general distribution of their goods in Massachusetts, 8 in New York, 8 in Connecticut, 3 in Vermont, 1 in Rhode Island, 3 in Canada, 1 in New Jersey, 1 in Maryland, 2 in Ohio, 1 in Illinois and 1 in Arkansas.

Three hundred and six brands of fertilizer, including chemicals, have been licensed in the State during the year. Five hundred and eighty-four samples of fertilizer have thus far been collected in the general markets by experienced assistants in the station.

Five hundred and twelve samples were analyzed at the close of November, 1903, representing two hundred and ninety distinct brands of fertilizer. These analyses were published in two bulletins of the Hatch Experiment Station of the Massachusetts Agricultural College: No. 90, July, and No. 92, November, 1903.

During the year 1903 a larger number of fertilizers have been licensed in the State of Massachusetts than for any previous year in the history of our fertilizer inspection laws. This necessitates an increased amount of work in the official inspection of commercial fertilizers. Twenty-three more brands of fertilizers were licensed and eighty more collected during the past season than in the previous year.

Below will be found an abstract of the results of analyses of official commercial fertilizers for the years 1902 and 1903: —

	1902.	1903.
(*a*) Where three essential elements of plant food were guaranteed: —		
Number with three elements equal to or above the highest guarantee,	7	7
Number with two elements above the highest guarantee,	20	19
Number with one element above the highest guarantee,	83	91
Number with three elements between the lowest and highest guarantee,	183	207
Number with two elements between the lowest and highest guarantee,	87	118
Number with one element between the lowest and highest guarantee,	54	42
Number with three elements below the lowest guarantee,	3	2
Number with two elements below the lowest guarantee,	18	24
Number with one element below the lowest guarantee,	67	100
(*b*) Where two essential elements of plant food were guaranteed: —		
Number with two elements above the highest guarantee,	10	2
Number with one element above the highest guarantee,	22	17
Number with two elements between the lowest and highest guarantee,	16	31
Number with one element between the lowest and highest guarantee,	13	13
Number with two elements below the lowest guarantee,	4	1
Number with one element below the lowest guarantee,	19	14
(*c*) Where one essential element of plant food was guaranteed: —		
Number above the highest guarantee,	9	11
Number between lowest and highest guarantee,	14	13
Number below lowest guarantee,	20	18

From the above table it will be seen that there is no material change in the quality of the fertilizers which have been examined, when compared with the results of the previous year. Where a discrepancy has occurred between the re-

sults of analysis and the manufacturers' guarantee, we are of the opinion that poor mixing is responsible, rather than a disposition on the part of the manufacturer to furnish an inferior article. As proof of this, we find in most cases that wherever a fertilizer shows a low test in any one ingredient, a corresponding high test is shown on some other element of plant food in the same brand; this usually corrects any difference in commercial value of the fertilizer.

Trade Values of Fertilizing Ingredients in Raw Materials and Chemicals, 1902 and 1903 (Cents per Pound).

	1902.	1903.
Nitrogen in ammonia salts,	16.50	17.50
Nitrogen in nitrates,	15.00	15.00
Organic nitrogen in dry and fine-ground fish, meat, blood and in high-grade mixed fertilizers.	16.50	17.00
Organic nitrogen in fine bone and tankage,	16.00	16.50
Organic nitrogen in medium bone and tankage,	12.00	12.00
Phosphoric acid soluble in water,	5.00	4.50
Phosphoric acid soluble in ammonium citrate,	4.50	4.00
Phosphoric acid in fine-ground fish, bone and tankage,	4.00	4.00
Phosphoric acid in cotton-seed meal, castor pomace and wood ashes,	4.00	4.00
Phosphoric acid in coarse fish, bone and tankage,	3.00	3.00
Phosphoric acid insoluble (in water and in ammonium citrate) in mixed fertilizers.	2.00	2.00
Potash as sulfate (free from chlorides),	5.00	5.00
Potash as muriate,	4.25	4.25

A comparison of the above trade values of fertilizing ingredients for the years 1902 and 1903 shows a higher market cost of nitrogen in form of ammonia salts and in the higher grades of organic substances for the year 1903 than for the previous year; this is, however, largely offset by a corresponding decrease in the market cost of the better forms of phosphoric acid.

The schedule of trade values for 1903 was adopted by representatives of the Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Maine, Vermont and New Jersey experiment stations, at a conference held during the month of March, 1903; it is based on the condition of the fertilizer market in centres of distribution in New England, New York and New Jersey

during the six months preceding March, 1903, and refers to the current market prices of the leading standard raw materials which furnish nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash, and which enter largely into the manufacture of our commercial fertilizers.

Table A, following, gives the average analysis of officially collected fertilizers for 1903; Table B gives a compilation of analyses, showing the maximum, minimum and average percentages of the different essential elements of plant food in so-called special crop fertilizers put out by different manufacturers.

TABLE A. — *Average Analysis of Officially Collected Fertilizers for 1903.*

NATURE OF MATERIAL.	Moisture.	NITROGEN IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.		PHOSPHORIC ACID IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.							POTASSIUM OXIDE IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.	
							TOTAL.		AVAILABLE.			
		Found.	Guaranteed.	Soluble.	Reverted.	Insoluble.	Found.	Guaranteed.	Found.	Guaranteed.	Found.	Guaranteed.
Complete fertilizers,	10.70	2.96	2.77	4.43	3.81	2.33	10.57	8.87	8.24	7.44	5.48	5.19
Ground bones,	7.69	3.23	2.85	–	9.88	14.86	24.74	22.54	9.88	–	–	–
Tankage,	8.04	4.59	3.93	–	10.68	7.54	18.22	17.96	10.68	10.00	–	–
Dissolved bone-black,	12.80	–	–	12.09	3.21	1.56	16.86	16.00	15.30	15.00	–	–
Acid phosphate,	10.30	–	–	14.90	1.70	–	16.60	–	16.60	–	–	–
Wood ashes,	13.05	–	–	–	–	–	1.44	1.50	–	–	5.56	5.00
Cotton-seed meal,	7.41	6.73	7.00	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Flax meal,	9.42	5.73	6.08	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Nitrate of soda,	2.06	15.41	15.41	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Sulfate of ammonia,	.78	20.40	19.00	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
High-grade sulfate of potash,	1.15	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	48.88	48.12
Muriate of potash,	2.69	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	48.98	–
Kainit,	2.70	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	11.20	12.00

TABLE B. — *Compilation of Analyses of Commercial Fertilizers for the Year 1903.*

NAME OF FERTILIZER.	Moisture.	NITROGEN IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.			TOTAL PHOSPHORIC ACID IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.			AVAILABLE PHOSPHORIC ACID IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.			POTASSIUM OXIDE IN ONE HUNDRED POUNDS.		
		Maximum.	Minimum.	Average.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Average.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Average.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Average.
Corn fertilizer,	10.70	3.70	1.25	2.24	14.82	8.92	11.29	12.88	5.34	8.85	9.56	1.52	3.64
Fruit and vine fertilizer,	9.94	2.69	2.00	2.48	12.98	9.10	10.99	10.34	7.24	8.78	11.28	6.06	8.11
Grain fertilizer,	10.28	8.13	1.25	3.94	18.04	7.52	11.74	12.88	4.32	8.69	14.60	2.06	5.78
Grass fertilizer,	9.03	8.13	2.23	4.24	18.04	4.22	9.88	11.84	2.68	6.86	14.60	2.18	6.04
Market-garden fertilizer,	9.82	4.54	2.08	3.45	13.90	6.12	10.63	10.85	5.32	8.06	10.82	2.30	6.81
Potato fertilizer,	10.25	5.02	1.07	2.51	13.90	7.08	10.43	9.69	5.02	8.24	10.58	2.12	5.51
Tobacco fertilizer,	9.42	5.94	.59	3.41	13.38	4.22	10.00	10.56	2.94	7.54	17.34	1.66	7.96
Onion fertilizer,	9.26	4.36	1.16	2.64	12.26	6.38	8.72	9.70	5.10	6.56	7.76	4.04	5.76

A careful study of Table B teaches the following lessons. The trade name of a fertilizer is a poor criterion in ascertaining the efficiency of a fertilizer. Many farmers depend too much on trade names in making their selection of fertilizers. With the great variety of fertilizers now found upon the market, it becomes no easy matter for the average farmer to make an intelligent and judicious selection of his fertilizers, unless he is in possession and makes use of the fertilizer bulletins which are issued from time to time. In making a selection of a fertilizer for growing special crops, the needs of the soil and the requirements of the crop should receive careful consideration, and a fertilizer should be selected which will supply the wants of the soil in the most suitable and economical manner. In deciding what brands of fertilizers to purchase for general use, it is self-evident that those fertilizers should be selected which furnish the greatest amount of nitrogen, phosphoric acid and potash in a suitable and available form for the same money.

List of Manufacturers and Dealers who have secured Certificates for the Sale of Commercial Fertilizers in the State during the Past Year (May 1, 1903, to May 1, 1904) and the Brands licensed by Each.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co., Boston, Mass.: —
- High-grade Fertilizer with Ten Per Cent. Potash.
- Grass and Lawn Top-dressing.
- Tobacco Starter and Grower.
- Brightman's Fish and Potash.
- Fine-ground Bone.
- Columbia Fish and Potash.
- Abattoir Bone.
- Dissolved Bone-black.
- Muriate of Potash.
- Double Manure Salt.
- High-grade Sulfate of Potash.
- Nitrate of Soda.
- Dry Ground Fish.
- Plain Superphosphate.
- Sulfate of Ammonia.
- Kainit.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Bradley Fertilizer Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
- Bradley's Complete Manure for Potatoes and Vegetables.
- Bradley's Complete Manure for Corn and Grain.
- Bradley's Complete Manure with Ten Per Cent. Potash.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Bradley Fertilizer Co., branch), Boston, Mass. — *Con.*
- Bradley's Complete Top-dressing for Grass and Grain.
- Bradley's X L Superphosphate.
- Bradley's Potato Manure.
- Bradley's Potato Fertilizer.
- Bradley's Corn Phosphate.
- Bradley's Eclipse Phosphate.
- Bradley's Niagara Phosphate.
- Bradley's English Lawn Fertilizer.
- Church's Fish and Potash.
- Bradley's Seeding-down Manure.

American Agricultural Chemical Co. (H. J. Baker & Bro., branch), New York, N. Y.: —
- Baker's A A Ammoniated Superphosphate.
- Baker's Complete Potato Manure.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Clark's Cove Fertilizer Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
- Clark's Cove Bay State Fertilizer.
- Clark's Cove Bay State Fertilizer G. G.
- Clark's Cove Great Planet Manure.
- Clark's Cove Potato Manure.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Clark's Cove Fertilizer Co., branch), Boston, Mass. — *Con.*
Clark's Cove Potato Fertilizer.
Clark's Cove King Philip Guano.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Crocker Fertilizer and Chemical Co., branch), Buffalo, N. Y.: —
Crocker's Potato, Hop and Tobacco Phosphate.
Crocker's Corn Phosphate.
Crocker's A A Complete Manure.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Cumberland Bone Phosphate Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
Cumberland Superphosphate.
Cumberland Potato Fertilizer.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (L. B. Darling Fertilizer Co., branch), Pawtucket, R. I.: —
Darling's Blood, Bone and Potash.
Darling's Complete Ten Per Cent. Manure.
Darling's Potato Manure.
Darling's Farm Favorite.
Darling's General Fertilizer.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Great Eastern Fertilizer Co., branch), Rutland, Vt.: —
Northern Corn Special.
Vegetable Vine and Tobacco.
Garden Special.
General.
Grass and Oat Fertilizer.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Pacific Guano Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
Pacific High-grade General.
Pacific Potato Special.
Soluble Pacific Guano.
Pacific Nobsque Guano.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Packers' Union Fertilizer Co., branch), Rutland, Vt.: —
Gardners' Complete Manure.
Animal Corn Fertilizer.
Potato Manure.
Universal Fertilizer.
Wheat, Oats and Clover Fertilizer.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Quinnipiac Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
Quinnipiac Market-garden Manure.
Quinnipiac Phosphate.
Quinnipiac Potato Manure.
Quinnipiac Potato Phosphate.
Quinnipiac Corn Manure.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Quinnipiac Co., branch), Boston, Mass. — *Con.*
Quinnipiac Climax Phosphate.
Quinnipiac Havana Tobacco Grower.
Quinnipiac Onion Manure.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Read Fertilizer Co., branch), New York, N. Y.: —
Read's Practical Potato Special.
Read's Farmers' Friend.
Read's Standard.
Read's High-grade Farmers' Friend.
Read's Vegetable and Vine.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co (Standard Fertilizer Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
Standard Complete Manure.
Standard Fertilizer.
Standard Special for Potatoes.
Standard Guano.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (H. F. Tucker & Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
Tucker's Original Bay State Bone Superphosphate.
Tucker's Special Potato.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (Williams & Clark Fertilizer Co., branch), Boston, Mass.: —
Williams & Clark's High-grade Special.
Williams & Clark's Americus Potato.
Williams & Clark's Potato Phosphate.
Williams & Clark's Potato Manure.
Williams & Clark's Corn Phosphate.
Williams & Clark's Royal Bone Phosphate.
Williams & Clark's Prolific Crop Producer.

The American Agricultural Chemical Co. (M. E. Wheeler & Co., branch), Rutland, Vt.: —
Corn Fertilizer.
Potato Manure.
Havana Tobacco Grower.
Superior Truck Fertilizer.
Bermuda Onion Grower.
Grass and Oats Fertilizer.

W. H. Abbott, Holyoke, Mass.: —
Abbott's Animal Fertilizer.
Abbott's Eagle Brand.
Abbott's Tobacco Fertilizer.

Abbott and Martin Rendering Co., Columbus, O.: —
Harvest King.
Abbott's Tobacco and Potato Special.

The American Cotton Oil Co., New York, N. Y.:—
Cotton-seed Meal.
Cotton-seed Hull Ashes.

The American Linseed Co., New York, N. Y.:—
Cleveland Flax Meal.

Armour Fertilizer Works, Baltimore, Md.:—
Grain Grower.
Bone, Blood and Potash.
High-grade Potato.
All Soluble.
Ammoniated Bone with Potash.
Bone Meal.

H. J. Baker & Bro., New York, N. Y.:—
Baker's Pure Castor Pomace.

Berkshire Fertilizer Co., Bridgeport, Conn.:—
Berkshire Complete Fertilizer.
Berkshire Ammoniated Bone Phosphate.
Berkshire Potato and Vegetable Phosphate.

T. H. Bunch, Little Rock, Ark.:—
Cotton-seed meal.

Beach Soap Co., Lawrence, Mass.:—
Beach's Advance Brand.
Beach's Universal Brand.

Joseph Breck & Sons, Boston, Mass.:—
Breck's Lawn and Garden Dressing.
Breck's Market-garden Manure.

Bowker Fertilizer Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Stockbridge Special Manures.
Bowker's Hill and Drill Phosphate.
Bowker's Farm and Garden Phosphate.
Bowker's Lawn and Garden Dressing.
Bowker's Potato and Vegetable Manure.
Bowker's Fish and Potash (Square Brand).
Bowker's Potato and Vegetable Phosphate.
Bowker's Sure Crop Phosphate.
Gloucester Fish and Potash.
Bowker's High-grade Fertilizer.
Bowker's Bone and Wood Ash Fertilizer.
Bowker's Fish and Potash (D Brand).
Bowker's Corn Phosphate.
Bowker's Bone, Blood and Potash.
Bowker's Early Potato Manure.
Bowker's Soluble Animal Fertilizer.
Bowker's Tobacco Starter.
Bowker's Tobacco Ash Fertilizer.

Bowker Fertilizer Co., Boston, Mass.—*Con.*
Bowker's Market-garden Manure.
Bowker's Potash Bone.
Bowker's Ten Per Cent. Manure.
Bowker's Kainit.
Bowker's Complete Mixture.
Bowker's Ammoniated Food for Flowers.
Bristol Fish and Potash.
Bowker's Fine-ground Fish.
Bowker's Tobacco Ash Elements.
Bowker's Ground Bone.
Bowker's Wood Ashes.
Bowker's Superphosphate.
Sulfate of Ammonia.
Nitrate of Soda.
Dissolved Bone-black.
Muriate of Potash.
Sulfate of Potash.
Dried Blood.

Chicopee Rendering Co., Springfield, Mass.:—
Pure Ground Bone.
Tankage.
Complete Animal Fertilizer or Lawn and Garden Dressing.

Chas. M. Cox & Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Cotton-seed Meal.

E. Frank Coe Co., New York, N. Y.:—
E. Frank Coe's High-grade Ammoniated Bone Superphosphate.
E. Frank Coe's Gold Brand Excelsior Guano.
E. Frank Coe's Bay State Phosphate.
E. Frank Coe's Tobacco and Onion Fertilizer.
E. Frank Coe's Excelsior Potato Fertilizer.
E. Frank Coe's Fish Guano and Potash (F. P.).
E. Frank Coe's Columbian Corn Fertilizer.
E. Frank Coe's Columbian Potato Fertilizer.
E. Frank Coe's New Englander Corn Fertilizer.
E. Frank Coe's New Englander Potato Fertilizer.
E. Frank Coe's Columbian Ammoniated Bone Superphosphate.
E. Frank Coe's Red Brand Excelsior Guano.
E. Frank Coe's Ground Bone.
American Farmers' Market-garden Special.
American Farmers' Complete Potato.
American Farmers' Corn King.

John C. Dow & Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Dow's Pure Ground Bone.

Eastern Chemical Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Chemicals for Imperial Liquid Plant Food.
Chemicals for Liquid Grass Fertilizer.

Wm. E. Fyfe & Co., Clinton, Mass.:—
Canada Ashes (Star Brand).

R. & J. Farquhar & Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Clay's London Fertilizer.

F. E. Hancock, Walkerton, Ontario, Can.:—
Pure Unleached Canada Hard-wood Ashes.

The Hardy Packing Co., Chicago, Ill.:—
Hardy's Tankage, Bone and Potash.
Hardy's Tobacco and Potato Special.
Hardy's Complete Manure.

Hargraves Manufacturing Co., Fall River, Mass.:—
Fine-ground Bone and Tankage.

C. W. Hastings, Jamaica Plain, Mass.:—
Ferti Flora.

Thomas Hersom & Co., New Bedford, Mass.:—
Bone Meal.
Meat and Bone.

John Joynt, Lucknow, Ontario, Can.:—
Canada Hard-wood Ashes.

Lister's Agricultural Chemical Works, Newark, N. J.:—
Lister's Success Fertilizer.
Lister's Special Corn Fertilizer.
Lister's Special Potato Fertilizer.
Lister's Potato Manure.
Lister's High-grade Special for Spring Crops.
Lister's Animal Bone and Potash.

Lowell Fertilizer Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Swift's Lowell Potato Phosphate.
Swift's Lowell Potato Manure.
Swift's Lowell Bone Fertilizer.
Swift's Lowell Animal Brand.
Swift's Lowell Ground Bone.
Swift's Lowell Lawn Dressing.
Swift's Lowell Market-garden Manure.
Swift's Lowell Nitrate of Soda.

Mapes Formula and Peruvian Guano Co., New York, N. Y.:—
Potato Manure.
Tobacco Starter Improved.
Tobacco Manure Wrapper Brand.
Economical Potato Manure.
Average Soils Complete Manure.

Mapes Formula and Peruvian Guano Co., New York, N. Y.— *Con.*
Vegetable Manure or Complete Manure for Light Soils.
Corn Manure.
Complete Manure (A Brand).
Cereal Brand.
Complete Manure Ten Per Cent. Potash.
Top-dresser Improved, Half Strength.
Tobacco Ash Constituents.
Grass and Grain Spring Top-dressing.
Complete Manure for General Use.
Fruit and Vine Manure.
Cauliflower and Cabbage Manure.
Lawn Top-dressing.

D. M. Moulton, Monson, Mass.:—
Ground Bone.

National Fertilizer Co., Bridgeport, Conn.:—
Chittenden's Complete Fertilizer.
Chittenden's High-grade Special for Tobacco.
Chittenden's Market Garden.
Chittenden's Potato Phosphate.
Chittenden's Ammoniated Bone.
Chittenden's Fish and Potash.

New England Fertilizer Co., Boston, Mass.:—
New England Corn Phosphate.
New England Potato Fertilizer.

Olds & Whipple, Hartford, Conn.:—
Complete Tobacco Fertilizer.
Vegetable Potash.

The Ohio Farmers' Fertilizer Co., Columbus, O.:—
Corn, Oats and Wheat Fish Guano.
Tobacco and Potato Special.
High-grade Truck Guano.

Parmenter & Polsey Fertilizer Co., Boston, Mass.:—
Plymouth Rock Brand.
Special Potato.
Star Brand.
P. & P. Potato.
A. A. Brand.
Lawn Dressing.
Special Fertilizer for Strawberries.
Grain Grower.
Acid Phosphate.
Muriate of Potash.
Nitrate of Soda.
Sulfate of Potash.

R. T. Prentiss, Holyoke, Mass.:—
Complete Fertilizers.

Benjamin Randall, Boston, Mass.:—
Randall's Market Garden.
Randall's Farm and Field.

Rogers Manufacturing Co., Rockfall, Conn.:—
All Round Fertilizer.
Complete Potato and Vegetable.
High-grade Complete Corn and Grain.
Fish and Potash Fertilizer.
High-grade Soluble Tobacco and Potato.
High-grade Fertilizer for Oats and Top-dressing.
High-grade Grass and Grain.
High-grade Soluble Tobacco Fertilizer.
Pure Fine-ground Bone.

Rogers & Hubbard Co., Middletown, Conn.:—
Hubbard's Oats and Top-dressing.
Hubbard's Grass and Grain.
Hubbard's Soluble Corn Manure.
Hubbard's Soluble Potato Manure.
Hubbard's Soluble Tobacco Manure.
Hubbard's All Soils and All Crops.
Hubbard's Corn Phosphate.
Hubbard's Potato Phosphate.
Hubbard's '02 Top-dressing.
Hubbard's Raw Knuckle Bone Flour.
Hubbard's Strictly Pure Fine Bone.

Ross Brothers, Worcester, Mass.:—
Ross Brothers' Lawn Dressing.

Russia Cement Co., Gloucester, Mass.:—
Essex Dry Ground Fish.
Essex Complete Manure for Potatoes, Roots and Vegetables.
Essex Complete Manure for Corn, Grain and Grass.
Essex Market-garden and Potato Manure.
Essex Corn Fertilizer.
Essex A. I. Superphosphate.
Essex X X X Fish and Potash.
Essex Odorless Lawn Dressing.
Essex Tobacco Starter.
Essex Special Tobacco Manure.
Essex Rhode Island Special Fertilizer.
Essex High-grade Sulfate of Potash.
Essex Nitrate of Soda.

Chas. Stevens, Napanee, Ontario, Can.:—
Beaver Brand Ashes.

Salisbury Cutlery Handle Co., Salisbury, Conn.:—
Pure Ground Bone.

Sanderson's Fertilizer and Chemical Co., New Haven, Conn.:—
Sanderson's Formula A.
Sanderson's Formula B.
Sulfate of Potash.
Sanderson's Old Reliable.
Sanderson's Potato Manure.
Sanderson's Corn Superphosphate,
Sanderson's Special with Ten Per Cent. Potash.

Thomas L. Stetson, Randolph, Mass.:—
Bone Meal.

W. H. Warren, Northborough, Mass.:—
Fine-ground Bone.

Wilcox Fertilizer Works, Mystic, Conn.:—
Wilcox Potato, Onion and Tobacco Manure.
Wilcox Potato Manure.
Wilcox Complete Bone Superphosphate.
Wilcox Fish and Potash.
Wilcox High-grade Tobacco Fertilizer.
Wilcox Dry Ground Fish.

Sanford Winter, Brockton, Mass.:—
Pure Ground Bone.

The Whitman & Pratt Rendering Co., Lowell, Mass.:—
All Crops.
Potato Plowman.
Corn Success.
Ground Bone.

J. M. Woodard & Bro., Greenfield, Mass.:—
Tankage.

A. H. Wood & Co., South Framingham, Mass.:—
Special Fertilizer for Corn, Potatoes, etc.
Special Manure for Market Gardening, Top-dressing, etc.

Part II. — Report on General Work in the Chemical Laboratory.

C. A. GOESSMANN.

1. Analyses of materials forwarded for examination.
2. Notes on soil analyses.
3. Notes on wood ashes and lime ashes.
4. Notes on Peruvian guano.
5. Notes on sugar-beet refuse.
6. Notes on city garbage products.

1. Analyses of Materials forwarded for Examination.

During the season of 1903, 235 samples of fertilizing materials and miscellaneous substances have been received from farmers within our State for analysis. Many of these materials are refuse or by-products from some manufacturing industry. Some of these by-products contain only nitrogen, some contain phosphoric acid or possibly potash compounds, others contain two, and many of them contain all, of the essential elements of plant food. In either case the material possesses a distinct commercial value, which can be ascertained only by a careful chemical analysis.

As in the past, the investigation of materials for general fertilizing purposes has been carried on free of charge to farmers within our State. Our practice has been to analyze this class of materials in the order in which the samples arrive at this office. Beginning about April 1 and continuing through the summer and early fall, work of this nature has to give place to our official inspection work on commercial fertilizers. For this reason we would advise those sending samples for analysis free of charge to send as early in the season as possible. The winter season usually offers more

time to attend to this kind of work, and therefore enables us to furnish results of analysis more promptly than at any other period of the year.

During the year we have taken an active part in the work of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, which aims to investigate any new modes of analysis in agricultural chemistry. The result of our labors along this line, as well as other investigation work of a technical nature, does not appear in our publications, as its chief value is in the establishment of new methods of analysis.

Following is a list of materials received during the past season : —

Wood ashes,	41	Dry ground fish,	6
Complete fertilizers,	34	Ground bones,	6
Soils,	70	Minerals,	12
Lime ashes,	10	Phosphatic slag,	4
Cotton-seed meal,	8	Nitrate of soda,	4
Dissolved bone-black,	2	Peat,	2
Tankage,	3	Tannery lime waste,	2
Cotton hull ashes,	2	Muriate of potash,	3
Superphosphate,	1	Cotton-seed dust,	1
High-grade sulfate of potash,	2	Cotton-seed droppings,	1
Cocoanut fiber pith,	1	Refuse ashes,	1
New York horse manure,	1	Sulfate of ammonia,	1
Sheep manure and wool waste,	1	Belgian phosphate,	1
Lime refuse,	1	Cassava waste,	1
Garbage tankage,	1	Manure,	1
Waste lime, plastering,	1	Mill refuse,	1
Acid phosphate,	1	Peruvian guano,	1
Coal and wood ashes,	1	Granite,	1
Sugar-beet refuse,	1	Bat guano,	1
Cotton waste,	1	Dried blood,	1
Lime,	1	Wool waste,	2

2. Notes on Soil Analyses.

In the above list of materials which have been forwarded for analysis during the season we would call attention to the increased number of samples of soil which have been received, as compared with previous years. The information desired by parties sending soil samples for analysis is, in most cases, What are the necessary fertilizing ingredients to be applied to this particular soil, and in what proportion in order to

produce successfully any given crop? We are trying to aid in answering this inquiry by every means within our power, and shall continue, as in the past, to analyze samples of soil; yet we must insist that the samples of soil forwarded for investigation are taken according to our instructions, which are of late published in every March bulletin of this division (see Bulletin No. 89, March, 1903), otherwise the analysis can be of little practical value. The information furnished by a chemical analysis of soil is still of an arbitrary nature, and furnishes only the amount of the various ingredients of plant food present in the soil, without reference to their availability to any particular plant. Knowing that our present methods for the determination of the availability of plant food in soils is not as satisfactory as could be desired, we are studying the subject continually, believing that more satisfactory ones can be secured only by a constant attention to the questions involved.

3. Notes on Wood Ashes and Lime Ashes.

(*a*) *Wood Ashes.* — During the season of 1903, 17.4 per cent. of the materials forwarded for analysis consisted of wood ashes, as against 24 per cent. for the year previous. The following compilation shows their general chemical character: —

Analysis of Wood Ashes.	Number of Samples.
Moisture from 1 to 10 per cent.,	11
Moisture from 10 to 20 per cent.,	14
Moisture from 20 to 30 per cent.,	9
Moisture above 30 per cent.,	3
Potassium oxide above 8 per cent.,	2
Potassium oxide from 6 to 7 per cent.,	4
Potassium oxide from 5 to 6 per cent.,	8
Potassium oxide from 4 to 5 per cent.,	12
Potassium oxide from 3 to 4 per cent.,	8
Potassium oxide below 3 per cent.,	3
Phosphoric acid from 1 to 2 per cent.,	34
Phosphoric acid below 1 per cent.,	3
Average per cent. of calcium oxide (lime), 29.39.	
Insoluble matter below 10 per cent.,	7
Insoluble matter from 10 to 15 per cent.,	12
Insoluble matter from 15 to 20 per cent.,	9
Insoluble matter above 20 per cent.,	8

Table showing the Maximum, Minimum and Average Per Cents. of the Different Ingredients found in Wood Ashes for the Season of 1903.

	Maximum.	Minimum.	Average.
Moisture,	37.34	2.27	15.23
Potassium oxide,	8.15	1.68	4.76
Phosphoric acid,	1.80	.46	1.37
Calcium oxide,	35.75	22.33	29.39
Insoluble matter,	28.85	1.40	15.07

We advise farmers, before buying ashes, to ascertain if the party of whom they are to purchase is on record as having complied with our State laws, and holds a license for the sale of his article in Massachusetts. Protection by our State laws is only secured by patronizing dealers and importers who have complied with our laws for the regulation of the trade in commercial fertilizers.

There are indications that more care is taken by some of our importers in the collection and shipment of ashes than has been the case in the past. In some cases as high as 8 and 9 per cent. of potassium oxide has been guaranteed in carloads of ashes imported from Canada; this is 3 or 4 per cent. higher than the usual guarantee of this element. The importance of buying ashes on a specified guaranteed composition of each of the essential elements, — potash, phosphoric acid, and also lime — cannot be too strongly urged upon our farmers.

(*b*) *Lime Ashes.* — Judging from the increased number of samples of lime ashes that have been received during the season for analysis, this material is used more commonly than heretofore to furnish lime to those soils which require an application of this ingredient. Although being a valuable source of lime, it is well to remember that lime ashes are a refuse product in the production of burned lime, and are therefore apt to vary widely in chemical composition (see following table), depending largely upon the mode of handling as well as exposure to the weather. Lime ashes should therefore be bought on a statement of guarantee of the quan-

tity of lime, potash and phosphoric acid which they contain. The small quantity of phosphoric acid in lime ashes is derived from the wood that is used in charging the kiln; the potash is derived partially from this same source and partially from the limestone; both of these elements are therefore apt to vary widely in different samples.

Table showing the Maximum, Minimum and Average Per Cents. of the Different Ingredients found in Lime Ashes for the Season of 1903.

	Maximum.	Minimum.	Average.
Moisture,	23.16	10.47	15.66
Potassium oxide,	3.32	.76	1.86
Phosphoric acid,	1.66	.03	.63
Calcium oxide,	55.44	32.42	41.15
Insoluble matter,	26.50	1.10	6.46

4. Notes on Peruvian Guano.

Analysis of Peruvian Guano recently introduced into our Markets.

	Per Cent.
Moisture,	17.10
Total phosphoric acid,	21.26
Soluble phosphoric acid,	2.81
Reverted phosphoric acid,	10.47
Insoluble phosphoric acid,	7.98
Potassium oxide,	4.20
Nitrogen,	3.23

The above-stated article has of late been again introduced into our markets; it is evidently a genuine sample of Peruvian guano, and of a valuable composition as a general fertilizer. As Peruvian guanos are known to vary more or less in regard to their chemical composition, they should always be bought and sold on a statement of their guaranteed composition. A detailed discussion of the occurrence of Peruvian guanos, their merits as a fertilizer and their historic importance with reference to the introduction of commercial fertilizers, will be found in the annual report of the inspector of commercial fertilizers to the Massachusetts State Board of Agriculture for the years 1875–76.

5. Notes on Sugar-beet Refuse.

Analysis of Sugar-beet Refuse forwarded for Investigation.

	Per Cent.
Moisture,	7.70
Phosphoric acid,	none
Total potassium oxide,	9.72
Water soluble potassium oxide,	8.36
Total nitrogen,	6.39
Nitrogen as nitrates,	3.86
Nitrogen as ammoniates,	.05
Nitrogen in organic form,	2.48
Calcium oxide,	none
Sodium oxide,	7.00
Sulphuric acid,	2.82
Chlorine,	1.87
Carbonic acid,	none

The above material is a waste product from the sugar-beet industry; it is produced in the process of manufacturing alcohol from the beet-sugar molasses; it is rich in potash and nitrogen, and deserves special attention in the production of tobacco and other industrial crops. The successful introduction of the beet-sugar manufacture as a home industry already benefits our agricultural interests in many ways, as was predicted by the friends of the sugar-beet industry years ago.

6. Notes on City Garbage Products.

Sample No. 1 represents what is known as garbage tankage; sample No. 2 represents the ashes from the cremation of city garbage.

Analysis of Garbage Products.

	Per Cent.	
	Sample No. 1.	Sample No. 2.
Moisture,	7.42	3.01
Potassium oxide,	none.	5.13
Total phosphoric acid,	6.06	8.77
Available phosphoric acid,	4.40	-*
Insoluble phosphoric acid,	1.66	-*
Nitrogen,	5.96	none.

* Not determined.

The above-mentioned materials are products obtained by hygienic treatment of city garbage. Sample No. 1 was obtained by heating the selected garbage in vats under pressure; by this method the fats are recovered; and the organic nitrogenous matter is preserved for use as a nitrogen source in fertilizers. In this process, however, the greater part of the potash and other salines are leached out. Sample No. 2 represents the product obtained by the cremation of city garbage. In this material the nitrogen has been sacrificed, but the potash is retained in the ashes. The products from both of these processes furnish valuable material for fertilizing purposes; they should always be bought and sold on a statement of guaranteed composition.

REPORT OF THE ENTOMOLOGISTS.

C. H. FERNALD, H. T. FERNALD.

The entomological division during 1903 has continued its work along lines similar to those of preceding years. The correspondence has received careful attention, but has been less than usual, probably because fewer insects have made their presence felt, owing to the peculiar weather conditions of the spring and summer.

The experiments to determine a simple and successful treatment for the San José scale have been continued according to a plan which promises well, and which, so far as can be learned, has not been tried elsewhere in this country. Certain difficulties have arisen, however, and whether it will be possible to proceed with these experiments during 1904 cannot now be determined.

Much attention has been given to the collections of insects at the insectary during the year, and, as a result, they are now more nearly expressive of our present knowledge than ever before.

The card catalogue has now entirely outgrown the cases intended to contain it, thus rendering it less useful for reference, but it is hoped that this difficulty may be soon overcome.

It is a generally recognized fact that original investigation and publication are among the most important functions of an experiment station. That the entomological division of the station has not fallen behind in this portion of its duties is seen by the following list of articles on entomology published during the present year by persons working at the insectary, either for the station, or by those fitting themselves for that work : —

C. H. FERNALD: "The Brown-tail Moth" (with A. H. KIRKLAND), under direction of the State Board of Agriculture, Boston, March, 1903; "Colour Blindness in Entomologists," Canadian Entomologist, July, 1903.

H. T. FERNALD: "Orchard Treatment for the San José Scale," Bulletin No. 86, Hatch Experiment Station, February, 1903; "How shall we arrange our Collections?" Entomological News, April, 1903; "First Annual Report of the State Nursery Inspector," Agriculture of Massachusetts for 1902, June, 1903; "Plant Lice," Nature Leaflet No. 18, Massachusetts Board of Agriculture, July, 1903; "Some Important Scale Insects," Massachusetts Crop Report for September, October, 1903; "Notes on the Species of Isodontia," Canadian Entomologist, October, 1903; "The Plum Webbing Saw Fly" (with E. A. BACK), Entomological News, November, 1903; "Two New Species of Sphex," Psyche, October–December, December, 1903.

Mrs. M. E. FERNALD: "Notes on the Coccidæ," Canadian Entomologist, January, 1903; "Lepidosaphes *versus* Mytilaspis," Canadian Entomologist, April, 1903; "Catalogue of the Coccidæ of the World," Bulletin No. 88, Hatch Experiment Station, July, 1903.

H. J. FRANKLIN: "Notes on Acanthothrips," Psyche, October–December, December, 1903.

A. W. MORRILL: "Life History and Description of the Strawberry Aleyrodes," Canadian Entomologist, February, 1903; "Notes on some Aleyrodes from Massachusetts, with Description of New Species," Psyche, April, 1903; "Notes on the Early Stages of Corylophodes marginicollis Lec," Entomological News, May, 1903; "New Apoidea from Montana," Canadian Entomologist, August, 1903; "Notes on the Immature Stages of Some Tingitids of the Genus Corythuca," Psyche, August, 1903; "The Greenhouse Aleyrodes and the Strawberry Aleyrodes," Technical Bulletin No. 1, Hatch Experiment Station, August, 1903.

Besides these, several other papers are either in press or well advanced in preparation, and will soon be published.

INSECTS OF THE YEAR.

The present year has seen the great abundance of a few kinds of insects, but taken as a whole the season has been unfavorable for their rapid increase. The early spring was apparently normal in its character, but about the first of May a period of drought began, which continued well into June. During this period the grass dried up and in many places became brown and dead, and large numbers of insects were found clinging to it, having seemingly died of starva-

tion. The result was that the hay crop, though late, was but little affected by insects; and similar results were more or less evident with nearly all crops, as regards their insect foes.

In a few cases, however, these weather conditions were favorable to insect life. The plant lice, which are usually destroyed in large numbers by cold spring rains, were this year almost entirely unchecked, and, increasing rapidly, did much injury to trees and plants already suffering from the lack of rain. The damage caused by plant lice was particularly noticeable on fruit stock, elms and maples, many of which suffered severely; and even when the heavy rains came later, destroying myriads of the lice, so many were present that large numbers survived, thus continuing the injury to more than an ordinary amount till quite late in the fall.

The spring weather also seemed to be unusually favorable for root maggots of various kinds, the onion maggot causing a large amount of loss to the onion growers in the Connecticut valley in particular, while the work of the cabbage maggot was seen everywhere. During the year more inquiries were received by this division about maggots than during the preceding eight years taken together.

The apple-tree tent-caterpillar was more abundant last spring than for a number of years, but whether the peculiar season has had the effect of destroying these insects sufficiently to prevent their appearance in large numbers in 1904 cannot now be determined.

The elm-leaf beetle began the season actively, and by May 21 their egg clusters were very abundant everywhere, but particularly on those trees which were not treated in 1902. Later in the season, however, their work was less noticeable than usual, and, in fact, there were many places where spraying seemed unnecessary. Whether the nature of the season or factors yet undiscovered were the cause of their slight importance is not known.

The San José scale has increased rapidly during the year, wherever it occurs. Crawling young were found last spring on June 9, nearly two weeks earlier than the year before,

and during the summer and fall this insect seemed to increase in abundance more rapidly than usual.

During the year 1902 a new plum pest appeared in the Connecticut valley, spinning its webs entirely over the trees in May and early June. On investigation it proved to be a saw fly hitherto known only from South Dakota and Manitoba. Of this insect, which is known as *Neurotoma rufipes* Marl., the life history has been worked out at this station during the present year and published. While this insect has already shown great possibilities as a serious pest on the plum, it is too soon to predict that it will actually become such; but the results of the studies made here show that, if treated when it first appears, it should be easily controlled.

The plum curculio is always in evidence on the plums, and to some degree on the apples; but this year it has paid particular attention to the latter fruit, and by its punctures has reduced many thousands of bushels of apples from first class to a lower grade. Whether the unusually large amount of injury to apples by the curculio this year was due to a greater number of the insects which succeeded in passing through the preceding winter alive, or whether it was due to an insufficient supply of plums for them to attack last spring, is difficult to determine; possibly both factors occurred.

For several years the imported willow-borer (*Cryptorhynchus lapathi*) has been present in great abundance. The injuries which it causes to willows, poplars and similar soft-wooded trees are frequently serious; and it is now almost impossible to raise these trees in some localities, thus greatly reducing their value for planting as holders of the soil in such places as on sandy beaches. No satisfactory method of combating this insect has as yet been discovered.

The brown-tail moth has continued to enlarge its area of occupation, and it is only a question of time how soon it will be a pest all over New England.

The gypsy moth has now to a considerable extent recovered from the attacks made upon it by the State, which ceased in 1899, and in many places is as abundant as it ever was. A careful examination of a large part of the infested territory shows one change from former conditions; then, in towns

not generally badly infested, the insect would be found in colonies, while elsewhere the trees and plants were not infested; at the present time the colonies in such towns are not as populous perhaps as formerly, but the insects are generally scattered, a few here and a few there, thus producing what may be termed a general distribution. This probably settles the possibility of extermination in the negative for the future. The State has lost its opportunity, and must abide by the results. No new important parasitic or predaceous foes have appeared thus far, and man must depend almost entirely on his own exertions to control this pest.

REPORT OF THE AGRICULTURISTS.

WM. P. BROOKS; ASSISTANT, F. R. CHURCH.

The agricultural department during the past season has followed up the main lines of inquiry pertaining to the selection of manures and fertilizers for the various crops of the field and garden previously undertaken. It is recognized that the inevitable variations due to seasonal and other conditions beyond control make necessary numerous repetitions of an experiment before results justify general conclusions. It is comparatively easy, for example, to determine whether a given fertilizer is useful to a given crop upon a given field in any one year. One is not, therefore, justified in concluding that it will prove useful every year; one does not know that it will prove useful in other combinations of fertilizer materials, nor even that its continued use may not ultimately prove harmful in certain directions.

Results must be tested by experiments again and again, and yet again, before the conditions affecting them can be estimated at their true value, safe deductions drawn therefrom that will be generally useful, or advice founded upon them. The past season, so exceptional in character, affords striking illustration of the necessity of such repetition in the nature of the results from the use of a number of materials which it was believed we quite fully understood. As a means of testing the results in plot experiments in the open field, where numerous conditions are beyond control, we have the past season continued the system of closed plot and vegetation experiments.

We have begun a series of experiments with asparagus, for which we have been making preparation for the past two years, having laid out forty-two plots for that purpose, with

a view to seeking light as to the manurial needs of the crop, both as regards the selection and amount of materials required and the time of application. One-year-old plants of our own growing were set last spring, and have made a good start.

Forty-eight new varieties of potatoes have been given a preliminary trial, but will not be reported until after another year.

Our grass garden, with forty-eight species and seven varieties, has been thoroughly cared for, and one-half the plot of each species lawn-mowed throughout the season.

Exclusive of these plots of grass, our work has involved the care of two hundred and twenty-three plots in the open field and one hundred and fifty closed plots, while our vegetation experiments have required the care of two hundred and fifty-four plots.

The work with poultry has been of practically the same amount as in recent years, as we some time ago reached the limit with our present equipment. The study of the relations of feeds to egg production has engaged the greater share of the time devoted to this line of work.

In this report will be presented a statement of results obtained in a portion only of the plot experiments pertaining to the use of manures and fertilizers. Other results are reserved for discussion in bulletins which it is hoped may be published within the near future. A brief statement only will be made in this report of the general results obtained in our experiments with poultry.

The nature of the principal subjects of inquiry and the more important conclusions will be made clear by the following statement: —

I. — The relative value of barnyard manure, nitrate of soda, sulfate of ammonia and dried blood as sources of nitrogen. Soy beans, the crop of this year, gave yields on the basis of which the materials rank in the following order: barnyard manure, nitrate of soda, dried blood, sulfate of ammonia. The nitrate of soda ranks relatively lower this year than in most previous years, but the general average to date ranks the materials as follows, on the basis of increases

in the crops as compared with the no-nitrogen plots: nitrate of soda, 100; barnyard manure, 77.8; dried blood, 65.1; sulfate of ammonia, 63.6.

II. — The relative value of muriate and high-grade sulfate of potash for field crops. The results of this year indicate the sulfate to be superior to the muriate for potatoes. For the soy beans the two salts gave nearly equal yields, while the crops of cabbages and onions were practical failures on both salts, largely, it is believed, because of the unfavorable season.

III. — *A*. The relative value of nitrate of soda, sulfate of ammonia, and dried blood, used in connection with manure, as sources of nitrogen for garden crops. The results indicate these materials used in amounts furnishing equal nitrogen to rank for this year in the following order: for the early crops, — including dandelions, strawberries, peas and beets, — dried blood, nitrate of soda, sulfate of ammonia; for the late crops, nitrate of soda ranks first, followed by dried blood and sulfate of ammonia. *B*. Relative value of sulfate and muriate of potash for garden crops. The results of the year indicate the sulfate of potash to be the better for strawberries, tomatoes, cucumbers, celery and turnips; while the muriate has given slightly superior results with dandelions, peas and beets.

IV. — The relative value of different potash salts for field crops. The salts under comparison are high-grade sulfate, low-grade sulfate, kainite, muriate, nitrate, carbonate and silicate. The crop of this year was clover, mixed with timothy. As indicated by the yields of clover, the best results were obtained on the high-grade sulfate; while the silicate, carbonate, low-grade sulfate and nitrate gave results almost as good. The yield of timothy was heaviest on the kainite and muriate. As last year, one of the most striking results of the experiment was the injury to the clover due to potash salts containing chlorine, — especially to the kainite.

V. — The relative value of phosphates used in quantities furnishing equal phosphoric acid to each plot. The crop of this year was cabbages, and those which gave satisfactory growth and yield in the order of their rank are: dissolved

bone meal, South Carolina rock phosphate, raw bone meal, phosphatic slag, steamed bone meal, and dissolved bone-black. Three phosphates gave results much inferior to any of the others, viz., Tennessee phosphate, apatite, and Florida soft phosphate.

VI. — *A.* Soil test with corn. The crop of this year was very small on all plots, owing to the unfavorable season; but the potash increased the crop to a far greater extent than any other plant-food element. *B.* Soil test with mixed grass and clover. The results demonstrate the close dependence of the grass crop upon the supply of nitrate of soda. They indicate also the increased tendency of continued use of nitrate and muriate of potash to bring the soil into an acid condition. Another marked result is the effect of an application of lime in increasing the proportion of timothy in a mowing sown to a mixture of timothy, red-top and clover.

VII. — To determine the economical result of using in rotation on grass lands: the first year, barnyard manure; the second year, wood ashes; and the third year, ground bone and muriate of potash. The average yield of hay, all three systems of manuring being represented, is at the rate of 8,104 pounds per acre in two crops. The average on that portion of the field reseeded last summer is on one plot at the rate of 8,546.5 pounds for the reseeded portion; for the portion not reseeded, 6,243 pounds. On another plot the average yield on the reseeded portion is at the rate of 10,003 pounds, and on the portion not reseeded 5,642 pounds, per acre.

VIII. — Winter compared with spring application of manure. The field where this experiment is tried slopes moderately lengthwise of the plots. The crop this year was soy beans, and the crops under the two systems of application were not far from equal, but with the advantage slightly in favor of the winter over spring application. This result appears to have been due to the fact that the ground beneath its covering of snow remained unfrozen throughout the winter, and that there was practically no wash over the surface.

IX. — To determine the best nutritive ratio or the best mixture of feeds for laying hens. The results of the year

appear to indicate that it is not essential to feed a mixture of feeds giving a narrow nutritive ratio for satisfactory egg production. They indicate, further, that the proportion of fat in the ration is a matter of much importance, a large proportion favoring egg production; and that, on the other hand, a large proportion of fiber in the ration, such as would be furnished when grains like oats and barley are largely used, is unfavorable to egg production. A ration in which corn is prominent has given results considerably superior to those obtained with the ration in which wheat is prominent; and the economic results in feeding corn largely in connection with a suitable amount of animal food are much superior to the similar results with wheat.

I. — Manure and Fertilizers furnishing Nitrogen compared. (Field A.)

A full description of the plan of the experiment on Field A was given in the twelfth annual report of the Hatch Experiment Station. There are two objects in view: first, to compare the efficiency (as measured by crop production) of a few of the standard materials that may be used on the farm as sources of nitrogen; second, to determine to what extent the introduction of a crop belonging to the clover family is capable of rendering the application of nitrogen to a succeeding crop of another family unnecessary. The materials furnishing nitrogen under comparison are barnyard manure, nitrate of soda, sulfate of ammonia, and dried blood. There are eleven plots in the field, and with few and practically unimportant exceptions each has been manured in the same way since 1899. All plots are liberally manured each year with materials supplying phosphoric acid and potash, and in quantities to furnish these elements in equal amounts. Manure or fertilizers supplying nitrogen are applied yearly to eight of the eleven plots, and in quantities to furnish nitrogen at the rate of 45 pounds per acre to each. Barnyard manure is applied to one plot, nitrate of soda to two, sulfate of ammonia to three, and dried blood to two plots. Three plots have had no nitrogen applied to them since 1884. The potash applied to these plots is supplied in the form of muri-

ate to six plots, viz., 1, 3, 6, 7, 8 and 9. It is supplied in the form of low-grade sulfate to four plots, viz., 2, 4, 5 and 10. The crops grown in this experiment previous to this year in the order of their succession have been: oats, rye, soy beans, oats, soy beans, oats, soy beans, oats, oats, clover, potatoes, soy beans, potatoes. The crop of this year was the medium green soy bean.

It will be generally understood that, if the object in view in an experiment should be simply the determination of the relative value of different materials applied as sources of nitrogen, such a crop as the soy bean (which belongs to the clover family, and which therefore under the right conditions can draw upon the air for a portion or perhaps for all of its nitrogen) would not be selected; but we are testing not simply the relative value of the different nitrogen manures, but also the effect of the legume grown on the no-nitrogen plots upon the succeeding crop. Accordingly, the soy bean, which is one of the most successful of the legumes grown as a hoed crop, was our choice, as it has been several other years, during the progress of this experiment.

The crop was planted on May 20, and was well cared for throughout the season. No accident or inequality in extent of insect or other damage on the several plots interfered with the normal results of the experiment; but the season was highly unfavorable to the growth of the crop, which is one requiring protracted warm weather.

Although the yield on the plots to which the nitrate of soda was applied was fairly satisfactory, attention is here called to the fact that this fertilizer seems to exert an adverse influence upon the early development of the soy bean. It has been repeatedly noticed that where nitrate of soda is the source of nitrogen, the leaves, especially in the early stages of growth, assume a crinkled or wrinkled appearance, and fail to reach full size and normal development. This crinkling appears to be due to the death of the marginal tissues of the leaf, and such death is supposed to be due to an accumulation of nitrates in injurious amounts in these tissues. The margin of the leaf ceasing to grow, while its main body still continues to develop, the inevitable consequence is the

crinkling effect which has been alluded to. As the season advances, the soy bean plants as a rule show a more normal leaf development; and, although the crinkling this season was excessive, the yield on the nitrate of soda plots does not appear to have been materially decreased, as a consequence.

Attention is here called, with regret, to the fact that there was undoubtedly an error made in determining the weight of the straw on Plot 10. Close observation throughout the season leads to the conclusion on the part both of my assistant and myself that the relative growth of vines as compared with seed on this plot was quite as large as on any other in the field, and yet the weight as reported shows it to have been in proportion to seed less than on any other plot.

The yields obtained on the several plots and the sources of nitrogen on each are shown in the following table: —

Yield of Soy Beans per Acre.

Plots.	Nitrogen Fertilizers used.	Beans (Bushels).	Straw (Pounds).
0, .	Barnyard manure (most of potash used contained in the manure).	23.8	2,010
1, .	Nitrate of soda (muriate of potash), ...	20.5	1,700
2, .	Nitrate of soda (sulfate of potash), ...	24.8	2,080
3, .	Dried blood (muriate of potash), ...	16.7	2,015
4, .	No nitrogen (sulfate of potash), ...	17.1	1,705
5, .	Sulfate of ammonia (sulfate of potash), ...	16.9	1,480
6, .	Sulfate of ammonia (muriate of potash), . . .	11.6	1,160
7, .	No nitrogen (muriate of potash), ...	11.1	1,125
8, .	Sulfate of ammonia (muriate of potash), . . .	14.8	1,390
9, .	No nitrogen (muriate of potash), ...	8.8	745
10, .	Dried blood (sulfate of potash), ...	21.7	1,225

It will be noticed that the crop, even on the best plot, this year is small. In 1901, when the same crop was grown, the yield on the poorest plot was at a higher rate (25.86 bushels of seed) than that on the best plot this year; while the average yield on all plots, including those to which no nitrogen was applied, was at the rate of 29.9 bushels per acre in 1901. The inferiority of the crop of this year was undoubtedly largely the consequence of the unfavorable season, although there is some evidence that this soil may once more

need an application of lime. It has received no lime since 1898, when this material was applied at the rate of one ton to the acre. An abundance of lime in the soil is well known to be highly important to nearly all legumes.

The average yields of this year, as affected by the fertilizers used, are clearly shown in the following table: —

Fertilizers used.	Beans (Bushels).	Straw (Pounds).
Average of the no-nitrogen plots (3),	12.3	1,192
Average of the nitrate of soda plots (2),	22.7	1,890
Average of the dried blood plots (2),	19.2	1,620
Average of the sulfate of ammonia plots (3),	14.4	1,343

As the result of all experiments previous to this year, it is found that the materials furnishing nitrogen have produced crops ranking in the following order: —

	Per Cent.
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Barnyard manure,	93.10
Sulfate of ammonia,	92.00
Dried blood,	90.80
No nitrogen,	73.80

Similar averages for this year are shown below: —

Fertilizers used.	Beans (Per Cent.).	Straw (Per Cent.).
Barnyard manure,	100.00	100.00
Nitrate of soda,	95.38	94.03
Dried blood,	80.67	80.60
Sulfate of ammonia,	60.50	66.82
No nitrogen,	51.68	59.30

The nitrate of soda, as last year, stands relatively lower than it usually has done. It is believed that the excessive rains of the past two seasons have caused the loss of some of the nitrate of soda; which may well have washed through the soil and been carried away in the drainage waters.

The average yield of all the nitrogen plots, as compared with the average of those receiving no nitrogen, is shown below: —

	Beans (Bushels).	Straw (Pounds).
Average, 8 nitrogen plots,	18.85	1,633
Average, 3 no-nitrogen plots,	12.33	1,192

It will be noticed that the no-nitrogen plots give only about 65 per cent. as much seed as the plots receiving nitrogen, and about 73 per cent. as much straw. The nodules in which are found the bacteria connected with the assimilation of atmospheric nitrogen were very abundant upon the roots of the plants upon all plots, as was determined by frequent examination. In spite of this fact, the supply of nitrogen at the disposition of the plants on the no-nitrogen plots appears to have been inadequate for even fairly vigorous growth. No reason can be assigned, unless it be that the acid condition of the soil prevented the normal action of the agencies connected with the assimilation of atmospheric nitrogen.

In conclusion, attention is called to the fact that nitrate of soda must be regarded as one of the most desirable of the materials that can be purchased as a source of nitrogen. The cost of nitrogen in this form is lower than in most other materials, and in this as well as in other experiments upon our grounds it usually shows itself to be more effective than any other nitrogen fertilizer. Its superiority to the other materials used in this experiment is made more evident if in place of comparing total yields we compare the increases in yields produced by the several nitrogen fertilizers. On this basis, including all crops raised to date, but taking into account the seed only for this year, the different materials rank as follows: —

Relative Increases in Yields, Average for Fourteen Years.

	Per Cent.
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Barnyard manure,	77.80
Dried blood,	65.10
Sulfate of ammonia,	63.60

II. — The Relative Value of Muriate and High-grade Sulfate of Potash. (Field B.)

The experiments on this field are in continuation of work which has been in progress since 1892, and I cannot do better in introducing what is to be reported for this year than to quote from my last annual report. The object of this experiment "is to determine the relative value for different crops of the two leading and cheapest sources of potash, viz., muriate and high-grade sulfate. These salts are used in equal quantities continuously upon the same land. The field contains eleven plots, of approximately one-eighth of an acre each. Of these, six have been yearly manured with muriate of potash and five with the high-grade sulfate. From 1892 to 1899 inclusive these salts were used at the rate of 400 pounds per acre; since 1900 the rate of application has been 250 pounds per acre. Fine-ground bone at the rate of 600 pounds per acre has been yearly applied to all plots. Various crops have been grown in rotation, including potatoes, field corn, sweet corn, grasses, oats and vetch, barley and vetch, winter rye, clovers of various kinds, sugar beets, soy beans and cabbages. Most of these crops have been grown during several years. All have with few exceptions given uniformly large yields. The results to date may be summarized as follows: among the crops grown, the potatoes, clovers, cabbages and soy beans have usually done much the best on sulfate of potash; the yield of corn, grasses, oats, barley, vetches and sugar beets has been about equally good on the two salts; the quality of the potatoes and sugar beets produced on the sulfate of potash plots has been distinctly better than that of the crops produced on the muriate of potash."

The crops of the past year have been potatoes, cabbages, onions, and soy beans, while on two plots perennial garden crops and small fruits, viz., rhubarb, asparagus, raspberries and blackberries have been started. The crops both of onions and cabbages were practically failures; in both cases, it is believed, largely on account of the abnormally cold and otherwise very unfavorable season. The onion crop through-

out this entire section was in general the poorest known for years. The cabbages made a healthy growth, but, as the season proved, were started much too late. With average summer temperatures the crop would have matured, but under the conditions of the past season few heads reached marketable development. The number of such heads on the muriate of potash was considerably more than on the sulfate, and the total weight of the crop on the muriate was at the rate of about 4,400 pounds per acre greater than on the sulfate. In the case of the onions the total weight of crop produced, including scallions and tops, was at the rate of about 1,000 pounds per acre greater on the muriate than on the sulfate, but there were only 5 bushels more of good onions. In view of the nature of the results with these two crops, further details concerning them will not be given.

1. Soy Beans (Sulfate v. Muriate of Potash).

The variety of soy beans grown in this experiment was the medium green. This crop occupied two plots (17 and 18), which last year produced a crop of cabbages. The yield of cabbages last year on the sulfate of potash was at the rate of about 5 tons to the acre more than on the muriate. The crop this year suffered from no accidental conditions affecting results, but owing to the unfavorable season the yields were very small. The results are shown in the table: —

Muriate v. High-grade Sulfate of Potash. — Medium Green Soy Beans, Yields per Acre.

Fertilizers used.	Beans (Bushels).	Straw (Pounds).
Muriate of potash, ...	11.20	1,000
Sulfate of potash, ...	10.73	689

It will be noted that the yield of beans is slightly greater on the muriate than on the sulfate; the difference, however, is exceedingly small, and no especial significance can be attached to it. In previous years the sulfate of potash has usually given the better crop of this variety of beans, and I am still inclined to advise its selection. The greater deple-

tion of the soil as a result of the heavy yield of cabbages last year on the plot receiving the sulfate of potash may in part account for the fact that the sulfate of potash this year fails to show its usual superiority.

2. Potatoes (Sulfate v. Muriate of Potash).

The potatoes in this experiment were of the Beauty of Hebron variety; the seed was grown in northern Maine. This crop occupied two plots (11 and 12), which last year produced a mixed crop of timothy and clover. The sod was broken this spring, and the seed, which had been previously treated with formalin for destruction of scab spores, and budded, was planted on May 16. An effort was made to protect the crop from insects and blight by the use of prepared insecticides and fungicides offered in our markets. These proved fairly satisfactory in the destruction of the potato beetle, but were not entirely effective in preventing blight. The vines began to show signs of blight on July 18, but its progress was slow; there was but very little rot, and the yield was fairly satisfactory. The potatoes were dug after the vines were entirely dead, and the yields were as shown below.

Muriate v. High-grade Sulfate of Potash. — Potatoes, Yield per Acre (Bushels).

Fertilizers used.	Merchantable.	Small.
Muriate of potash, ...	171.71	29.94
Sulfate of potash, ...	194.58	29.09

It will be noticed that the yield of small tubers was practically identical on the two potash salts, but that the sulfate of potash gave a yield of merchantable tubers at the rate of nearly 23 bushels per acre greater than the muriate. This result is in exact agreement with the results of many other experiments which have been tried upon our grounds; and it seems to be impossible to doubt that sulfate of potash should be generally selected for the potato, rather than the muriate, for all soils which have a fair capacity to retain moisture.

Farmers raising the crop on such soils should demand potato fertilizers in which this salt has been used as the source of potash. Not only is the crop almost invariably larger on the sulfate, but it is of superior eating quality. Previous experiments here have shown that it almost invariably contains from 2 to 3 per cent. more starch, and that when cooked the potatoes are whiter, of better flavor, and more mealy.

III. — Fertilizers for Garden Crops. (Field C.)

The object in this experiment is to study the influence of a few standard fertilizers used continuously upon the same land upon the yield of garden crops. The experiments were begun in 1891, and from that date to 1897 inclusive fertilizers alone were applied to the land. During the past six years stable manure also has been applied in equal quantities (at the rate of 30 tons per acre) to each of the plots, while the fertilizers have been used in the same amounts and applied to the same plots as at first. The original number of plots in the experiment was six, of about one-eighth of an acre each. On all of these fertilizers were used. When we first began to apply manure as well as fertilizers, we introduced into the experiment a seventh plot of the same area as the others, but which had had different previous manurial treatment. To this we have since applied manure only at the above-named rate. This plot was introduced in order that we might have a basis for determining whether the materials used were in any degree beneficial when added to the somewhat liberal quantity of manure employed. It was found that at first the yields of almost all crops on the manure alone were almost as good as those where the fertilizers also were used. In a few cases the manure alone gave the better crops. It is not believed that we are justified in concluding that the fertilizers have been used without beneficial effect, for the no-fertilizer plot introduced in 1898 had, previous to that year, been more heavily manured than the other plots. The superiority of the plot receiving manure alone seems to be gradually decreasing, and this plot will doubtless ultimately serve as a basis for making fair comparisons between the results obtainable with manure alone and results

obtained with equal manure and fertilizers. Up to the present time it is not considered to have furnished such a basis, and no reference accordingly will be made in this report to the yields upon this plot.

All the prominent out-door garden crops in this locality have been grown in rotation upon each plot, and each crop during several years. The list of crops so far grown includes spinach, lettuce, onions, garden peas, table beets, early and late cabbages, potatoes, tomatoes, squashes, cucumbers, turnips, sweet corn, celery, and one small fruit, — strawberries. Asparagus and rhubarb were set in 1902, but no cuttings have yet been made, and these will not therefore be referred to in this report.

As stated in my last annual report, these "experiments have been planned with reference to throwing light especially upon two points: *A*. The relative value of nitrate of soda, sulfate of ammonia and dried blood used as sources of nitrogen. *B*. The relative value of sulfate of potash and muriate of potash. These two points will be separately discussed."

A. — The Relative Value of Nitrate of Soda, Sulfate of Ammonia and Dried Blood as Sources of Nitrogen.

The three fertilizers compared as sources of nitrogen have from the first been applied in such amounts as to furnish equal nitrogen to each plot (at the rate of 60 pounds per acre), and each fertilizer is always applied to the same plot. An application supplying per acre the amount of nitrogen above named requires the annual application of materials at about the following rates per acre: —

	Pounds.
Nitrate of soda,	375
Sulfate of ammonia,	300
Dried blood,	850

Each of these nitrogen fertilizers is used on two plots, on one with sulfate of potash, on the other with muriate, — in both cases in such quantities as to furnish equal actual potash.

The results with some of the crops, especially where sulfate of ammonia is the source of nitrogen, have been widely

different on these two potash salts, the yields on the sulfate being greatly superior to those on the muriate. The potash salts are applied in such quantities as to furnish potash at the rate of 120 pounds per acre, which requires the use of about 240 pounds of commercial muriate or high-grade sulfate of potash. Dissolved bone-black is used on all plots as the source of phosphoric acid, and in such quantity as to furnish phosphoric acid at the rate of about 50.4 pounds per acre. To furnish this, dissolved bone-black is applied at the rate of about 320 pounds per acre.

The results previous to this year may be summarized as in our last annual report. For the early crops, *i.e.*, the crops making most of their growth before mid-summer, including onions, lettuce, table beets, garden peas, spinach, early cabbages and strawberries, the nitrate of soda has been found the most effective source of nitrogen. The relative standing of the different nitrogen fertilizers, as measured by the total yields, including leaves, vines and tops as well as the marketable product, is as follows: —

	Per Cent.
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Dried blood,	93.70
Sulfate of ammonia,	57.30

For the late crops, including late cabbages, turnips, celery, tomatoes and squashes: —

	Per Cent.
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Dried blood,	99.00
Sulfate of ammonia,	78.40

The crops grown in this experiment this year for which results will be reported include strawberries, followed by celery; dandelions, followed by cucumbers; tomatoes; beets; and garden peas, followed by turnips. The weather conditions have been highly unfavorable to the normal growth and development of some of these crops, especially tomatoes, but a small proportion of which ripened, and cucumbers. The excessively dry weather of the month of May, followed by the equally excessively wet weather of the month of June, gave us conditions no doubt extremely unfavorable to the

action of all the nitrogen fertilizers, but apparently particularly so in the case of the nitrate of soda. Moreover, considerable damage was done both to dandelions and strawberries through the burning of the leaves, caused by the application of the nitrate of soda and the sulfate of ammonia. The application of either of these chemicals as a top-dressing to a growing crop requires the utmost care. It was not the belief of the assistant applying the materials that these chemicals adhered to the leaves when applied in such quantity as to prove injurious; but the result proved that he was mistaken in his judgment, for the injury through burning of the leaves both of the dandelions and the strawberries where nitrate of soda and sulfate of ammonia were applied was very serious. Doubtless as a consequence chiefly of the conditions to which attention has been called, the dried blood, as compared with the other nitrogen fertilizers, ranks this year relatively higher than in any previous year.

The average rate of yield per acre obtained with each of the nitrogen fertilizers, used it will be remembered in addition to the manure, for the present season is shown in the following table: —

Nitrogen Fertilizers for Garden Crops. — Rate of Yield per Acre (Pounds), Average of Two Plots.

Fertilizers used.	Dandelions.	Strawberries.	Peas.		Beets.	
			Pods, Green.	Vines, Green.	Roots.	Tops.
Sulfate of ammonia, .	37,857	5,010	11,210	9,758	37,866	43,507
Nitrate of soda, . . .	35,098	5,489	9,880	8,710	44,319	49,643
Dried blood, . . .	48,312	10,072	11,694	11,129	46,429	41,689

Nitrogen Fertilizers for Garden Crops, etc. — Concluded.

Fertilizers used.	Tomatoes.			Cucumbers.		Celery.	Turnips.		
	Ripe.	Green.	Vines.	Fruit.	Vines.		Large.	Small.	Leaves.
Sulfate of ammonia.	8,613	26,891	18,293	2,772	5,979	16,870	33,442	12,662	29,221
Nitrate of soda,	8,293	24,726	16,768	4,701	8,016	34,553	44,351	7,598	33,442
Dried blood, .	8,171	23,842	12,805	2,718	4,076	24,797	48,701	3,896	27,923

The relative influence of the nitrogen fertilizers has differed widely for the different crops, as is evident on examination of the above tables, and each must therefore be separately discussed.

Dandelions. — This crop was started in the summer of 1902. The fertilizers this year were applied evenly on April 14. The leaves, as above stated, were badly burned wherever nitrate of soda or sulfate of ammonia was used. The crop was cut May 6, at which time it was judged that the leaves had reached their maximum development. The plants were in blossom, and of course somewhat beyond the stage at which the crop is commonly cut for market. On the basis of the yields obtained, the relative standing of the different nitrogen fertilizers was as follows: —

	Per Cent.
Dried blood,	100.00
Sulfate of ammonia,	78.36
Nitrate of soda,	72.66

There can be no doubt that, as a result of the burning effect alluded to, both the sulfate of ammonia and nitrate of soda actually decreased the yield.

Strawberries. — The vines from which the fruit harvested this year was picked were set in the spring of 1902. The fertilizers used were evenly spread broadcast on April 14. Within a few days the leaves began to show marked injury on the nitrate of soda and sulfate of ammonia plots. On the basis of total weights of ripe fruit, the nitrogen fertilizers ranked in the following order: —

	Per Cent.
Dried blood,	100.00
Nitrate of soda,	54.50
Sulfate of ammonia,	49.74

Without doubt both the nitrate of soda and the sulfate of ammonia proved actually injurious.

Garden Peas. — The fertilizers applied to this crop were spread broadcast after plowing on April 30, and harrowed in. On the basis of weights of pods and vines harvested, the nitrogen fertilizers take the following relative rank: —

Fertilizers used.	Pods (Per Cent.).	Vines (Per Cent.).
Dried blood,	100.00	100.00
Sulfate of ammonia,	95.86	87.68
Nitrate of soda,	84.55	78.26

The yields on the sulfate of ammonia were slightly greater than where no fertilizer was added to the manure. On the nitrate of soda they were considerably less, and there is no evidence that the latter benefited the crop.

Table Beets. — The fertilizers for this crop were applied at the same time and in the same manner as for the peas. As indicated by the yields, the relative standing of the different nitrogen fertilizers for this crop is as follows : —

Fertilizers used.	Beets (Per Cent.).	Tops (Per Cent.).
Dried blood,	100.00	83.97
Nitrate of soda,	95.47	100.00
Sulfate of ammonia,	83.50	87.62

The fertilizers were apparently moderately beneficial to this crop, but the nitrate of soda stands relatively much lower than in previous years.

Tomatoes. — Fertilizers were applied as in the case of garden peas. The relative standing of the nitrogen fertilizers, as indicated by the yields (ripe and green fruit and vines), is as follows : —

Fertilizers used.	Ripe Fruit (Per Cent.).	Green Fruit (Per Cent.).	Tops (Per Cent.).
Sulfate of ammonia,	100.00	100.00	100.00
Nitrate of soda,	96.28	91.97	91.67
Dried blood,	94.86	88.66	70.00

Cucumbers. — The cucumbers were planted on June 30, being put in to replace squashes, which had been killed by too heavy an application of kerosene emulsion. The relative standing of the nitrogen fertilizers, as indicated by the weights of the fruit and vines, is as follows : —

Fertilizers used.	Fruit (Per Cent.).	Vines (Per Cent.).
Nitrate of soda,	100.00	100.00
Sulfate of ammonia,	58.96	74.53
Dried blood,	57.80	50.81

The vines in this experiment were somewhat affected by fungi, which doubtless influenced both yield of fruit and growth. The nitrate appears to have exerted a very favorable influence, but the reasons, in view of the character of the season and the lateness of the crop of cucumbers, are not evident. Both the amount of fruit, however, and the weight of the vines produced where the nitrate was used were materially greater than where no fertilizers were employed.

Celery. — This crop followed the fruiting strawberries, and the manure applied this year to these plots was turned in with the strawberry vines. The fertilizers called for on the several plots had been applied in the spring as a top-dressing to the strawberries. The relative standing of the different nitrogen fertilizers, as indicated by the total weight of the crop when dug in the fall and the roots freed from earth, is as follows: —

	Per Cent.
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Dried blood,	71.76
Sulfate of ammonia,	48.94

Turnips. — This crop was sown on July 30, following garden peas. The variety was the White Egg. The relative standing of the nitrogen fertilizers, as indicated by the weights of roots of the different qualities and leaves, is as follows: —

Fertilizers used.	Merchantable (Per Cent.).	Culls (Per Cent.).	Leaves (Per Cent.).
Dried blood,	100.00	30.61	83.33
Nitrate of soda,	91.20	60.20	100.00
Sulfate of ammonia,	68.80	100.00	87.21

The relative standing of the different nitrogen fertilizers for the early crops of this year, including dandelions, strawberries, peas and beets, as indicated by the combined weights of merchantable products, tops and vines, is: —

	Per Cent.
Dried blood,	100.00
Nitrate of soda,	83.13
Sulfate of ammonia,	82.67

For the late crops, including tomatoes, cucumbers, celery, cabbages and turnips, the relative standing determined in the same manner is: —

	Per Cent.
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Dried blood,	95.20
Sulfate of ammonia,	85.74

Combining the results of 1903 with the twelve previous years, the relative standing of the nitrogen fertilizers is: —

	Per Cent.
For the early crops: —	
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Dried blood,	95.42
Sulfate of ammonia,	60.03
For the late crops: —	
Nitrate of soda,	100.00
Dried blood,	98.76
Sulfate of ammonia,	78.96

B. — The Relative Value of Sulfate and Muriate of Potash for Garden Crops.

The general conditions under which these experiments have been tried have been already outlined. It will be remembered that these salts are under trial in connection with the sulfate of ammonia, nitrate of soda and dried blood as sources of nitrogen when used in addition to manure for garden crops. The crops grown on these two potash salts, therefore, are the same as those which have been named in discussing the relative value of the different nitrogen fertilizers. Each potash salt is used on three plots, *i.e.*, with each of the three nitrogen fertilizers. The results for the past year are shown by the following table: —

Sulfate and Muriate of Potash compared as Fertilizers for Garden Crops. — Yield per Acre (Pounds), Average of Three Plots.

Fertilizers used.	Dandelions.	Strawberries.	Peas.		Beets.	
			Pods, Green.	Vines, Green.	Roots.	Tops.
Muriate of potash,	41,385	6,531	11,586	9,570	44,502	45,541
High-grade sulfate of potash,	39,459	7,183	10,269	10,162	41,840	44,351

Sulfate and Muriate of Potash compared, etc. — Concluded.

Fertilizers used.	Tomatoes.			Cucumbers.		Celery.	Turnips.		
	Ripe.	Green.	Vines.	Fruit.	Vines.		Large.	Small.	Leaves.
Muriate of potash.	8,069	24,797	14,431	2,699	4,982	24,119	42,208	7,143	28,918
High-grade sulfate of potash.	8,648	25,508	17,480	4,094	7,065	26,694	42,121	8,961	31,472

Examination of the table reveals the fact that the results for this season are the precise opposite of the results which have been obtained in most of our previous experiments. The muriate of potash gives the greater yield in the case of all the early crops, and the sulfate of potash the larger yield in the case of all the late crops. It is well understood that muriate of potash dissolves and diffuses through the soil somewhat more readily than sulfate, and, further, that it makes soils more retentive of moisture; and it may be that the great deficiency in rainfall which prevailed during the season until early in June left the soil so dry that the sulfate of potash did not become sufficiently dissolved and diffused to become available to the early crops.

The relative average standing of these two salts, as indicated by the total yields of all crops grown previous to this year, is shown in the following table: —

Fertilizers used.	Early Crops (Per Cent.).	Late Crops (Per Cent.).
Sulfate of potash,	100.00	100.00
Muriate of potash,	93.20	102.90

The relative average standing for this year, determined upon the same basis, is as follows : —

Fertilizers used.	Early Crops (Per Cent.).	Late Crops (Per Cent.).
Muriate of potash, ...	100.00	92.66
Sulfate of potash, ...	98.36	100.00

Combining these results with those of the twelve previous years, the average standing, as indicated by total yields, is as shown in the following table : —

Fertilizers used.	Early Crops (Per Cent.).	Late Crops (Per Cent.).
Sulfate of potash, ...	100.00	97.97
Muriate of potash, ...	93.84	100.00

The total rainfall during the past season from April 17, the date when the fertilizers were applied to the dandelions and strawberries, to June 7 was .48 inches. Such a rainfall must have been quite insufficient to bring the less soluble fertilizers into circulation, and the failure of the sulfate of potash to produce its usual effect on the early crops is not surprising. It has been repeatedly noticed in experiments here that in excessively dry seasons the muriate of potash usually excels the sulfate for all crops, even those which ordinarily do better on the sulfate. Following this period of excessive drouth came a period extending from the 7th of June to the end of the month with equally excessive rainfall, the total precipitation for that period amounting to 7.79 inches. July was also a comparatively rainy month, and it seems probable that the more soluble muriate of potash may have been largely carried into the subsoil. In this position it would not be equally available to the late crops as the less soluble sulfate.

It is not the belief of the writer that the fact that the relation of the two potash salts for this season to early and late crops respectively is exactly the reverse of what it has been in previous years, should lead to a modification of the advice

which has been previously given, viz., that the sulfate should generally be preferred for the early crops and the muriate for the late on all soils with a fair capacity to retain moisture.

IV. — Comparison of Different Potash Salts for Field Crops. (Field G.)

The experiment for comparison of different potash salts was begun in 1898. The field contains forty plots, of about one-fortieth of an acre each. The plots are fertilized in five series of eight plots each, each series including a no-potash plot and one plot for each of the potash salts under comparison. Those salts are kainite, high-grade sulfate, low-grade sulfate, muriate, nitrate, carbonate and silicate. Each is applied annually to the same plot, and all are used in such amounts as to furnish equal potash to each plot. In the quantities employed the different salts supply annually actual potash at the rate of 165 pounds per acre. All plots are equally manured with materials furnishing fairly liberal amounts of nitrogen and phosphoric acid. The crop of the past season was mixed clover and timothy. This is the second year that this crop has occupied the land. The table which follows, showing yields, does not quite accurately show the effect of the fertilizers. It was out of the question to separate weeds and grasses from the clover in an experiment conducted on the scale of this. Most careful examination often repeated during the season made the following points self-evident: —

1. That on the no-potash plots the clover was very thin, but the weeds of various kinds were relatively abundant.

2. That on the plots to which kainite was applied the clover was weaker than on any of the other plots receiving potash. The timothy, on the other hand, was more abundant and apparently more vigorous on the kainite plots than on the others.

3. On the muriate of potash plots the clover was inferior to all other plots receiving potash except the kainite, and here also timothy was relatively abundant and vigorous.

4. The clover on the low-grade sulfate of potash plots was distinctly inferior to that on the high-grade sulfate.

The fact that the differences above noted were repeated with little variation in each of the series of plots leaves no room to doubt the highly unfavorable influence on the development of the clover of the kainite and the muriate, and the moderately unfavorable effect of the low-grade sulfate.

The field was cut twice, the hay carefully cured, to a considerable extent in cocks, and without much loss of leaf or head. The table shows the rates of yield per acre for both first and second cut, as well as the total for each plot: —

Clover. — Yield per Acre (Pounds).

Plots.	Potash Salt.	Hay.	Rowen.	Total.
1, . . .	No potash, ...	3,462	1,653	5,115
2, . . .	Kainite, ...	3,462	3,592	7,054
3, . . .	High-grade sulfate, ...	3,307	1,586	4,893
4, . . .	Low-grade sulfate, ...	3,150	2,100	5,250
5, . . .	Muriate, ...	3,441	2,368	5,809
6, . . .	Nitrate, ...	2,927	2,234	5,161
7, . . .	Carbonate, ...	2,882	2,011	4,893
8, . . .	Silicate, ...	3,128	2,279	5,407
9, . . .	No potash, ...	3,239	1,698	4,937
10, . . .	Kainite, ...	4,424	2,435	6,859
11, . . .	High-grade sulfate, ...	4,021	2,011	6,032
12, . . .	Low-grade sulfate, ...	3,977	1,921	5,898
13, . . .	Muriate, ...	3,753	2,055	5,808
14, . . .	Nitrate, ...	3,977	2,100	6,077
15, . . .	Carbonate, ...	3,462	2,011	5,473
16, . . .	Silicate, ...	4,155	1,988	6,143
17, . . .	No potash, ...	3,753	1,720	5,473
18, . . .	Kainite, ...	4,357	1,698	6,055
19, . . .	High-grade sulfate, ...	4,066	1,698	5,764
20, . . .	Low-grade sulfate, ...	4,200	2,190	6,390
21, . . .	Muriate, ...	4,379	2,145	6,524
22, . . .	Nitrate, ...	3,843	1,899	5,742
23, . . .	Carbonate, ...	4,021	1,609	5,630
24, . . .	Silicate, ...	3,999	1,966	5,965
25, . . .	No potash, ...	2,458	1,609	4,067
26, . . .	Kainite, ...	4,021	2,122	6,143
27, . . .	High-grade sulfate, ...	3,753	1,519	5,272
28, . . .	Low-grade sulfate, ...	4,446	1,966	6,412

Clover. — Yield per Acre (Pounds) — Concluded.

Plots.	Potash Salt.	Hay.	Rowen.	Total.
29, . . .	Muriate, ...	3,820	1,765	5,585
30, . . .	Nitrate, ...	3,664	1,430	5,094
31, . . .	Carbonate, ...	3,664	1,452	5,116
32, . . .	Silicate, ...	4,021	1,787	5,808
33, . . .	No potash, ...	3,619	1,519	5,138
34, . . .	Kainite, ...	4,088	1,921	6,009
35, . . .	High-grade sulfate, ...	3,709	1,631	5,340
36, . . .	Low-grade sulfate, ...	4,111	1,564	5,675
37, . . .	Muriate, ...	3,932	1,832	5,764
38, . . .	Nitrate, ...	3,441	1,698	5,139
39, . . .	Carbonate, ...	3,753	1,810	5,563
40, . . .	Silicate, ...	3,887	1,787	5,674

The influence of the different potash salts is somewhat more clearly brought out by the table below, which gives the average results for each of the potash salts employed : —

Clover. — Average Yield per Acre (Pounds).

Potash Salt.	Hay.	Rowen.	Total.
No potash (plots 1, 9, 17, 25, 33), ...	2,066	1,025	3,091
Kainite (plots 2, 10, 18, 26, 34), ...	2,544	1,471	4,015
High-grade sulfate (plots, 3, 11, 19, 27, 35), . .	2,357	1,056	3,413
Low-grade sulfate (plots 4, 12, 20, 28, 36), . .	2,485	1,218	3,703
Muriate (plots 5, 13, 21, 29, 37), ...	2,416	1,271	3,687
Nitrate (plots 6, 14, 22, 30, 38), ...	2,232	1,170	3,402
Carbonate (plots 7, 15, 23, 31, 39), ...	2,223	1,112	3,335
Silicate (plots 8, 16, 24, 32, 40), ...	2,399	1,226	3,625

The average yield on the plot receiving no potash is much lower than on the other plots, in spite of the fact that weeds helped to a considerable extent to make up the deficiency in clover and timothy. The kainite gives the highest total yield of hay. This was doubtless in part due to the fact that the large mixture of timothy enabled the crop to stand up better during the heavy rains of the month of June than

on those plots where the clover so largely predominated. The plots receiving high-grade sulfate of potash lodged very badly, — more seriously than any other plots in the field; and, as bad weather necessitated cutting the crop somewhat late, the vigor of the plants was undoubtedly lowered, as shown by the relatively low yield of rowen on these plots. They without doubt exhibited the highest average development of clover during the early part of the season, and with a more normal season should have given the heaviest rowen.

What is true of the high-grade sulfate of potash plots is doubtless also true in somewhat lesser degree of the plots manured with the silicate, carbonate and nitrate.

The experiment of this year lends additional support to the advice which has previously been given, viz., that "on soils with good retentive qualities sulfate of potash should generally be preferred to muriate or kainite for clovers," in spite of the fact that the total yields, including timothy, are heavier on these plots.

V. — Comparison of Phosphates on the Basis of Equal Application of Phosphoric Acid.

In this experiment, which has now been in progress seven years, we are endeavoring to determine by means of the growing crops the relative availability of a number of different phosphates. Those under comparison are as follows: apatite, South Carolina rock phosphate, Florida soft phosphate, phosphatic slag, Tennessee phosphate, dissolved bone-black, raw bone, dissolved bone, steamed bone, and acid phosphate.

All phosphates under comparison are used in amounts sufficient to furnish actual phosphoric acid at the rate of 96 pounds per acre, and each is applied annually in finely ground form to the same plot. The field contains thirteen plots, of about one-eighth of an acre each. Three of these plots have received no application of phosphoric acid since the beginning of the experiment. One of these is at either end, and the other in the middle, of the field. All plots are supplied alike with materials furnishing nitrogen and potash in available forms in liberal amount and in equal quantities to

each. The materials used as sources of nitrogen and potash furnish nitrogen at the rate of 52 pounds and potash at the rate of 152 pounds per acre. With some crops a supplementary application of a quick-acting nitrogen fertilizer has been made to all plots alike. The crops which have been grown in this field during the progress of the experiment are as follows: corn, cabbages, corn, in 1900 two crops, — oats and Hungarian grass (both for hay), onions, and onions. With the exception of the onions, all the crops previously grown in this field have given good yields, even on the three plots in the field which have received no phosphate.

The soil of the field at the beginning of the experiment was not quite even in quality throughout. Plot 1 surpassed any other in the field in fertility at the start, and on the whole (although the difference is not very marked) there appears to be a gradual natural decline in productiveness from this end of the field toward the other.

The crop of the present season was cabbages. The variety is the Danish Ball-head. The seed was sown at the usual time for the crop in this locality, but so abnormally cold was the season that the crop was far from mature when cold weather set in. Still, the yields (which include weight of stumps, loose leaves and soft heads, as well as the weight of hard heads and totals) make it possible to estimate the relative availability of the different phosphates to the crop grown. The rates of yield per acre are shown in the following table: —

Cabbages on Plots with Equal Amounts of Phosphoric Acid.

Plots.	Fertilizers used.	Number of Hard Heads.	Hard Heads (Pounds).	Soft Heads, Leaves and Stumps (Pounds).	Total Crop (Pounds).
1,	No phosphate,	1,184	4,040	9,360	13,400
2,	Apatite,	776	3,560	17,360	20,920
3,	South Carolina rock phosphate,	2,928	12,040	24,480	36,520
4,	Florida soft phosphate,	816	3,840	21,840	25,680
5,	Phosphatic slag,	2,232	9,920	28,040	37,960
6,	Tennessee phosphate,	440	1,720	29,160	30,880
7,	No phosphate,	104	400	14,120	14,520
8,	Dissolved bone-black,	2,336	8,392	31,520	39,912
9,	Raw bone,	2,304	11,800	32,440	44,240
10,	Dissolved bone meal,	2,384	12,760	29,320	42,080
11,	Steamed bone meal,	1,632	8,720	28,200	36,920
12,	Acid phosphate,	1,296	6,200	24,120	30,320
13,	No phosphate,	120	440	8,080	8,520

The most important points to which it seems desirable to call attention are the following : —

1. The no-phosphate plots give very low yields both of hard heads and total, indicating the marked dependence of the cabbage upon the supply of phosphoric acid.

2. Apatite and soft Florida phosphate are the least effective among the phosphates employed.

3. South Carolina rock gives a surprisingly good return, being exceeded in yield of hard heads by only one plot, — the one receiving dissolved bone, — while in total yield it is materially exceeded by but few.

4. The phosphatic slag ranks among the best of the phosphates used. It is exceeded in yield of hard heads by the dissolved bone-black, the South Carolina rock and the raw bone, in the order named ; while in total weight of crop it is exceeded by the dissolved bone, the raw bone and the dissolved bone-black.

5. The most valuable crop in the field is that produced by the dissolved bone, although it is slightly exceeded in total yield by the crop on the raw bone.

6. Particular attention is called to the fact that this year, as in preceding years, the raw bone meal gives a crop much superior to that obtained by the use of steamed bone meal.

The differences in the development of the cabbages on the different plots in the field, as affected by the phosphates applied, became manifest at a very early date. The plants were scarcely a week old before marked differences could be seen ; and the relative development throughout the season, as recorded after several examinations, was in about the order indicated by the final yields, although most observers ranked the crop on the phosphatic slag while growing relatively higher than indicated by the final result.

In estimating the significance of the results upon this field, it is important to keep in mind the facts as regards the character of the soil. It is what would be called a strong and moderately heavy loam, and has great capacity to retain moisture. The relatively insoluble phosphates are known to give better results on soils of this character than on those which are lighter and drier.

Our experiments indicate that the cabbage is one of those crops most closely dependent upon the supply of available phosphoric acid, and yet this crop gives us a good return upon phosphates ordinarily regarded as very slowly available. The opinion in general held concerning the necessity for acidulated phosphates may need modification. We have not, it is true, raised on the South Carolina rock or the raw bone crops of the highest rank, as measured by the number and weight of hard heads. The total yields are excellent, and the weights of hard heads in a more normal season would without doubt have been much higher. It appears reasonable to believe that on soils of the character of this field the farmer may safely depend for a considerable portion at least of the phosphoric acid needed by his crops upon the cheaper natural phosphates, such as finely ground South Carolina rock and finely ground bone, while phosphatic slag also promises to prove a most useful fertilizer upon soil of this character.

VI. — Soil Tests.

Two soil tests, both upon our own grounds and both in continuation of previous work upon the same fields, have been carried out during the past season. Fertilizers have been applied in accordance with the co-operative plan for soil tests, with one or two small exceptions. Lime and plaster have been applied to the plots calling for these fertilizers in double the usual soil test amounts. Each plot annually receives an application of the same kind or kinds of fertilizers. Such experiments are not adapted to securing the production of heavy crops, but rather to throwing light upon the general question as to the particular plant food requirements of different crops. By study of the results, the effects of the different leading elements of plant food on the several crops can be determined with much accuracy.

Every fertilizer used, whether applied by itself or in connection with one or both of the other fertilizer materials, is always applied in the same quantities. Both fertilizers and manure (where the latter is introduced for purposes of comparison) are always applied broadcast after plowing, and

harrowed in. The kinds and the amounts per acre are as follows : —

Nitrate of soda, 160 pounds, furnishing nitrogen.
Dissolved bone-black, 320 pounds, furnishing phosphoric acid.
Muriate of potash, 160 pounds, furnishing potash.
Land plaster, 400 pounds.
Lime, 400 pounds.
Manure, 5 cords.

A. — Soil Test with Corn (South Acre).

This acre has been used in soil tests for fifteen years, beginning in 1889. The crops in successive years have been as follows : corn, corn, oats, grass and clover, grass and clover, corn (followed by mustard as a catch crop), rye, soy beans, white mustard, corn, corn, grass and clover, grass and clover, corn, and corn. Since 1889 this field has therefore borne seven corn crops, and during this time it has been four years in grass. The crop last year was corn, following grass ; this year, corn follows corn. The season was the most unfavorable for this crop which has been known within the lifetime of most men now living, and the crop of this year was exceedingly poor, even on the land which has for fifteen years received an annual application of manure at the rate of 5 cords per acre. Last year, although the season then also was somewhat unfavorable, this plot gave a yield almost double that of this year. It is not surprising, therefore, that the yield on most of the plots receiving fertilizers was very low. Four of the plots have received neither manure nor fertilizer throughout the entire fifteen years, and these now show a degree of exhaustion amounting to almost absolute sterility. Allowing 90 pounds of ears as husked to the bushel of shelled grain, the average product of these plots was at the rate of about $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels to the acre. The average yield of stover on these plots is at the rate of 560 pounds per acre. The table shows the manuring of the several plots, the rate of yield, and the gain or loss per acre compared with the nothing plots : —

Corn. — South Acre Soil Test, 1903.

Plots.	Fertilizers used.	Yield per Acre.		Gain or Loss per Acre, compared with Nothing Plots.	
		Corn (Bushels, 90 Pounds).	Stover (Pounds).	Corn (Bushels 90 Pounds).	Stover (Pounds).
1,	Nitrate of soda,	.56	360	—.44	—390
2,	Dissolved bone-black,	.94	360	—.06	—390
3,	Nothing,	.94	300	-	-
4,	Muriate of potash,	16.61	1,880	15.61	1,130
5,	Lime,	.15	160	—.85	—590
6,	Nothing,	1.06	1,200	-	-
7,	Manure,	37.39	3,600	36.39	2,850
8,	Nitrate of soda and dissolved bone-black.	3.89	800	2.36	430
9,	Nothing,	1.28	340	-	-
10,	Nitrate of soda and muriate of potash.	18.00	2,200	16.47	1,830
11,	Dissolved bone-black and muriate of potash.	20.39	2,320	18.86	1,950
12,	Nothing,	1.78	400	-	-
13,	Plaster,	2.06	400	.53	30
14,	Nitrate of soda, dissolved bone-black and muriate of potash.	25.56	3,040	24.03	2,670

In view of the highly unfavorable season, the development of the corn was far from normal, and extended discussion of the results does not seem called for. It will be noticed that, as in previous years, the potash among the fertilizer elements used is the one exercising by far the greatest effect in increasing the crop. The addition of either nitrate of soda or phosphoric acid, as shown by the results on plots 10 and 11, does not very materially increase the yield produced on potash alone (Plot 4). The addition of nitrate of soda to the mixture of potash and dissolved bone-black used on Plot 11 caused a considerable increase, — greater this year than in previous years, as shown by the yield on Plot 14. This difference in effect may very well be due to the gradual exhaustion of the supply of humus in the soil on these plots, which for so many years have been manured with fertilizers alone, and subjected to tillage throughout most of the time.

Though no combination of fertilizers gives what can be considered a good crop, the lesson is just as clear this year as in previous years, viz., that fertilizers for corn should be rich in potash.

B. — Soil Test with Mixed Grass and Clover (North Acre).

The field on which this test was carried out has been used in similar tests with various crops for fourteen years, beginning in 1890. The fertilizers have been applied in accordance with the system regularly used in soil tests, save as regards amounts. During the years when potatoes or onions have been grown, double the usual quantities have been employed. One other peculiarity in treatment must be reported. In the spring of 1899 one-half of each plot received an application of freshly slaked lime, at the rate of 1 ton per acre. This lime was spread after plowing, and worked in with a harrow. The crops in order of succession have been : potatoes, corn, soy beans, oats, grass and clover, grass and clover, cabbages and ruta-baga turnips, potatoes, onions for four years (1898 to 1901 inclusive), potatoes, and grass and clover. The crop upon which we are reporting followed potatoes. The seeds sown included the following varieties : timothy, red-top, and mammoth red and alsike clover. The seeds of the timothy (18 pounds), red-top (8 pounds), red clover (5 pounds) and alsike clover (4 pounds) were mixed and sown broadcast Sept. 15, 1902. The date of sowing was so late that the grass made relatively little growth during the autumn months and the clover winter-killed. The winter was, however, favorable for the grasses, and they came through without injury, and 15 pounds of red clover seeds were sown on April 4.

As has been pointed out in another connection, there was less than one-half inch of rain from the middle of April to the 7th of June. The conditions, therefore, were most unfavorable for the germination of the clover and for the growth of the young and therefore very shallow-rooted grass plants. The yields, therefore, were small, but the results are nevertheless of considerable interest. The fertilizers applied to

the several plots and the rate of yield per acre with the gain or loss where the different fertilizers were employed are shown in the following table: —

Grass and Clover. — North Acre Soil Test, 1903.

Plots.	Fertilizers used.	Yield per Acre.		Gain or Loss per Acre, compared with Nothing Plots.	
		Unlimed (Pounds).	Limed (Pounds).	Unlimed (Pounds).	Limed (Pounds).
1, .	Nothing, ...	360	1,150	-	-
2, .	Nitrate of soda, ...	1,520	3,140	1,096.7	2,036.7
3, .	Dissolved bone-black, . .	950	1,560	463.3	503.3
4, .	Nothing, ...	550	1,010	-	-
5, .	Muriate of potash, . . .	660	950	135.0	50.0
6, .	Nitrate of soda and dissolved bone-black.	1,830	3,180	1,330.0	2,390.0
7, .	Nitrate of soda and muriate of potash.	1,820	2,190	1,345.0	1,510.0
8, .	Nothing, ...	450	570	-	-
9, .	Dissolved bone-black and muriate of potash.	620	920	177.5	207.5
10, .	Nitrate of soda, dissolved bone-black and muriate of potash.	2,330	2,830	1,895.0	1,975.0
11, .	Plaster, ...	430	480	2.5	—517.5
12, .	Nothing, ...	420	1,140	-	-

Examination of the table makes the fact evident that it is the nitrate of soda chiefly which determined the rate of yield. It is further evident that this is able to exert its full influence only on the half of the plot which received the application of lime that has been referred to. Nitrate of soda alone on the limed half of the plot after fourteen years continuous use still gives a crop of hay at the rate of rather over $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons per acre; used with dissolved bone-black, it gives almost exactly the same yield; used with muriate of potash, it gives a smaller yield, — only a little over 1 ton to the acre.

Much evidence is afforded, by a study of the relative proportions of the different species on the different plots and on the limed and unlimed portions of the several plots, that the soil in some parts of this field is once more becoming acid. It is likely that this is the case on Plot 7, for to that plot have been applied large quantities both of muriate of potash and nitrate of soda, both of which tend to aggravate the conditions leading to development of a sour condition of the

soil. To Plot 10 dissolved bone-black has been added, as well as the nitrate of soda and muriate of potash, and this, because of the lime it contains, has helped to lessen the tendency to the development of acidity; but even on this plot the yield is less than on the nitrate of soda alone, and probably because of the acid condition induced by the continued use of the fertilizers the plot has received.

A careful determination of the relative proportions of the timothy, red-top and clover in the product of a square yard on both the unlimed and limed portions was made. On the unlimed portion of every plot the red-top was more abundant than the timothy. There was practically no clover on the unlimed portion of any plot. Timothy exceeded red-top on the limed portion of all plots except Plot 7. This is the plot to which both the nitrate of soda and muriate of potash have been applied; and here, in spite of the lime which was put on in 1899, the soil is undoubtedly again acid, as shown by the fact that the red-top exceeds the timothy. Clover was found in appreciable quantities only on the limed portion of plots 9 and 10. The lessons of the experiment, it seems to me, are clear, the following being the most important points: —

1. Nitrate of soda, as in many previous experiments, proves the controlling element in the production of grass; but this exerts the full effect of which it is capable only on soils which are not excessively acid.

2. Whenever, in a mowing seeded with a mixture of timothy and red-top, the latter largely predominates, it is an evidence that the productivity of the field would be increased by an application of lime.

3. Clover cannot be made to thrive in a soil unless it is free from acidity; and in those cases where on seeding clover fails, acidity may reasonably be looked for.

VII. — Experiment in Manuring Grass Lands.

In this experiment, which has continued since 1893, the purpose is to test a system of using manures in rotation for the production of grass. The area used in the experiment is about nine acres. It is divided into three approximately

even plots. The plan is to apply to each plot one year barnyard manure, the next year wood ashes, and the third year fine-ground bone and muriate of potash. As we have three plots, the system of manuring has been so arranged that every year we have a plot illustrating the results of each of the applications under trial. The rates at which the several manures are employed are as follows: barnyard manure, 8 tons; wood ashes, 1 ton; ground bone, 600 pounds, and muriate of potash, 200 pounds, per acre. The manure is always applied in the fall, ashes and the bone and potash in early spring. A portion of the land was broken up as described in the annual report for last year, on account of having become somewhat infested with weeds, and reseeded. That portion which was plowed after the removal of the first crop in the summer of 1902, repeatedly harrowed, and then seeded on August 15, has this year produced a very heavy crop. This, no doubt, may be in part attributed to the very thorough preparation which the land received before seeding, although the liberal manuring which it has received for so many years was no doubt also a most important factor. The past season, although it promised at the start, on account of the excessively dry weather from the middle of April to about the 10th of June, to be a very poor one for the hay crop, eventually proved decidedly favorable, as the frequent rains during the last three weeks in June produced a heavy growth. Conditions for the rowen crop were also exceptionally favorable. The yields of hay and of rowen and the totals for each system of manuring were at the following rates per acre: —

Fertilizers used.	Hay (Pounds).	Rowen (Pounds).	Totals (Pounds).
Barnyard manure,	5,886	2,664	8,550
Bone and potash,	4,648	3,333	7,981
Wood ashes,	5,188	2,591	7 779

The average total yield of the entire area for this year is 8,104 pounds. The average for the entire period (1893 to the beginning of the present year) was 6,413 pounds. The

average to date is 6,597 pounds. The average yield when top-dressed with manure has been 6,827 pounds; when top-dressed with wood ashes, 6,427 pounds; when top-dressed with bone and potash, 6,562 pounds. The average yields for this year, as will be seen, are much above the general average to date.

Old and New Seeding compared.

As has been stated, the yield on the part of the land reseeded last summer was very exceptionally heavy. The advantage of reseeding is made evident by comparison of the yields on that portion of plots 1 and 2 not reseeded with the yield on the portion which was reseeded. These comparisons are shown by the following table: —

	Yield per Acre (Pounds).		
	Hay.	Rowen.	Totals.
Plot 1, wood ashes: —			
Not reseeded, ...	4,305.0	1,938.0	6,243.0
Reseeded portion, ...	5,629.5	2,917.0	8,546.5
Plot 2, barnyard manure: —			
Not reseeded, ...	3,966.0	1,676.0	5,642.0
Reseeded portion, ...	6,845.5	3,157.5	10,003.0

The yields obtained on the reseeded portion, amounting to rather over $4\frac{1}{4}$ tons on one plot and to almost exactly 5 tons on the other, are certainly exceedingly satisfactory.

The Seed sown.

An effort is being made to render the results of the experiments on this land more valuable by comparing two different mixtures of grass seeds. As the result of experience, it has been found that on this land, under the system of manuring followed, timothy, and to a lesser degree red-top, tend to die out, and are replaced to a considerable extent by Kentucky blue-grass, — a species far less valuable for mowings. Tall and meadow fescue will, it is believed, prove more persistent, and it is hoped they may be able to hold the ground

against the Kentucky blue-grass. With a view to testing these species as regards this point, equal areas of the reseeded portions of plots 1 and 2 have been sown with each of the two mixtures shown below: —

Fescue Mixture (*Pounds per Acre*).

Timothy,	6
Red-top,	8
Red clover,	5
Alsike clover,	4
Kentucky blue-grass,	4
Meadow fescue,	6
Tall fescue,	4

Timothy Mixture (*Pounds per Acre*).

Timothy,	18
Red-top,	8
Red clover,	5
Alsike clover,	4

These mixtures may be for convenience called respectively fescue mixture and timothy mixture. The relative yields in the first year on the two different seed mixtures is shown below: —

	Hay (Pounds).	Rowen (Pounds).	Totals (Pounds).
Plot 1: —			
Fescue mixture,	5,042	2,648	7,690
Timothy mixture,	6,217	3,186	9,403
Plot 2: —			
Fescue mixture,	6,521	2,921	9,483
Timothy mixture,	7,129	3,394	10,523

It will be seen that the timothy mixture has given the larger crops this year on both plots, both at the first and second cuttings. During the past dozen years many mixtures of grass seeds have been tried on different parts of the college estate, but none has been found which, everything considered, exceeds in value a mixture substantially that

which is so generally used of timothy, red-top and clover. This mixture in the first year is clearly superior to the other, but whether it will maintain its superiority cannot of course be determined at present.

VIII. — Experiment in the Application of Manure.

The experiment upon which the results for the past year are to be reported was begun in 1899. The object in view is to determine whether it is better to spread fresh manure during late fall and winter, allowing it to remain upon the surface until spring, or to put the manure when hauled out into large heaps, to be spread just before plowing the land in the spring. A full account of the plan of this experiment will be found in the thirteenth annual report of this experiment station. The field contains five plots, each subdivided into two sub-plots, on one of which the manure is spread when hauled out during the winter and on the other put into a large heap from which it is hauled out and spread in the spring. We have in reality five parallel experiments yearly, the area of each sub-plot being about one-quarter of an acre. The crop last year was ensilage corn. On three plots the yield where the manure was spread in the spring was considerably greater than where it was spread in the winter: on the other two plots the yields under the two systems of application were practically equal. Rye was sown in the standing corn on August 20, to furnish winter cover. This rye had made considerable growth, which was fairly even on all the plots when it was plowed under, the middle of May. The crop of this year was soy beans, five different varieties being planted, each kind in equal area on all the plots. Owing to the cold weather, the growth was not altogether satisfactory, and the yield even of the earliest varieties was small. It was seen that one variety would not ripen, and accordingly it was cut when in ensilage condition and put into the silo. We have, therefore, to report for each plot the rate of yield per acre of dry beans and straw, and of green forage for the silo. The rates of yield per acre and the relative standing of the several plots are shown in the tables: —

Actual and Relative Yields of Green Forage.

Plots.	Manuring previous to 1889.	Actual Yields (Rates per Acre, Pounds).		Relative Yields (Per Cent.).	
		North Half, Winter Application.	South Half, Spring Application.	North Half, Winter Application.	South Half, Spring Application.
1, .	Barnyard manure, . .	10,785	9,729	100	90.21
2, .	Wood ashes, . . .	9,821	8,811	100	89.72
3, .	No manure, ...	9,041	9,408	100	104.06
4, .	Fine-ground bone and muriate of potash.	9,546	11,519	100	120.67
5, .	Fine-ground bone and sulfate of potash.	10,509	11,840	100	112.66

Actual and Relative Yields of Soy Beans and Straw.

Plots.	Manuring previous to 1889.	Actual Yields (Rates per Acre).			
		Beans (Bushels).		Straw (Pounds).	
		North Half, Winter Application.	South Half, Spring Application.	North Half, Winter Application.	South Half, Spring Application.
1, .	Barnyard manure, . .	14.56	15.56	1,021	1,212
2, .	Wood ashes, . . .	16.27	15.50	1,272	1,319
3, .	No manure, ...	15.04	14.42	1,232	1,119
4, .	Fine-ground bone and muriate of potash.	15.22	12.55	1,284	1,045
5, .	Fine-ground bone and sulfate of potash.	14.26	15.21	1,252	1,269

Actual and Relative Yields of Soy Beans and Straw — Concluded.

Plots.	Manuring previous to 1889.	Relative Yields (Per Cent.).			
		Beans.		Straw.	
		North Half, Winter Application.	South Half, Spring Application.	North Half, Winter Application.	South Half, Spring Application.
1, .	Barnyard manure, . .	100	106.87	100	118.71
2, .	Wood ashes, . . .	100	95.27	100	103.69
3, .	No manure, ...	100	95.88	100	90.83
4, .	Fine-ground bone and muriate of potash.	100	82.46	100	81.39
5, .	Fine-ground bone and sulfate of potash.	100	106.66	100	101.36

In previous years the south half (spring manured) of each plot has, with two insignificant exceptions, above noted in the case of the ensilage corn of last year, given a greater

yield than the north half. The yield of the winter-manured portion for each year being considered 100 for the several plots, the yields of the spring-manured portion of the same plots has varied in the different years as follows: in 1900, from 103 to 125; in 1901, from 118 to 177; in 1902, from practical equality in two cases to 150. This year, it will be noted, there is but little difference in the yields under the two systems of manuring, and the advantage is on the side of the winter application. The winter application considered as 100 as in previous years, the yields for the spring application of manures has varied as follows: for the beans, 82.46 to 106.87; for the straw, 81.39 to 118.71; and for the green forage, 89.72 to 120.67.

In attempting to understand the reasons for such differences as have been noted in the different years, we find, on a study of the weather conditions, that those of the winter of 1902 and 1903 were for this locality quite exceptional. A heavy snowfall came during the first week in December, at which time the ground was not frozen. This snow, with occasional additions from time to time, though sometimes wasting to some extent, lay upon the ground throughout the winter in sufficient amount to prevent the ground from freezing. The winter was without those frequent sudden thaws, accompanied by heavy rains, which with frozen ground lead to excessive washing. So remarkable was the winter that the roots of one of our exceptionally hardy summer crops, dwarf Essex rape, came out in the spring uninjured, and with the approach of warm weather sprouted and made vigorous growth. Under such conditions it is not strange that loss of the soluble plant food constituents of the manure spread upon the surface took place to a very slight extent, if at all. Could we depend upon such winters as the last, the practice of spreading manure and leaving it upon the surface during the winter would undoubtedly be wise, as it saves on the cost of handling; but, as every one familiar with our climate understands, such winters cannot be depended upon, and accordingly the weight of evidence in our experiments is still in favor of hauling the manure into heaps, to remain over winter and to be spread in the spring.

IX. — Poultry Experiments.

In our experiments with poultry during the past year our attention has been confined almost exclusively to questions connected with the feeding of fowls for eggs. We are endeavoring to obtain light on the question as to the proper relation between the different nutrients in the ration fed. Our work during the year may be summarized as follows: —

1. We have compared two rations, in one of which corn is prominent, in the other wheat, using animal meal as a source of animal food. The nutritive ratio of the ration including wheat has been 1 : 4.34; for the one including corn, the ratio has been 1 : 6.24.

2. We have compared two rations in which respectively corn and wheat are prominent, with milk albumin as the source of animal food in each, and with an addition of corn oil as a source of fat, in which the milk albumin is very poor. The nutritive ratio of the ration including wheat is 1 : 4.44; for the ration including corn, 1 : 6.48.

3. We have compared two rations in one of which wheat is prominent, in the other rice, with milk albumin as the source of animal food in each. Both of these rations were very low in fat. The nutritive ratio of the first (in which wheat is prominent) is 1 : 4.3; of the second (in which rice is prominent), 1 : 6.4.

The most important points in connection with the results appear to be as follows: —

1. In the comparison of wheat with corn, where animal meal was the source of animal food, the egg production for the entire period from December 14 to September 4 was practically equal. For the winter period, December 14 to April 1, the corn ration produced eggs at an average rate of .3005 per hen day; the wheat ration, at the rate of .2792 per hen day. In other words, 100 hens on the corn ration would have given an average daily yield of a slight fraction over 30 eggs, while the wheat ration would have given from the same number of hens almost 28 eggs per day. For the summer period, April 1 to September 4, the corn ration gave an average of .4365 eggs per hen day; the wheat ration,

.4541; or, in other words, the average daily product from 100 hens would have been for the wheat ration 44.4 eggs; for the corn ration, about 43.7. The average food cost per egg produced was on the wheat ration, a very slight fraction over 1 cent; on the corn ration it was .85 of a cent.

2. In the comparison of wheat with corn, with milk albumin as the source of animal food in each, and with corn oil added as a source of fat, the egg product was considerably better than in the first experiment. For the entire period the hens receiving corn produced more eggs, — an average at the rate of .4166 eggs per hen day. For the wheat the similar average was .3570. For the winter period, December 14 to April 1, similar averages were: for the wheat ration, .2606; for the corn ration, .2862. For the summer period, April 1 to September 4, the averages were: for the wheat, .4251; for the corn, .5107. For the entire period the average food cost per egg laid was for the wheat ration 1 cent, for the corn ration .8 cent. The product obtained in this experiment, at the rate respectively for the wheat of 35.7 eggs per day and for the corn at the rate of 41.66 eggs per day, for 100 hens is considered good, for fowls kept in close confinement, especially in view of the fact that the pullets used in the experiment were rather late hatched, and laid but few eggs until the first of February, viz., 125 for the fowls receiving wheat and 48 for the fowls receiving the corn ration.

3. In the comparison of wheat with rice, with milk albumin as the source of animal food in each, the results were decidedly in favor of the ration including the rice. For the entire period the product of these fowls was at the rate of .3732 eggs per hen day; for the fowls receiving the wheat, at the rate of .3328 eggs per hen day. For the winter period, December 14 to April 1, the averages were: for the rice ration, .3097; for the wheat ration, .2241 eggs per hen day. For the summer period, April 1 to September 4, similar averages were: for the rice, .4188; for the wheat, .4080. The production in this experiment is inferior on both rations to that obtained in either of the other experiments; and, although the yield on the rice is fairly good, this cannot be

regarded as a practical food for ordinary use, on account of its high cost. The food cost for the eggs produced in this experiment was at the rate of about $1\frac{1}{5}$ cents for the wheat ration, and nearly 2.1 cents per egg for the rice ration.

As the result of experiments in previous years, corn had been found superior to wheat rations when animal meal was used as the source of animal food, while with scraps the two rations gave nearly equal numbers of eggs. In previous experiments, with milk albumin as the source of animal food, the egg production has usually been unsatisfactory when wheat has been the principal grain. These facts had led to the belief that possibly the amount of fat in the ration played an important part in determining the egg yield; and the experiments of this year were planned with a view to throwing light upon this point. In some particulars they seem to confirm this theory. The production of eggs on milk albumin, which is very low in fat, has in previous years been quite unsatisfactory. This year, with the addition of fat, more eggs have been produced. Further, in other experiments the egg production where corn is the principal grain has much exceeded that where wheat is the principal grain, when animal meal is used as the source of animal food. The results this year were very similar. On the other hand, the ration lowest in fat of all, viz., that including rice, has given many more eggs than the ration including wheat, which furnishes a far greater quantity of fat.

A study of the rations of this year shows an apparent relation between the quantity of fiber in the food and the egg production. The rations furnishing exceptionally large amounts of fiber, derived principally from such grains as oats and barley, have given very inferior yields of eggs.

In conclusion, we are justified in saying that our experiments do not lend support to the belief that the nutritive ratios of rations fed to hens must necessarily be narrow to produce a satisfactory product. We have obtained more eggs in winter in all experiments this year on the combinations of foods with the wider nutritive ratios, and in two out of three experiments the result was the same for the summer period. I am still inclined to the belief that the amount of

fat in the ration is a matter of much importance. I believe, further, that care should be taken that the ration does not include too large a proportion of fiber, as this without doubt increases the labor of digestion, and probably decreases the proportion of the various nutrients digested and assimilated. It is well understood that animal matter of some kind is essential to good egg production. Our earlier experiments have shown the great superiority of animal to vegetable protein in rations for laying hens. It is believed, however, that suitable animal feeds, under which class may be included all such as are well preserved and sweet and palatable, may be wisely used in connection with a large proportion of our cheapest grain, — corn.

REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE.

F. A. WAUGH, HORTICULTURIST; GEO. O. GREENE, ASSISTANT.

The work of the department of horticulture during the year just closing has been devoted largely to reorganization, and to the beginning of new lines of experiment and new systems of record. The various experiments undertaken will be reported upon as fast as valuable results develop. Meanwhile, the department continues to find a large part of its public service in answering various inquiries from all over Massachusetts and neighboring States. Such inquiries, touching all the subjects connected with fruit and vegetable growing, arboriculture and landscape gardening, are answered promptly, and as fully as circumstances permit.

The work of testing new and old varieties of fruits and vegetables has been considerably abated, but has not been suddenly nor inconsiderately abandoned. The comparison of varieties of strawberries, for example, which has long been a feature of the department work, has been continued for the present on a somewhat different plan, and some report of results is a part of the present publication.

Mr. George A. Drew, who has been assistant horticulturist and in charge of various lines of experimental work for several years, resigned that position in September, to take up more remunerative work elsewhere. The vacancy was filled October 1 by the appointment of Mr. George O. Greene, assistant horticulturist of the Kansas Experiment Station. The high character of the service performed by Mr. Drew during his term as assistant should be a matter of special recognition and record here.

Strawberry Experiments.

Experiments with strawberries, which have been carried on for many years in the department of horticulture, have been continued for the present. This work has been under the direct charge of Mr. George A. Drew, and the following notes have largely been made up by him.

Season and Soils.

The season of 1903 was a disappointment in many respects to strawberry growers. To start with, a number of the early varieties were damaged by spring frosts; then, when the later fruit was about ready to mature, a severe drought set in, lasting practically throughout the fruiting season, and very naturally reducing the yield.

While disappointing from the commercial grower's standpoint, the season was not without some instructive features as regards behavior of varieties and the ability of certain soils to retain moisture. Where the soil had been very thoroughly prepared, and there was an abundance of vegetable matter present, the strawberry plant withstood the dry weather without very serious damage; on the other hand, where the soil was of a gravelly nature, and the amount of vegetable matter limited, the plant easily succumbed to the effects of the drought.

It cannot be emphasized too much or repeated too often how great a part thorough preparation of the soil takes in the yields obtained. The strawberry naturally has a very limited root system, and any means that will induce the fibers to penetrate deeper is labor well spent.

A medium deep loam is, all things considered, about the ideal soil. If one depends on a sandy or gravelly soil, irrigation facilities must be provided, and, taken one year with another, some system of irrigation will undoubtedly pay. If one does not feel justified in the outlay this would necessitate, and one has several kinds of soil to choose from, it is well to select two different types: one gravelly, light and early; the other more loamy, heavier and later. Then, after a series of years, one could balance up accounts, so to speak, and find which was the most profitable in the long run.

Notes on Varieties.

Many new and old varieties have been compared on different soils, ranging from rather dry gravel to fine rich loam. Those varieties which, on account of their novelty, their special value or other interest, seem worthy of report, are described and commented on below.

August Luther. — Fruit: oblong; size, small; core, melting; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light pink; flavor, sweet; season, early; calyx, small, loose; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, fair; runners, many; rust, none.

Sets a large amount of fruit, but has no special points of merit.

Belmont. — Fruit: oblong, flattish, irregular; size, very large; core, slightly hollow; external color, crimson; color of flesh, red to core; flavor, slightly acid; season, medium to late; calyx, large, loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; runners, numerous; rust, very slight.

Not very productive, but attractive in appearance, and one of the good old kinds.

Bismarck. — Fruit: roundish; size, medium large; core, small, hard and closed; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light pink; flavor, sweet; season, early to medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, very good; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, good; runners, numerous; rust, none.

A good home berry, and fairly productive.

Blonde. — Fruit: conic, regular; size, medium large; core, slightly open; external color, light crimson; color of flesh, reddish; flavor, acid; season, late; calyx, large, loose; texture, firm; seeds, brownish, prominent; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, good; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Brandywine. — Fruit: round, conic, tapers to sharp point; size, large; core, slightly open; external color, dark crimson; color of flesh, red throughout; flavor, acid; season, medium to late; calyx, green, loose and prominent; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, a good pollenizer, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, dark, large and regular; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Productive generally, but the fact of berry turning black where exposed to the air proves a great drawback; erratic in different localities.

Brunette. — Fruit: round, conic; size, large; core, slightly hollow; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, insipid; sea-

son, medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, good; runners, numerous; rust, none.

A valuable home variety.

Bubach. — Fruit: flat, conical; size, large; core, hollow; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, early to medium; calyx, medium; texture, medium; seeds, greenish, prominent; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, deficient in vigor; foliage, medium dark, medium large; runners, medium; rust, slight.

Deficient in vigor, and, like the Marshall, succeeds only in favored localities, where one can get a fine healthy stock of plants.

Bush Cluster. — Fruit: usually conical; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, medium; seeds, imbedded; quality, poor; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, imperfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, large, medium dark; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

A disappointment in all respects.

Clyde. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, large to very large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, sub-acid; season, early; calyx, loose, large; texture, medium; seeds, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, deficient in vigor; foliage, medium, large, light; runners, very numerous; rust, slight.

Extremely productive, and tends to set more fruit than it is capable of maturing; somewhat subject to disease.

Cobden Queen. — Fruit: round, irregular, conic; size, medium large; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, prominent outside; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossoms, rather few, imperfect; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Foliage unhealthy; fruit seedy.

Corsican. — Fruit: round to roundish, conic; size, medium; core, slightly hollow; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, pinkish; flavor, insipid; season, early to medium early; calyx, medium; texture, soft; seeds, yellow, outside; quality, fair; shipping quality, poor. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, inclined to discolor; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Too soft for commercial use; always a disappointment here.

Darling. — Fruit: round, conic; size, medium; core, medium; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, deficient in vigor; rust, slight.

Too poor for commercial use.

Delaware. — Fruit: flat, conical, irregular; size, medium; core, medium to large; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; mid-season; calyx, rather prominent; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, poor; shipping quality, very good. Blossom, perfect; plant, medium in vigor; foliage, medium; runners, medium; rust, little.

Rather unproductive, but set some fine fruit of good color and shipping quality.

Dewey. — Fruit: long, conic; size, medium large; core, small, hard; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, fair; shipping quality good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, medium green; runners, medium; rust, slight.

Inclined to be deficient in vigor, and nothing of special merit to recommend it.

Dole. — Fruit: irregular, conical; size, medium large; core, medium large; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, sweet; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, rather soft; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, poor. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, good; runners, many; rust, little.

Unproductive; possesses no special advantage over others.

Drought King. — Fruit: round, conical, and irregular; size, medium; core, slight, hard; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, whitish; flavor, very acid, sour; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, brownish, prominent; quality, very good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; rust on plant, slight.

Unworthy of its name; very poor.

Gibson. — Fruit: flat, conical; size, large to very large; core, small, hollow; external color, dark crimson; color of flesh, red throughout; flavor, slightly acid; season, medium; calyx, medium large; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, healthy, vigorous; runners, few; rust, slight.

Unproductive here, but very fine fruit, and worthy of further trial.

Gladstone. — Fruit: irregular, conic, like Glen Mary; size, large to very large; core, hard; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, pinkish; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, loose medium; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, large, medium green; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Resembles Glen Mary closely.

Glen Mary. — Fruit: irregular, conical; size, large; core, hard; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, poor; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, very vigorous; foliage, large, vigorous; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Greatest fault in uneven ripening of tips of berries, but large and productive.

Haverland. — Fruit: long, conical; size, medium large to large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, sweet; season, early; calyx, medium; texture, medium; seeds, slightly imbedded; quality good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, very vigorous; foliage, large, long; runners, many; rust, slight.

Very productive, and one of the best for commercial and domestic use; one of the leaders still.

Hawaii. — Fruit: long, conic; size, medium; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, sweet; season, early; calyx, medium; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, slightly imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, resembles Haverland; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Resembles Haverland closely, but not so productive nor so large fruit.

Hero. — Fruit: flat, conical; size, medium large; core, medium; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, red to core; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, good; runners, few; rust, slight.

Not very vigorous or productive, and a disappointment here.

Howard 7. — Fruit: irregular, inclined to be in two parts; size, large; core, large, open, hollow; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson to core; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, large, upturned; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, poor; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, dark, medium large; runners, average; rust, slight.

Worthy of further trial.

Howard 14. — Fruit: very long, tapering at each end; size, large; core, slight, slightly hollow; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, sweet; season, early to medium; calyx, medium large; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, very vigorous; foliage, very tall, long leaf stalks; runners, many; rust, little.

Inclined to run small after first few pickings.

Howard 36. — Fruit: long, flat, conical, somewhat tapering at point; size, large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, slightly acid; season, medium to early; calyx, medium loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium large, vigorous; runners, many; rust, little.

One of the most productive and profitable varieties on our ground.

Howard 103. — Fruit: round, conical; size, large; core, closed, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; season, very early; calyx, medium, rather loose; texture, rather soft; seeds, yellow, deeply imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair.

Blossom, imperfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage medium, dark and vigorous; runners, medium; rust, none.

A good, productive, very early berry, and for this purpose excels.

Howard's Clyde 3. — Fruit: round, conic, slightly irregular, often divided; size, large; core, slight, closed; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, slightly acid; season, early; calyx, medium large, loose; texture, slightly soft; seeds, light yellow, imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium dark, large; runners, numerous; rust, none.

Does not set as much fruit as Clyde, but matures it better; an improvement on Clyde, and valuable.

Joe. — Fruit: round, conic; size, large to very large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, sweet; season, medium; calyx, loose, medium large; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossoms, medium in number, large, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, large, dark; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Not very productive, but promising as a large, juicy berry; fancy.

Jucunda. — Fruit: roundish; size, large; core, medium; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, green; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, large, dark, on short stalks; runners, medium; rust, slight.

Still worthy of cultivation, though deficient in vigor.

Kansas. — Fruit: round, conic; size, medium; core, slightly hard; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, sub-acid; season, early to medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium vigorous; runners, very numerous; rust, slight.

Not to be recommended, according to its behavior on our grounds.

Klondike. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, very large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, medium; calyx, large; texture, medium; seeds, brown, imbedded; quality, poor; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, light; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Inclined to ripen unevenly, and too soft for transportation a long distance; valuable home variety.

Latest. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, large to very large; core, hard; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, whitish; flavor, insipid; season, late; calyx, loose; texture, medium firm; seeds, brown, deeply imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, imperfect; plant, medium vigorous; foliage, medium; runners, few; rust, slight.

Plants stock up well and are fairly productive; promising late variety.

Lehcman 2. — Fruit: irregular, conical; size, large; core, hard; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, acid; midseason; calyx, small; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, poor; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, leaves long, medium large; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Productive and reliable.

Lester. — Fruit: conical; size, large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, acid; season, medium; calyx, large, loose, prominent; texture, firm; seeds, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, thick; runners, fair; rust, none.

Lyon. — Fruit: long, conic; size, large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, sweet; season, early to medium; calyx, loose; texture, medium; seeds, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, thrifty, imperfect; plant, vigorous; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Very productive, medium-sized berries.

M. A. C. Seedling 24. — Fruit: round, conic; size, large to very large; core, inclined to be hollow; external color, crimson; color of flesh, light crimson; flavor, insipid; season, early to medium; calyx, medium; texture, rather soft; seeds, brown, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, not very vigorous, perfect; plant, only fair in vigor; foliage, medium large; runners, fair; rust, considerable.

Inclined to be soft for long transportation, but otherwise very good.

Margaret. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, pinkish; flavor, sweet; season, early to medium; calyx, loose, prominent; texture, very firm; seeds, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, medium green; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Not very productive, but occasionally to be recommended.

Marshall. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, sweet; season, medium; calyx, loose, prominent; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, standard; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; foliage, large, dark, heavy; rust, slight.

Not productive, but fine for home use; success with this variety depends mainly on selection of plants and favored localities and on high cultivation.

McFarland's Seedling. — Fruit: elongated; size, very large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, rather insipid; season, medium; calyx, large, loose; texture, firm; seeds, brownish, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, large, dark; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Very productive and promising, where large fancy fruit is desired.

McKinley. — Fruit: irregular, long, flat; size, large to very large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, medium; calyx, loose, large, prominent; texture, rather soft; seeds, golden, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, vigorous; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Greatest fault is soft fruit and uneven ripening; similar to Meggansett Dew Drop.

Mead's Seedling. — Fruit: round, conic; size, medium large to large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, sub-acid; season, medium; calyx, medium, loose; texture, medium; seeds, yellow; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair or rather poor. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium dark; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Originated by H. O. Mead of Lunenburg, Mass. Inclined to become soft on standing.

Meggansett Dew Drop. — Fruit: long, irregular, conical; size, large to very large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, whitish; flavor, insipid; season, early to medium; calyx, loose; texture, medium fine; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, very vigorous; foliage, dark; runners, numerous; rust, none.

General tendency to color unevenly, and rather soft for shipping; resembles McKinley somewhat; productive.

Morgan. — Fruit: long, flattish; size, large to very large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, medium early; calyx, large, rather loose; texture, medium firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium dark; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Greatest fault is general tendency to color unevenly; productive.

Nettie. — Fruit: long, irregular, conic; size, very large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, acid; season, late; calyx, loose, large; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, dark, large; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Appears to be a promising late variety, where size and appearance count; fancy.

New York. — Fruit: long, irregular, conic; size, large; core, medium; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, insipid; calyx, medium loose; texture, medium firm; seeds, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, fair; rust, slight.

Inclined to be too light colored, otherwise a productive fancy berry.

Nick Ohmer. — Fruit: roundish; size, large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, whitish; flavor, sweetish; season, medium; calyx, medium, rather loose; texture, firm; seeds, imbedded; quality,

good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, diseased; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Plant deficient in vigor, and not productive; some very good fruit, but not to be relied upon.

Pacific. — Fruit: broad, flattish, conical; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, light crimson; flavor, acid; calyx, medium loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Productive, and a good early berry.

Paris King. — Fruit: long, irregular, conic; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, rather acid; season, early; calyx, medium loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, medium green; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

A good, productive, early berry.

Parson's Beauty. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; season, early to medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossoms, numerous, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, dark, medium thick; runners, very numerous; rust, slight.

Productive, but berries not uniform, and hence not very attractive in appearance.

Pennell. — Fruit: flattish, irregular, conic; size, large; core, hard; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; mid-season; calyx, loose; texture, firm; seeds, very prominent, numerous; quality, rather poor; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, fair; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Prominent seeds on outside give unattractive appearance, otherwise a valuable addition.

Plymouth Rock. — Fruit: roundish; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, light crimson; flavor, sweet; season, medium; calyx, loose; texture, firm; seeds, prominent; quality, good; shipping quality, good. Blossom, vigorous; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, fair; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Fairly productive, but fruit not uniform.

Pocomoke. — Fruit: irregular, conical; size, large to very large; core, medium; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; mid-season; calyx, loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, prominent; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, vigorous, perfect; plant, has good vigor; foliage, medium large; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Productive and promising; a good commercial variety, to be recommended.

Pomona. — Fruit: round, conic; size, medium large; core, slight;

external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, early to medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, medium; seeds, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, full, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, fair; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Averages favorably with the commercial sorts.

Porto Rico. — Fruit: long, conical, small neck; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, light crimson; flavor, sub-acid; season, medium; calyx, loose, upturned; texture, firm; seeds, brownish; quality, good; shipping quality, very good. Blossoms, medium in number, imperfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, fair; runners, average, or below; rust, slight.

Parker Earle type; productive, and worthy of more extended trial.

Premium. — Fruit: conical; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, acid; season, early to medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, imbedded; quality, rather poor; shipping quality, good. Blossom, imperfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, medium dark; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Good average berry, but inclined to run small by mid-season.

Pride of Cumberland. — Fruit: round, conic; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, light crimson; color of flesh, light crimson; flavor, sub-acid; mid-season; calyx, medium loose; texture, very firm; seeds, yellow, protruding; quality, very good; shipping quality, very good. Blossoms, medium in number, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, fair; runners, comparatively few; rust, slight.

Not very productive on our soil, but otherwise a good commercial berry.

Putnam's Seedling X. — Fruit: round, conic; size, large; core, medium; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, scarlet; flavor, acid; season, late; calyx, large; texture, very firm; seeds, yellow, slightly imbedded; quality, good; shipping quality, very good. Blossom, vigorous, imperfect; plant, has good vigor; foliage, medium; runners, average; rust, slight.

Fine, firm, regular late berry.

Rochester. — Fruit: irregular, conic; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, sweet; season, medium; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, protruding; quality, poor; shipping quality, good. Blossoms, many, perfect; plant, fairly vigorous; foliage, medium; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

Not to be recommended for commercial planting, from its behavior on our grounds.

Rough Rider. — Fruit: irregular; size, medium large; core, hard; external color, crimson; color of flesh, crimson; flavor, acid; mid-season; calyx, rather loose, medium; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, rather poor; shipping quality, good. Blossoms,

medium in number, perfect; plant, poor in vigor; foliage, fair; runners, fair; rust, slight.

Nothing to recommend it, according to its behavior here.

Sample. — Fruit: round, conic, regular; size, large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, sub-acid; mid-season; calyx, medium; texture, medium; seeds, brownish; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair to good. Blossom, vigorous, imperfect; plant, has good vigor; foliage, medium green; runners, numerous; rust, slight.

A fine, productive, uniform commercial berry, inclined at times to be a little soft; still the most reliable, under various conditions.

Sawyer's Seedling. — Fruit: round, conic; size, medium large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, sub-acid; season, medium to late; calyx, medium; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, fairly vigorous, perfect; plant, very good in vigor; foliage, large, vigorous; runners, average; rust, slight.

Satisfactory on our grounds; productive and valuable.

Shuster's Gem. — Fruit: round, conic; size, large; core, slight; external color, crimson; color of flesh, lightish; flavor, sub-acid; mid-season; calyx, large; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, protruding; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, has good vigor; foliage, medium dark; runners, average; rust, slight.

An old reliable berry, and worthy of culture.

Springdale Beauty. — Fruit: irregular, roundish; size, medium to large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, sub-acid; season, early to medium; calyx, loose; texture, medium; seeds, yellow, slightly imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, vigorous, perfect; plant, vigorous; foliage, medium dark; runners, average in number; rust, slight.

A good general-purpose berry.

Uncle Jim. — Fruit: long, conical; size, large to very large; core, slight; external color, dark scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, rather insipid; season, medium; calyx, medium loose; texture, firm; seeds, yellow, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, fair. Blossom, perfect; plant, very good in vigor; foliage, resembles Marshall; runners, numerous; rust, none.

Sets moderate amount of fruit, and matures it; one of the most promising newly introduced varieties; fancy.

Uncle Sam. — Fruit: round, conic; size, large; core, slight; external color, scarlet; color of flesh, light scarlet; flavor, insipid; season, medium late; calyx, large, loose; texture, firm; seeds, brown, imbedded; quality, fair; shipping quality, good. Blossom, perfect, and good pollenizer; plant, vigorous; foliage, dark; runners, comparatively few; rust, slight.

Stocks up well in hill system, but rather light color for a market berry, and only moderately productive.

Cultural Methods.

The department has constantly experimented with methods of cultivation; and, since this work has covered many years and a diversity of soils, some general remarks on the management of strawberry plantations may appear to be in place. While the cultural methods of growing the strawberry vary widely, and each system has its special devotees, nearly all methods have merits and demerits worth considering.

Shall the strawberry plant be allowed to fruit one or two years? Nearly all the best growers now practise the former method. By this quick rotation fungous and insect pests are avoided to a greater extent, and the fruit is generally considered enough better to warrant the extra labor and expense.

Shall the plants be set in the early spring, or in autumn? Generally speaking, our experience has shown that spring setting is best, as the root system gets a better chance to develop, and the crown to store up nutriment for fruit bearing the following year. However, in special cases good results are obtained by selecting vigorous plants in July and August, setting in beds close together, and allowing no runners to form.

There are four general systems, modified more or less to suit special conditions; these are commonly practised with spring setting: the hill system, hedge-row system, narrow matted row and wide matted row systems.

The hill system is more generally advocated by amateur than commercial growers. The plants should be set out about two and one-half by two feet, and no runners allowed to form. Everything that will aid the crown to increase in size and strength should be provided. The larger and more vigorous the crowns, the greater the results; the strength of the plants goes to building up a fruit-producing organism alone. Another great advantage is the exposure to air and sunlight on all sides, and the consequent production of better-colored, better-flavored and firmer fruit, worthy of a fancy price.

The hedge-row system is a modification of the hill system

in many respects. The plants should be set out about the same distance apart, or possibly in rows three feet apart. The first vigorous runners are then trained in a line with the parent plants, but not detached from them. When this line is filled out so as to make one continuous row, with plants about four or five inches apart, no more runners should be allowed to form. This system possesses nearly all the advantages of the former, and besides gives larger yields. Some varieties, like Clyde, which are naturally light-colored and rather soft, gave surprisingly better results as regards color and firmness the past season when grown in this way. The mass of fruit on one side of the rows, fully exposed to the air and sun, was a sight worth seeing.

The wide matted row and narrow matted row are really a modification of one system. By this plan the plants are set some three and one-half or four feet wide by one and one-half feet in the rows. No runners are allowed to grow until the parent plant has become well established, and then all or a limited number of runners, according to the wide or narrow row system, are allowed to root. The general tendency of this system is to grow vines at the expense of the fruit. Large yields are sometimes obtained, but generally of smaller and poorer fruit.

Whatever the system adopted, or the character of the soil on which the plants are grown, it is of the utmost importance to start with plants of unimpaired vigor, and keep them thus. Feed liberally, and spray with Bordeaux mixture occasionally. Cultivate frequently. Experiment, and study the varieties and adaptation to soil.

Marketing Apples in Boxes.

A feeling has been steadily gaining ground among the growers of good apples that some package smaller and neater than the common barrel should be used in marketing the fruit. It seems unnecessary to here review all the considerations which have influenced fruit growers in coming to this conclusion. There are many things to be said in favor of smaller packages. There are also some few objections and many qualifications to be made. The movement toward the

use of boxes has been emphasized during the shipping season of 1903 by the great scarcity and unreasonably high price of barrels. Inquiries regarding the use of boxes have accordingly been frequent; and on this account it has been thought that a brief note of our experience in the department of horticulture would prove of general interest.

Bushel boxes of two common patterns have been used during the two shipping seasons of 1902 and 1903, though not in large numbers. Both early apples and winter varieties were shipped in these packages. In every case the results were gratifying. The apples always brought as much money, or more, than the same quantity of fruit in barrels; in fact, the cash returns were nearly always greater, and sometimes surprisingly so. In one instance, in 1902, Gravensteins were shipped both in barrels and in bushel boxes on the same day and to the same dealer, the fruit being from the same trees, and graded precisely the same throughout; the apples in boxes, however, were wrapped in papers. In this instance the barrels sold at $2 each, and the boxes at the same price. Since the boxes hold very nearly one-third of a barrel, the price was approximately three times as much for the fruit in boxes.

This case, however, is extreme; no such greatly disproportionate price was secured in any other instance for box apples. Still, every shipment of apples in boxes showed a fair margin in favor of the package, and several times the difference was a handsome one.

According to our experience, it seems that the bushel box is especially advantageous for early apples, — say up to the end of the Gravenstein season. Fancy grades of all varieties, however, may be expected to show good results in boxes. Sutton Beauty, shipped as late as Christmas time, realized high prices.

Our experience also favors the use of wrapping papers on fancy apples, more especially on highly colored and on soft-fleshed early varieties. These papers are best bought ready cut for the purpose, and are supplied by various dealers.

There are several forms of boxes in use. Those which we have specially examined are as follows: —

The vegetable box in use throughout the State for potatoes, beets and similar garden truck has been considerably tested for apples. We have used it ourselves to some extent, but do not consider it suitable. This box is 18 inches square and 8 inches deep, thus having a capacity of 2,592 cubic inches, or considerably more than a standard bushel (United States standard bushel contains 2,150.42 cubic inches). The ends of this box are of $\frac{3}{4}$-inch lumber, and the sides, top and bottom of $\frac{1}{2}$-inch stuff.

The box most used and recommended by large apple shippers is represented in our collection by samples bought from a New York manufacturer. This is the box which we have chiefly used, and which we prefer. The inside dimensions are 10 by 11 by 20 inches, thus giving a capacity of 2,200 cubic inches, — very nearly the exact measure of the standard bushel. It weighs a trifle over 50 pounds, filled. The ends are of $\frac{7}{8}$-inch stuff, and the sides, top and bottom of $\frac{1}{4}$-inch stuff. It will be seen that the sides, top and bottom are very light, thus allowing a considerable spring. There is a difference of opinion among shippers as to whether this elasticity is desirable, or objectionable. Some favor it strongly; others insist that a perfectly rigid box is better. Our own opinion is that the rigid box is better for long shipments, as, for example, to Europe; but that the box with plenty of spring is better for near-by markets.

Another box, having exactly the same interior dimensions, is manufactured in Wisconsin, and is represented in our collection by a sample. We have not used this box, but are pleased with its appearance. It is better made and more attractive than the New York box, just described. The ends are of $\frac{7}{8}$-inch stuff, the top and bottom are of $\frac{1}{4}$-inch stuff, and on the sides at each corner is a strip of $\frac{3}{8}$-inch lumber, about $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches wide. This holds in place a sheet of thin veneer, which forms the principal portion of the side. The box is light and strong, as well as good looking.

These boxes can all be bought in the knock-down, and made up at home. The price is about $15 per hundred.

Another box, used in Ontario, Can., is very well made and attractive, but too expensive for the domestic trade. It

measures $10\frac{1}{2}$ by $11\frac{1}{2}$ by 22 inches inside, giving a capacity of $2{,}656\frac{1}{2}$ cubic inches, or considerably over a bushel, — in fact, more nearly five pecks. This box is made with ends of $\frac{1}{2}$-inch lumber, sides, top and bottom of $\frac{3}{8}$-inch wood, the whole being firmly dovetailed at the corners. It forms a fine package for the foreign trade, though it is larger and more expensive than necessary.

We would not recommend any one to undertake the use of the bushel box for apples on a large scale without considerable preliminary experiment; but we consider it well worth trying. We shall be glad to answer any inquiries on this subject, so far as our experience and information allow.

Notes on Quinces.

One of the most profitable crops during the last two years on the farm of the horticultural department has been furnished by the quince orchard. This consists of about 250 trees, of all sizes, ages and varieties. The larger part of them are growing on a springy slope at the base of a hill. The soil is good, rich alluvium, excellent for garden crops when dry enough. The particular spot where these trees stand has been partially drained by tile some time ago, but these drains have now become so much clogged that the land is quite wet during a considerable part of the year.

Under these conditions the plantation gave an abundant crop of very fine fruit in 1902, and a very fair crop of nearly as good quality in 1903. These were sold mostly at wholesale, — that is, to market men and dealers, or through commission men in Boston, Worcester and Springfield.

In 1902 the No. 1 fruit, which constituted by far the bulk of the crop, sold for $2 a bushel. In most cases this price was received for the fruit *f. o. b.* at Amherst, though that shipped on commission to the three cities named sold for $2 in those markets, and charges had to be deducted. The No. 2 fruit sold for 75 cents, $1, $1.25 and $1.50 a bushel, averaging about $1.25.

In 1903 quinces were very scarce, but at the same time the crop on the trees was not so large nor of quite such good quality. The No. 1 fruit brought $2 and $2.25 (mostly the

latter figure), net. The No. 2 fruit brought various prices, averaging about $1.25.

In both years the profit from the trees was considered very satisfactory. The good prices secured were attributed largely to the method of marketing the fruit. In the first place, it was well ripened: the fruit was allowed to remain on the trees until it had attained a bright, rich color. In the second place, it was very rigidly graded, only prime specimens being put into the first grade. Next, each specimen was carefully wrapped in clean fruit paper, specially cut for the purpose. These fruits were then packed in fresh, clean, attractive bushel boxes. A few were sold, some wrapped and some unwrapped, in 16-quart peach baskets, but the box was thought to be much the better package.

The use of the bushel box, or some similar small package, and the wrapping, are thought to be essential points in marketing fancy quinces, except when the fruit is delivered direct to the consumer. Quinces bruise very easily, and even the slightest bruise on a ripe quince quickly becomes discolored, and the fruit presents a highly unattractive appearance. Quinces packed in barrels and shipped some distance to market come out with nearly every specimen bruised; but the wrapping and the small package both prevent such injury.

The small package is desirable on another account, namely, because very few customers care for more than a bushel of quinces at a time; even market men with a very fair trade prefer to buy in bushel lots.

The character of the soil on which the college quince trees grow has been mentioned. Wet clay land is frequently recommended for quinces, but the trees do better on well-drained soil. Even fairly light loam will sometimes support thrifty trees for some years, though the ideal soil is moderately heavy clay. Light and sandy soils give small prospect of success.

The quince tree grows slowly, and does not require rigorous pruning. If only reasonable and seasonable attention is given to keep the head open and well balanced, nature will do the rest.

No diseases or insects of any consequence have troubled us. The trees have been sprayed with Bordeaux mixture, and this has probably helped to hold in check the scab, a fungous disease which attacks the leaves and fruit to some extent nearly every year, but which, with us, does not assume serious proportions.

The principal varieties grown are Orange, Champion and Rea (Rea's Mammoth). All succeed perfectly, and we have found them all equally acceptable in the market.

INDEX.

PAGE

Agriculturists, report of, ... 110-153
Ammonia, sulfate of, relative value as source of nitrogen, ... 114
Apples, boxes for marketing, ... 167-170
Ashes, wood, yield of hay on, ... 144
Barnyard manure, yield of hay on, ... 144
Beets, comparison of nitrogen fertilizers for, ... 127
Bone and potash, yield of hay on, ... 144
Botanists, report of, ... 9-36
Bulletins still in stock, ... 3, 4
Cabbages, acid phosphates for, ... 136
  Apatite for, ... 137
  Closely dependent upon phosphates, ... 138
  Comparison of phosphates for, ... 136
  Dissolved bone meal for, ... 137
  Phosphatic slag for, ... 137
  Raw bone meal for, ... 137
  Relative value of phosphates for, ... 112
  Soft Florida rock for, ... 137
  South Carolina rock for, ... 137
  Steamed bone meal for, ... 137
Celery, nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 128
Chemists, reports of, division of foods and feeding, ... 37-86
  Division of fertilizers, ... 87-104
Clover, potash salts for, compared, ... 132
  Yields on different potash salts, ... 133
Corn, compared with wheat for egg production, ... 150
  Soil tests with, ... 113, 139
  Yield on fertilizers in soil test, ... 140
Cucumbers, nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 127
Dairy and feeding experiments, effect of feed on composition of butter fat, . 45-62
  Effect of feed on consistency of body of butter, ... 45-62
  Calves, raising without milk, ... 80-86
Dandelions, nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 126
Digestion experiments with sheep, ... 63-79
Dried blood, relative value of, as source of nitrogen, ... 114
  Compared with nitrate of soda and sulfate of ammonia, ... 123
Entomologists, report of, ... 105-109
Eggs, excessive fiber in food unfavorable for production of, ... 152
  Experiments in feeding for, ... 150
  Fat important for production of, ... 152
  Rice compared with wheat for production of, ... 151
Electricity, affects protoplasm, ... 28
  Effects on germination of seeds, ... 33
  Effects on plant growth, ... 34-36
  Experiments with lettuce, ... 22-26
  Experiments with radishes, ... 16-22
  Influence of atmospheric potential on plants, ... 31-36

PAGE
Electricity, influence of, on plant growth, ... 13-30
Fertilizer, city garbage products, ... 103
Garden crops, ... 122
Lime ashes, ... 101
Peruvian guano, ... 102
Sugar-beet refuse, ... 103
Wood ashes, ... 100
Fescue for mowings, ... 146
Field crops, comparison of potash salts for, ... 132
Garden crops, fertilizers for, ... 122
Kinds grown in experiment, ... 123
Sulfate and muriate of potash for, compared, ... 129
Grass and clover mixture, soil test with, ... 141
Yield on fertilizers, ... 142
Grass lands, comparison of manures for, ... 113
Experiment in manuring, ... 143
Grass, soil tests with, ... 113
Hay, comparison of seed mixtures for, ... 145
Fescue mixture for, ... 146
Timothy mixture for, ... 146
Yield of, on different manures and fertilizers, ... 144
Yield on newly seeded, ... 145
Helminthosporium inconspicuum, ... 10
Horticulturists, report of, ... 154-172
Kainit, yield of clover on, ... 134
Lime, essential for clover, ... 143
Essential for timothy, ... 143
Need of, in nitrogen experiment, ... 117
Need of, indicated by red-top in mowings, ... 143
Manure, experiment in application, ... 147
Methods of application, ... 113
Relative value of, as source of nitrogen, ... 114
Meteorologist, report of, ... 7, 8
Milk albumin for laying hens, ... 151
Nitrogen fertilizers, average yield of soy beans on, ... 117
Relative rank of, ... 117
Relative standing of, ... 129
Relative yields on, ... 124
Yields per acre for garden crops, ... 125
Nitrogen, relative value of, in barnyard manure, ... 111
In nitrate of soda, ... 111
In sulfate of ammonia, ... 111
In dried blood, ... 111
Nitrate of soda, controlling element for production of grass, ... 143
Desirable source of nitrogen, ... 118
Relative value as source of nitrogen, ... 114
Peas, garden nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 126
Phosphates, relative value of, ... 135
Relative value of, for cabbage, ... 112
Phyllosticta sphæropsoidea, ... 10
Potash and bone, yield of hay on, ... 144
Potash carbonate, yield of clover on, ... 134
High-grade sulfate of, yield of clover on, ... 134
Low-grade sulfate of, yield of clover on, ... 134
Muriate of, yield of clover on, ... 134
Nitrate of, yield of clover on, ... 134

PAGE
Potash carbonate, relative value of muriate and high-grade sulfate, . . 119
Silicate of, yield of clover on, ... 134
Sulfate *v.* muriate of, for potatoes, ... 121
Sulfate *v.* muriate of, for garden crops, ... 130
Sulfate *v.* muriate of, for soy beans, ... 120
Potash salts, comparison of, for field crops, ... 132
Relative value of, for field crops, ... 112
Potatoes, effect of sulfate of potash on, for quality, ... 122
Sulfate *v.* muriate of potash for, ... 121
Poultry, best rations for eggs, ... 113
Experiments on, ... 150–153
Quinces, notes on, ... 170–172
Raspberry cane blight, ... 10
Soil tests, fertilizers used in, ... 138, 139
With mixed grass and clover, ... 141
Soy bean, nitrogen experiment, ... 116
Sulfate *v.* muriate of potash for, ... 120
Unfavorable effect of nitrate of soda on, ... 115
Yield, different methods of applying manure, ... 148
Strawberries, cultural methods, ... 166, 167
Nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 126
Varieties of, ... 156–165
Turnips, nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 128
Tennessee phosphates for cabbages, ... 136
Timothy mixtures for mowings, ... 146
Tomatoes, nitrogen fertilizers for, compared, ... 127
Treasurer, report of, ... 5, 6
Vermicularia tricella, ... 10
Wheat, compared with corn for egg production, ... 150
Compared with rice for egg production, ... 151
v. corn with milk albumin for egg production, ... 151
Winter-killing, ... 11